Unit 23 The Maltings
Stanstead Abbotts
Hertfordshire SG12 8HG
Tel
e: www.eastp.co.uk
$16^{\text {th }}$ March 2019

Dear Rob,

Meldreth, Proposed Development Site
As requested I have attached the information prepared and submitted to Cambridgeshire Highways and the various emails and correspondence between ourselves and the Cambridgeshire representatives Jon Finney and Hannah Seymour-Shove.

- Transport Assessment dated September 2018.
- First response from CCC (Hannah Seymour-Shove) dated $2^{\text {nd }}$ November 2018.
- Various email exchanges commencing $8^{\text {th }}$ November 2018 and finishing $4^{\text {th }}$ February 2019.

In short, the proposed site access has been agreed in principle. A range of traffic capacity modelling was undertaken and this showed that all junctions considered worked well and within their capacity with the exception of the give way junction of Station Road/Station Road located just south of the A10 junction.

A mini roundabout option was prepared to counter the capacity issues (that will occur in any event even without the development) and modelling of this new layout option showed that the proposed mini roundabout solves all problems. Unfortunately, despite requests for comment throughout communications with CCC we did not receive a response to this matter. Nevertheless, I do not believe that this is an issue as the improvement is so significant.

I hope that this letter and attachment contains the information that you need, but should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Kind Regards


Director

# Station Road, Meldreth, South Cambridgeshire 

Pre- Application Transport Assessment September 2018

Bidwells
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## 1 Introduction

1.1 EAS has been commissioned by Bidwells to undertake a Transport Assessment (TA) for a preapplication enquiry concerning a proposed residential development, located off Station Road, Meldreth, South Cambridgeshire. The proposed development will be of up to 200 units, with the exact mix to be determined at a later stage.
1.2 The site lies to the south of Meldreth village and also south of the London - Hitchin - Cambridge railway line and will replace an industrial estate that currently occupies part of it. The access to this estate, which is substandard, will be replaced by a new access appropriate to the scale and nature of the development.
1.3 The development is well located to take advantage of sustainable travel opportunities, being within 300 metres of Meldreth railway station. Appendix A illustrates the location and extent of the proposal site together with the position of local facilities. A master plan is yet to be prepared.
1.4 This report provides an assessment of the proposed location in respect to its suitability as a sustainable location in transport terms. Specifically, it examines the development's expected transport and traffic impacts, focusing on the access to the site from Station Road, two other junctions that give access to the main A10 road and a junction in Shepreth village that has been the subject of concern about generated traffic seeking to avoid the A10 junction. It also assesses the likely impacts on, and potential for, walking, cycling and the use of public transport.
1.5 This assessment is informed by the requirements of South Cambridgeshire District Council as Planning Authority and Cambridgeshire County Council as Highway Authority respectively. This assessment has been prepared with regard to the DfT guidance on Transport Assessments and Cambridgeshire County Council's Transport Assessment Guidelines (2017).
1.6 The contents of each section of this document are as follows:

- Section 2 sets out the national, regional and local transport policy relevant to the development proposal.
- Section 3 describes the existing site and the baseline conditions.
- Section 4 describes the development proposals.
- Section 5 sets out the likely trip generation characteristics and includes a traffic impact assessment.
- Section 6 contains a summary and the conclusions of the assessment.


## 2 Policy framework

## Introduction

2.7 The proposed development is subject to both national and local planning policy guidance with respect to transport and its impact upon the local environment and surrounding infrastructure. A number of policies are directly pertinent to this site and are set out below.
2.8 The policy documents reviewed include:

- National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2012
- South Cambridgeshire Local Development Framework, including the Core Strategy and Development Control Policies 2007
- The emerging South Cambridgeshire District Council Local Plan 2011-2031
- Component documents of the Cambridgeshire Local Transport Plan (LTP3) 2011-2031


## National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2012

2.9 Paragraph 14 of the NPPF states:

At the heart of the National Planning Policy Framework is a presumption in favour of sustainable development, which should be seen as a golden thread running through both plan-making and decision-taking.
2.10 Paragraph 17 of the NPPF sets out 12 core planning principles including core principle 11 which states that planning should:

Actively manage patterns of growth to make the fullest possible use of public transport, walking and cycling, and focus significant development in locations which are or can be made sustainable.
2.11 Section 4 of the NPPF on transport includes advice on Transport Assessments at paragraph 32:

All developments that generate significant amounts of movement should be supported by a Transport Statement or Transport Assessment. Plans and decisions should take account of whether:

- the opportunities for sustainable transport modes have been taken up depending on the nature and location of the site, to reduce the need for major transport infrastructure;
- safe and suitable access to the site can be achieved for all people; and
- Improvements can be undertaken within the transport network that cost effectively limit the significant impacts of the development. Development should only be prevented or refused on transport grounds where the residual cumulative impacts of development are severe.

Paragraph 39 discusses parking policy:

If setting local parking standards for residential and non-residential development, local planning authorities should take into account:

- the accessibility of the development;
- the type, mix and use of development;
- the availability of and opportunities for public transport;
- local car ownership levels; and
- An overall need to reduce the use of high-emission vehicles.


## South Cambridgeshire District Local Development Framework

Pending adoption of the Local Plan 2011 - 2031 (whose provisions concerning parking are covered in Section 4), the adopted development plan for South Cambridgeshire comprises the Local Development Framework, the relevant documents of which are:

- the Core Strategy, adopted in January 2007; and
- the Development Control Policies, adopted in July 2007.


## South Cambridgeshire Core Strategy 2007

2.14 The Core Strategy for SCDC was adopted in January 2007. This document sets out the strategic vision for South Cambridgeshire to 2016. It sets out a series of objectives in order to achieve the vision, of which those which specifically relate to transport are considered below:

The location of the proposal site has the potential to support the promotion of access through sustainable travel modes, as illustrated in this Transport Assessment, in accordance with the above objective.

## Development Control Policies 2007

Policy TR/1 on Planning for More Sustainable Travel states that:

1. Planning permission will not be granted to developments likely to give rise to a material increase in travel demands unless the site has (or will attain) a sufficient standard of accessibility to offer an appropriate choice of travel by public transport or other non-car mode(s).
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2. In considering planning applications the Council will seek to ensure that every opportunity is taken to increase integration of travel modes and accessibility to non-motorised modes by appropriate measures including:
a) Securing appropriate improvements to public and community transport (including infrastructure requirements) in accordance with the aims of the LTP;
b) Securing on-site and/or off-site design proposals that promote integrated travel and access by non-motorised modes as far as practicable (including walking and cycling) and facilitate and encourage their use;
c) Minimising the amount of car parking provision in new developments, compatible with their location, by encouraging shared use parking (where appropriate) and restricting car parking to the maximum levels;
d) Ensuring that new developments are located and designed at the outset with permeable layouts to facilitate and encourage short distance trips by cycle and walking including to public transport interchanges;
e) Requiring safe and secure cycle parking.
3. The Local Transport Plan road user hierarchy will be taken into account in the determination of planning applications to ensure adequate emphasis has been placed on the relevant modes, although no modes should be promoted to the exclusion of others.
2.18 Policy TR/2 on Car and Cycle Parking Standards states that:
4. Car parking should be provided in accordance with the maximum standards set out in Appendix A (of the DPD), to reduce over-reliance on the car and to promote more sustainable forms of transport.
5. In some locations, such as those with good accessibility to facilities and services, and served by high quality public transport, the council will seek to reduce the amount of car parking provided. Where opportunities arise, for example on mixed use sites, shared use parking and carpooling will be encouraged to minimise provision.
6. Cycle parking should be provided in accordance with the minimum standards set out in Appendix $B$ (of the DPD) to ensure the provision of adequate secure parking.

Appendix A sets an average standard of car parking provision of 1.5 spaces per dwelling across the whole District, with a maximum of two spaces for a dwelling with three or more bedrooms in poorly accessible areas. Garages are to count as parking spaces. Visitor parking should amount to not less than 0.25 spaces for every dwelling with two or more spaces and should be marked appropriately.

Appendix B sets a minimum standard for cycle parking of one secure space per dwelling in a covered,
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lockable enclosure, within the curtilage of the dwelling where possible.
2.19 Policy TR/3 on Mitigating Travel Impact requires new developments to mitigate their travel impact, including their environmental impact, such as noise, pollution and impact on amenity and health. This may mean ensuring adequate provision is made for integrated and improved transport infrastructure or appropriate mitigation measures, through direct improvements and Section 106 contributions, in accordance with the tests in Circular 05/2005. Financial contributions will be sought towards improvements in transport infrastructure in the wider area affected by increased development, in particular to support public transport, cycling and walking.

In the case of proposals for 'major development' (defined by paragraph 2.5 of the DPD as the erection of 20 or more dwellings), or where the proposal is likely to have 'significant transport implications', the Council will require developers to submit a Transport Assessment and a Travel Plan alongside planning applications.

Travel Plans should demonstrate how it is intended to meet the tests in the first paragraph above. In appropriate cases the content of the Travel Plan may be reflected in planning conditions or a planning obligation. Travel Plans should have measurable outputs, related to targets or aims in the LTP, and provide monitoring and enforcement arrangements. A Travel Plan could also help address a particular local traffic problem associated with a planning application, which might otherwise have to be refused on local traffic grounds. The weight to be accorded to a Travel Plan will be influenced by the extent to which it affects the acceptability of the proposal and how far it can be enforced. Planning conditions or obligations may be appropriate means of securing the provision of some or all of a Travel Plan, including a requirement for the production of an annual monitoring and progress report.
2.23 In relation to outline applications, a framework for the preparation of Travel Plans will be submitted with the application proposals.

Policy TR/4 states that the District Council will use its planning powers to support increased use of nonmotorised modes by all sectors of society, including cycle use and walking, by ensuring that new developments are located and designed at the outset to facilitate and encourage short distance trips between home, work, schools and colleges, other suitable destinations and for leisure. Apart from minimising the distance between trip origins and destinations it will be important to ensure:

- that adequate safe and secure cycle parking is provided in accordance with the standards in Policy TR/2;
- that individual developments contribute to the maximum possible extent to achieving the aims of the Local Transport Plan; and
- that detailed designs and layouts are permeable and encourage cycle use and walking for all or part of a journey, e.g. by including safe, direct links to schools, nearby centres of attraction and public transport interchanges, contributing towards the provision of an improved and integrated walking and cycling network in the locality, and providing safe crossing places over main roads.

In assessing such future provision for non-motorised modes, the District Council will use the following priorities:

- $1^{\text {st }}$ priority - provide links to centres with a good range of facilities / services, including major employment areas;
- $\quad 2^{\text {nd }}$ priority - safer routes to schools, provided school buses are not put at risk;
- $3^{\text {rd }}$ priority - leisure and recreation routes.

Any new routes must form safe, highly accessible and convenient connections with Cambridge, the market towns and surrounding villages and link to the existing network. Planning decisions will need to consider the effect of proposed development on the effectiveness and amenity of these routes and take account of the need to extend or improve the attractiveness of the network, including through improved maintenance, crossings, signposting and way marking of cycle ways, footpaths and other rights of way. Where appropriate the District Council will negotiate with the relevant landowners and organisations to extend, or where necessary amend, the network of public rights of way including circular routes.

## South Cambridgeshire District Council Local Plan 2011-2031

The SCDC Local Plan 2011-2031 is a set of policies and land allocations that will guide the future of the district up to 2031 and was submitted to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government for independent review on the 28th March 2014. Consultation has since taken place on modifications to the Plan. It could be a material consideration in planning decisions.

Reflecting the National Planning Policy Framework, Policy S/3 states:

When considering development proposals the Council will take a positive approach that reflects the presumption in favour of sustainable development contained in the National Planning Policy Framework. It will always work proactively with applicants jointly to find solutions which mean that proposals that accord with the Local Plan and Neighbourhood Plans can be approved wherever possible, and to secure development that improves the economic, social and environmental conditions in the area unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

Where there are no policies relevant to the application or relevant policies are out of date at the time of making the decision then the Council will grant permission unless material considerations indicate otherwise - taking into account whether: a. Any adverse impacts of granting permission would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the National Planning Policy Framework taken as a whole; or b. Specific policies in that Framework indicate that development should be restricted.

Policy HQ/1 on Delivering High Quality Places states that with respect to travel and transport places must:
f. Achieve a permeable development with ease of movement and access for all users and
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abilities, with user friendly and conveniently accessible streets both within the development and linking with its surroundings and existing and proposed facilities and services, focusing on delivering attractive and safe opportunities for walking, cycling and public transport;
g. Provide safe and convenient access for all users and abilities to public buildings and spaces, including those with limited mobility or those with other impairment such as of sight or hearing;
h. Ensure that car parking is integrated into the development in a convenient, accessible manner and does not dominate the development and its surroundings or cause safety issues;
i. Provide safe, secure, convenient and accessible provision for cycle parking and storage, facilities for waste management, recycling and collection in a manner that is appropriately integrated within the overall development;
j. Provide a harmonious integrated mix of uses both within the site and with its surroundings that contributes to the creation of inclusive communities providing the facilities and services to meet the needs of the community.

Policy T1/2 on Planning for Sustainable Travel states that development must be located and designed to reduce the need to travel, particularly by car, and promote sustainable travel appropriate to its location. It goes on:

Planning permission will only be granted for development likely to give rise to increased travel demands, where the site has (or will attain) sufficient integration and accessibility by walking, cycling or public and community transport, including:
a. Provision of safe, direct routes within permeable layouts that facilitate and encourage short distance trips by walking and cycling between home and nearby centres of attraction, and to bus stops or railway stations, to provide real travel choice for some or all of the journey, in accordance with Policy HQ/1;
b. Provision of new cycle and walking routes that connect to existing networks, including the wider Rights of Way network, to strengthen connections between villages, Northstowe, Cambridge, market towns, and the wider countryside;
c. Protection and improvement of existing cycle and walking routes, including the Rights of Way network, to ensure the effectiveness and amenity of these routes is maintained, including through maintenance, crossings, signposting and waymarking, and, where appropriate, widening and lighting;
d. Provision of secure, accessible and convenient cycle parking in accordance with Policy TI/3;
e. Securing appropriate improvements to public and community transport (including
infrastructure requirements) in accordance with the aims of the Cambridgeshire Local Transport Plan and South Cambridgeshire Community Transport Strategy.

Developers will be required to demonstrate they will make adequate provision to mitigate the likely impacts (including cumulative impacts) of their proposal including environmental impacts (such as noise and pollution) and impact on amenity and health. This will be achieved through direct improvements and Section 106 contributions and/or the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL), to address transport infrastructure in the wider area including across the district boundary.

Developers of 'larger developments'[footnote: including residential developments with 20 or more dwellings] or where a proposal is likely to have 'significant transport implications' will be required to demonstrate they have maximised opportunities for sustainable travel and will make adequate provision to mitigate the likely impacts through provision of a Transport Assessment and Travel Plan...Where a Transport Assessment / Statement or Travel Plan is required, a Low Emissions Strategy Statement should be integrated. Travel Plans must have measurable outputs, be related to the aims and objectives in the Local Transport Plan and provide monitoring and enforcement arrangements. Planning obligations may be an appropriate means of securing the provision of some or all of a Travel Plan, including the requirement for an annual monitoring and progress report. Submission of area-wide Travel Plans will be considered in appropriate situations. Outline planning applications are required to submit a framework for the preparation of a Travel Plan.
2.31 With regard to parking provision, paragraph 10.23 states:

The car parking standards...are indicative, providing a guide to developers as part of a designled approach whereby car parking provision is tailored to reflect the specific development in terms of its location (whether there are local services available which may reduce the need to travel long distances by car), the density of development, the mix of uses proposed, together with consideration of any 'smart' measures being incorporated into the development, (such as car clubs), which may reduce the level of need for private car parking.

Policy TI/3 and Figure 12 set an indicative car parking provision of two spaces per dwelling of which one should be within the curtilage. Additional spaces may be needed for visitors, service vehicles and salespeople. For cycles a minimum standard of one space per bedroom is given. The Policy goes on:

Car parking provision will take into consideration the site location, type and mix of uses, car ownership levels, availability of local services, facilities and public transport, and highway and user safety issues, as well as ensuring appropriate parking for people with impaired mobility.

The Council will encourage innovative solutions to car parking, including shared spaces where the location and patterns of use permit, and incorporation of measures such as car clubs and
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electric charging points.


Residential garages will only be counted towards car and cycle parking provision where they meet a minimum size requirement [footnote: at least $6 \times 3.3$ metres plus 1 metre at the end and/or 650-750 mm at the side for cycles].

All parking provision must be provided in a manner that accords with Policy HQ/1 and the developer must provide clear justification for the level and type of parking proposed in the Design and Access Statement and/or Travel Plan.

## The Cambridgeshire Local Transport Plan 2011-2031 Policies and Strategies document, as updated in 2015

Cambridgeshire County Council policy, with respect to transport, is embodied in the Local Transport Plan. The third Cambridgeshire Local Transport Plan covers the twenty year period from 2011 to 2031 and is split into three main parts as follows

- The Policies and Strategies document which sets out the Plans objectives, problems and challenges and the strategy to meet those challenges.
- The Long Term Transport Strategy, which provides a high level view of the more substantial transport infrastructure and service enhancements that are needed across the county. This is currently under consultation however a draft version is available; and
- The Transport Delivery Plan, a plan detailing how the LTP3 will be delivered.

Figure 4.1 in the Policies and Strategies document lists eight challenges that the LTP3 aims to address:

- improving the reliability of journey times by managing demand for road space, where appropriate and maximising the capacity and efficiency of the existing network;
- reducing the length of the commute and the need to travel by private car;
- making sustainable modes of transport a viable and attractive alternative to the private car;
- future-proofing the maintenance strategy and new transport infrastructure to cope with the effects of climate change;
- ensuring people - especially those at risk of social exclusion - can access the services they need within reasonable time, cost and effort wherever they live in the county;
- addressing the main causes of road accidents in Cambridgeshire;
- protecting and enhancing the natural environment by minimising the environmental impact of transport; and
- influencing national and local decisions on land-use and transport planning that impact on routes through Cambridgeshire.

On page 4-4 the document endorses the road user hierarchy set out in Manual For Streets, i.e. pedestrians, cyclists, public transport, specialist service vehicles and other motor vehicles in order of precedence. Figure 4.3 shows the A10 as a Primary Road (second in the Cambridgeshire road hierarchy) and Station Road, Meldreth High Street, North End / Meldreth Road and Station Road / Fowlmere Road (Shepreth) as Local Roads.

## The Cambridgeshire Long Term Transport Strategy (2015)

This document forms part of the LTP3 suite. The Action Plan in Section 4 notes in Figure 4.3 (schemes that are required to support major development allocations in current and emerging Local Plans) that a park and ride facility should be provided at Hauxton to supplement the existing facility at Trumpington Road and with segregated bus access to the Cambridgeshire Busway.

In rural areas the Plan recognises (pages 3-2, 3-3) that the private car will often be the most viable option for many journeys although buses and community transport are vitally important for those without access to a car. Priorities for rural areas include innovative services such as demand responsive transport, reviewing local bus services to improve connections, improved access to the rail network through improved cycle routes and bus links, and making better use of technology to provide information and booking facilities for transport.

## The Transport Strategy for Cambridge City and South Cambridgeshire

This document was adopted in March 2014. Its objectives are:

- to ensure that the transport network supports the economy and acts as a catalyst for sustainable growth;
- to enhance accessibility to, from and within Cambridge and South Cambridgeshire (and beyond the strategy area);
- to ensure good transport links between new and existing communities, and the jobs and services people wish to access;
- to prioritise sustainable alternatives to the private car in the strategy area, and reduce the impacts of congestion on sustainable modes of transport;
- to meet air quality objectives and carbon reduction targets, and preserve the natural environment;
- to ensure that changes to the transport network respect and conserve the distinctive character of the area and people's quality of life;
- to ensure the strategy encourages healthy and active travel, supporting improved well-being; and
- to manage the transport network effectively and efficiently.

Policy TSCSC 1 sets out the strategy's approach:

- The transport network will support economic growth, mitigate the transport impacts of the growth agenda and help protect the area's distinctive character and environment.
- To achieve this, sustainable transport capacity will be provided in and around [Cambridge] between key employment areas, and to where people live and access services. The sustainable transport network will strengthen the economic hubs and the high tech clusters in and around the city by making movement between them straightforward and convenient.
- The backbone of the strategy will be a high quality passenger transport network of bus, guided bus and rail services, fed and complemented by comprehensive pedestrian and cycle networks. Highways capacity enhancements will ensure that traffic can move efficiently in appropriate locations without interfering with passenger transport corridors.

With regard to South Cambridgeshire, Policy TSCSC 3 states:
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For additional travel demand to be accommodated on the constrained transport network of South Cambridgeshire and into Cambridge and surrounding towns:

- passenger transport services on main radial corridors will be used for part or all of more trips to Cambridge and to other key destinations;
- more people will walk and cycle to access these services;
- more people will car share;
- more locally led transport solutions will provide passenger transport options in more remote areas that cannot viably be served by conventional bus services.
2.41 Policy TSCSC 6 requires Transport Assessments for developments that generate approximately 500 person trips per day, by all modes, or if there are other local issues that need to be addressed.
2.43 Figures 4.2 and 4.11 shows Meldreth station as an improved transport hub with the Hitchin - Cambridge line set to see improved services as a result of the Thameslink programme. No improvements are proposed along the A10 corridor.
2.44 A package of smarter choices for the area is however set out, including an awareness and marketing strategy, a progressive approach to school travel planning, a comprehensive car sharing scheme available to all, the deployment of car clubs and the securing of smarter travel choices at new development (Figure 5.18).

Figure 4.11 shows the Cambridge - Royston corridor as forming part of a cycle network for South Cambridgeshire.

## Summary

The development principles with respect to transport and access to the highway will be guided by the principles and design guidance set out in the Design Manual for Roads \& Bridges (DMRB), Manual for Streets (MfS) and Cambridgeshire Design Guide.
2.47 It is EAS' view that the development proposals comply with the national and local policy framework set out above and that this is demonstrated fully within this Transport Assessment.

## 3 The local transport network and baseline conditions

## Existing site conditions

3.1 The proposed development site is located off Station Road between the villages of Meldreth (to the northwest of the A10 road) and Melbourn (to the southeast). It is approximately 17.6 kilometres by road from Cambridge and 5.5 kilometres from Royston.
3.2 The site is currently occupied by agricultural land. To the south is a small industrial estate (Valley Farm) with a floorspace of approximately 6,230 square metres. Access to the estate is by a priority junction with Station Road which also serves a private house.
3.3 The site is bounded on its northwest side by the Hitchin - Cambridge railway and on its east side by a public footpath linking Meldreth station with Melbourn. Appendix A shows the location of the site in relation to local facilities.

## Local road network

3.4 Station Road is a local road that runs northwest, crossing the railway by a bridge a short distance west of Meldreth station. Near the proposed access to the site it is approximately 6.0 metres wide. North of the bridge it divides into two roads at a triangular priority junction. One branch (Whitecroft Road) runs north through a residential part of the village before intersecting another local road (Kneesworth Road and Fenny Lane). From here there are two routes to the A1198 Royston - Huntingdon road: one via Kneesworth Road and the other via Whaddon village.
3.5 The other branch of Station Road continues straight on as the High Street, passing the railway station and curving round to the north, passing through the village centre with a Post Office, village hall and public house. The road continues to the northeast as North End until it reaches Shepreth village. At the centre of the village it makes a priority T-junction with Station Road and Fowlmere Road; the latter links with the A 10 .

South of the site, Station Road curves round to the southeast at Fieldgate Nurseries, passes under the A10 and then makes a priority T-junction with another road, also named Station Road. To the north this meets the A10 at a priority T-junction while to the south it links with Melbourn village centre.
3.7 In this area the A10 is a single carriageway road approximately ten metres wide with a ghost island and right turn lane to facilitate turning movements into Station Road. (Away from the junction its width is approximately 7.5 metres.) This junction is the A10's only link with the village roads west of Shepreth, where there is a staggered junction with Frog End and Cambridge Road and, 960 metres further east, a crossroads priority junction with Fowlmere Road and Shepreth Road.
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3.8 The A10 is subject to the national speed limit with a no-stopping restriction. There is lighting at the junctions with Station Road and with Frog End / Cambridge Road, but not elsewhere. A short section of Station Road southeast of the junction with the A10 also has a nominal national speed limit. All other roads in the area have a 30 mph limit and there is street lighting along the whole length of Station Road between and including Meldreth and Melbourn villages.
3.9 East of the junction with Whitecroft Road, Meldreth High Street has traffic calming, with speed cushions and chicanes, although no 20 mph limit is signed

## Pedestrian and cycle accessibility

3.10 The Manual for Streets (MfS) and other national and local policies recognise the importance of walking and cycling as modes of transport which offer a more sustainable alternative to car travel and can make a positive contribution towards the overall character of a place, improved public health and in helping to tackle climate change.
3.11 Appendix A shows the location of the site in relation to local facilities and Table 3.1 gives a summary.

|  | Mode | Distance <br> $($ metres or <br> $\mathrm{km})$ | Time (mins) | Time by <br> alternative mode <br> (mins) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post Office | Walk | 800 m | 10 |  |
| General store * | Walk | 800 m | 10 |  |
| Supermarket | Car | 6.1 km | 8 |  |
| Primary school | Walk | 650 m | 8 | 2 (cycle) |
| Secondary school | Walk | 1.5 km | 19 | 5 (cycle) |
| FE College | Car | 15.4 km | 21 | 45 (train \& bus) |
| Community centre | Walk | 650 m | 8 | 2 (cycle) |
| Public house | Walk | 1.0 km | 12 | 3 (cycle) |
| Library | Walk | 1.1 km | 13 | 4 (cycle) |
| GP surgery | Cycle | 1.4 km | 5 |  |
| Dentist | Cycle | 1.3 km | 4 |  |
| Hospital | Car | 6.7 km | 8 |  |
| Leisure centre | Cycle | 5.1 km | 18 | 7 (car) |
| Royston town centre | Train | 6.1 km | 9 | 22 (cycle) |
| Cambridge centre | Train | 17.6 km | 45 |  |
| Railway station | Walk | 350 m | 5 |  |

* There is a larger Co-op store in Melbourn village, 900 metres or 11 minutes walk.

Table 3.1: Local facilities with distances and journey times from the site access
3.12 There are two primary schools nearby within one kilometre of the development site, the nearer being in

Meldreth village itself. Melbourn Village College is 19 minutes walk away or five minutes by cycle. Meldreth and Melbourn villages between then have several basic facilities such as a library, public house, small supermarket, village hall and GP and dental surgeries. There are a leisure centre, a large supermarket and a hospital in Royston and Cambridge with its wide range of amenities is a 45 train ride away.
3.13 Station Road has a footway on its west side although it is narrow (no more than one metre) in places. It is continuous to Meldreth village centre. South of the industrial estate access it switches to the east side and continues to the T-junction south of the A10 where dropped kerbs facilitate the road crossing for the continuation into Melbourn village. The footpaths are lit throughout.
3.14 A public right of way runs along the east side of the site, linking Station Road near the T-junction south of the A10 with Meldreth station. It is surfaced and lit throughout its length. At Meldreth station it is necessary to cross the footbridge to reach the village but access to Melbourn village is step-free. It is somewhat secluded where it passes under the A10 via a cutting and subway but it avoids the need to cross Station Road.
3.15 The Cambridgeshire Cycle Map does not extend as far south as Meldreth but shows a shared off-road footway and cycle path alongside the A10 between Cambridge and Foxton and this in fact continues to the junction with Frog End and Cambridge Road, south of Shepreth. From here there is a choice of routes on local roads to the site, either via Cambridge Road and Melbourn or via Shepreth and Meldreth villages. As noted in Section 2 there are proposals to improve the cycle route along the A10 corridor in the Local Transport Plan.
3.16 The proposed development is therefore located within a short walk or cycle distance of a range of local facilities, reducing the need to make short journeys by private car.

## Public transport

## Bus Services

3.17 Appendix B has maps of the bus routes serving Meldreth and Melbourn.
3.18 Bus route 128, operated by A2B Bus and Coach, runs along Station Road past the site but the nearest stop is at the junction with Whitecroft Road, 210 metres from the site access. It operates two round-trip journeys on Mondays to Saturdays from Shepreth to Royston via Meldreth and Melbourn, calling at Whitecroft Road at 10:51 towards Royston, and at 12:47 returning from Royston. The bus is advertised as travelling fast from Royston to Shepreth along the A10 before beginning its inbound journey and from Shepreth to Royston at the end of its outbound journey, but residents who used them would have to make alternative arrangements for travel in the opposite direction.
3.19 Route 15, operated by C.G. Myall and Son, makes one return journey on Wednesdays only between

Haslingfield, Barrington, Orwell, Arrington and Royston, diverting to call at Meldreth and Bassingbourn en route. The Meldreth stop is at West Way, 1.4 kilometres from the site, calling at 09:45 towards Royston and at 13:07 on the return journey.

Route 26, operated by Stagecoach, calls at Vicarage Close in Melbourn, one kilometre or 12 - 13 minutes walk from the site access. It runs hourly on Mondays to Saturdays between Trumpington Park and Ride site, Cambridge, and Royston. Table 3.2 summarises the service.

| 26 | Buses per hour |  | Northbound to <br> Trumpington P \& R * |  | Southbound to Royston bus <br> station |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daytime | Evening | First bus | Last bus | First bus | Last bus |
| M - F | 1 | - | $06: 43$ | $18: 24$ | $09: 24$ | $19: 49$ |
| Sat | 1 | - | $07: 13$ | $18: 14$ | $09: 24$ | $19: 39$ |
| Sun | - | - | - | - | - | - |

* The first and last two journeys northbound and the first one and the last three southbound run to or from St Andrew's Street, Cambridge city centre.
Operated by Stagecoach.
Table 3.2: Summary of bus route 26
3.21 There are frequent connections at Trumpington Park and Ride for central Cambridge and also for Addenbrooke's Hospital via the Cambridgeshire Guided Busway. It is anticipated that a development of 200 units would substantially add to the viability of the existing bus services and allow for some enhancement that would be of benefit to existing residents and users of the service,


## Rail Services

3.22 The limited bus service at Meldreth is amply compensated for by the rail service. The station is conveniently located 350 metres from the site access and the right of way along the east side of the site will place it within 240 metres of the site centroid. There is storage for twelve cycles.

There is a half hourly service between London King's Cross and Cambridge calling at Finsbury Park, Potters Bar, Hatfield and all stations north of there. Table 3.3 summarises the service.

| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | Journeys per hour |  | Northbound to Cambridge |  | Southbound to London <br> King's Cross |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daytime | Evening | First | Last | First | Last |
| M-F | 2 | $1-2$ | $07: 06$ | $01: 10$ | $05: 41$ | $23: 49$ |
| Sat | 2 | $1-2$ | $07: 06$ | $01: 10$ | $07: 10$ | $23: 49$ |
| Sun | 2 | $1-2$ | $07: 10$ | $01: 10$ | $07: 10$ | $23: 36$ |

Operated by Govia Great Northern.
Table 3.3: Summary of the train service at Meldreth station (as at June 2018)
3.24 Note that Table 3.3 is based on the emergency timetable introduced in early summer 2018 as a result of difficulties with the Thameslink service and may change with introduction of the full Thameslink timetable in 2019. At that time the range of connections at Finsbury Park to destinations across central London and south of the Thames is expected to widen considerably. There are also connections at Finsbury Park for Moorgate.
3.25 In addition there are connections at Hitchin and Stevenage for points north on the East Coast Main Line and at Cambridge for Cambridge North, for East Anglia and for March, Peterborough and beyond. There is also a connection to Addenbrooke's Hospital via the Cambridgeshire Guided Busway.
3.26 The site is therefore well placed to take advantage of the rail service

## Census data

3.27 Table 3.4 uses data from the 2011 Census to show the method of travel to work for employed people living in South Cambridgeshire 018F Lower Layer Super Output Area, containing the site, comparing this with the District as a whole. Figure 3.1 shows the extent of the LSOA.

> LSOA South Cambs 018F District

|  | Number | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Rail | 51 | $7.9 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| Bus, minibus or coach | 7 | $1.1 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| Taxi | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Motorcycle, scooter or moped | 11 | $1.7 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| Driving a car or van | 400 | $62.3 \%$ | $69.3 \%$ |
| Passenger in a car or van | 30 | $4.7 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| Bicycle | 35 | $5.5 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| On foot | 97 | $15.1 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ |
| Other method of travel to work | 11 | $1.7 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Total travelling to work | 642 | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Table 3.4: Work journey mode for LSOA South Cambridgeshire 018F and South Cambridgeshire District (Nomis Table QS701EW)


Figure 3.1: LSOA South Cambridgeshire 018F. The arrow shows the site location
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The local transport network and baseline conditions

The LSOA differs from the District most markedly in the proportion walking to work, more than double the District's share. The proportion cycling is less, possibly because of the above-average distance from Cambridge, although it is still higher than in many other areas. The share of public transport journeys is similar in both areas but the LSOA has a much higher proportion using rail and fewer using buses. The proportion driving is below the District average. The factors underlying the modal split are probably complex but may reflect Meldreth being a small village community, with an unusually frequent rail service, in the commuting zones of two cities where driving can be unattractive.

Table 3.5 shows the level of car availability in the LSOA and the District.

|  | LSOA South Cambs 018F |  | District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| No cars or vans in household | 86 | 15.2 | 11.0 |
| 1 car or van in household | 238 | 42.0 | 40.4 |
| 2 cars or vans in household | 190 | 33.6 | 36.6 |
| 3 cars or vans in household | 29 | 5.1 | 8.7 |
| 4 or more cars or vans in household | 23 | 4.1 | 3.3 |
| All households | 566 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Sum of all cars or vans in the area | 818 | - | - |
| Cars per household | 1.4 | - | 1.6 |

Table 3.5: Car availability in LSOA South Cambridgeshire 018F and the District (Nomis Table KS404EW)

Car availability is slightly below the average for the District, with $15 \%$ of households having no car. However, over two-fifths still have two or more cars.

## Road safety

3.31 The Crashmap website has injury-accident data for the years 2013-2017 and this has been supplemented by data from the Cambridgeshire Interactive Map, although this does not cover 2017. The locations are shown on the map in Appendix C. Attention was focused on the accidents within the red ovals as being on routes likely to be used by people travelling to and from the site.

22 injury-accidents occurred over the five-year period. One was fatal and five others were serious; the rest were slight. There were no child casualties. Three involved pedestrians; two involved pedal cyclists; five involved motorcyclists and three involved light goods vehicles (up to 3.5 tonnes).

The closest accidents to the site occurred at the bend in Station Road near Fieldgate Nurseries. One was a collision between two cars and the other a single vehicle accident involving a car. Both occurred when the road was wet and the latter was late at night (after 23:00 hours).

There were no accidents at the junction of Station Road and Whitecroft Road and the only accident in
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The local transport network and baseline conditions

Meldreth village centre was a collision between a car and a cyclist at midday on a Sunday; it was outside the Post Office and general store, which would have been open at the time.
3.35 There was one serious accident, also involving a car and a pedal cycle, at the junction of High Street, Fenny Lane and North End. There was also a cluster of six accidents, one of them serious, at the junction of Whitecroft Road, Whaddon Road, Kneesworth Road and Fenny Lane. All were collisions between two or three cars or, in one case, between a car and a light goods vehicle, and in two cases the road was wet. This is a priority crossroads favouring Whitecroft and Whaddon roads but Kneesworth Road and Fenny Lane form a straight route across the junction and there may be a temptation not to slow down; sight lines from Kneesworth Road in particular are poor. One of the accidents was southeast of the junction but could have been a rear end shunt.

Six accidents, four of them serious and one fatal, occurred on the A10 in the vicinity of the junction with Station Road. Only one of these was at the junction itself; it was a collision between a car and a motorcycle when the road was wet; it resulted in serious injury.
3.37 The other accidents were varied in nature. One, northeast of the junction, was a collision between two cars. One was a serious single vehicle accident and another was a collision between a motorcycle and a light goods vehicle in wet conditions. The fatal accident was a collision between two cars while the remaining serious accident was a car hitting a pedestrian. It is not known whether the pedestrian was attempting to cross the road or merely walking along it but the verges are very narrow with shrubbery close to the carriageway; it cannot in any sense be regarded as a pedestrian route.

Six accidents occurred on or close to Melbourn High Street and were considered because they lie on the route to the Village College. However, none involved children and of the two involving pedestrians one was on a Saturday and the other at 18:25, well after school hours. Three involved motorcycles, either as a sole vehicle or in collision with a car, and a serious accident that involved a pedal cycle was at 10:15, well outside pupils' travel times. There does not appear to be any identifiable hazard to schoolchildren.

It may be concluded that:

- Meldreth village is largely free of traffic accidents;
- The bend at Fieldgate Nurseries may be problematic in wet weather but there have been only two accidents in six years (the Cambridgeshire Map shows that there were none in 2012);
- The accident cluster at the Whitecroft Road / Kneesworth Road crossroads may require attention but it is 1.3 kilometres from the site access;
- Only one accident has occurred at the Station Road / A10 junction, although there were two others in 2012;
- The other accidents on the A10 near the junction have few obvious common features although high speed could well have aggravated their severity; and
- The accidents in Melbourn village centre do not suggest any obvious hazards to school pupils travelling from the site.


## Summary

3.40 The proposed development site is within convenient walking distance of the facilities in Meldreth village including the primary school, Post Office and small general store, public house and village hall. It is also reasonably accessible, either on foot or by cycle, to facilities in Melbourn including a secondary school, GP and dental surgeries, library and a Co-op store.
3.41 Although bus services are limited there is a half-hourly train service linking with Royston, London and Cambridge and the station is easily accessible on foot (particularly if access can be arranged via the footpath east of the site).
3.42 It is anticipated that a development of 200 units would substantially add to the viability of the existing bus services and allow for some enhancement that would be of benefit to existing residents and users of the service,
3.43 The good rail service and accessibility to Cambridge lead to lower car use and ownership compared with other parts of South Cambridgeshire.
3.44 There have been few road accidents near the site between 2013-2017 and none involving children. The footpath east of the site will enable access to both Meldreth and Melbourn village centres with a minimum of road crossings.

## 4 Development proposal

4.1 The proposed development is expected to consist of up to 200 dwellings.

## Vehicular and pedestrian access arrangements

4.2 Vehicle access to the site will be via a priority junction with Station Road. The junction design is based on the requirements of the South Cambridgeshire Design Guide SPD 2010, the Manual for Streets and the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges.
4.3 Station Road is on an embankment and some building-up will be needed to maintain a gradient of 1:20 $(5 \%)$ over the first 20 metres away from the junction. It is proposed to close the industrial estate and to stop up the access to it, which is a private road. However, it may be suitable to form an emergency route to the new development. The access to the house north of the industrial estate will remain.
4.4 An ATC speed survey was undertaken by K\&M surveys between $25^{\text {th }}$ June 2018 to $01^{\text {st }}$ July 2018 south of the railway bridge along Station Road to establish the northbound and southbound vehicle speeds. The $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed recorded for southbound vehicles was 30.54 mph and the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds for vehicles travelling northbound was 31.72 mph . The speed survey results are shown in Appendix D.
4.5 Appendix E shows that visibility splays of $2.4 \times 65$ metres can be achieved to the north of the site access in accordance with the DMRB design standards for the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed of 31 mph . Visibility splays of $2.4 \times 69$ metres can be achieved to the south of the site access in accordance with the DMRB design standards for the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed of 32 mph .
4.6 A long section is also shown in Appendix E illustrating that the vertical profile of Station Road does not compromise visibility in either direction for those users exiting the site access.
4.7 Other proposed modifications, shown on the same drawing, are:

- Widening of the footway on the west side of Station Road to a maximum of two metres on the section between the railway bridge and the former access to the industrial estate.
- Creation of a new footway on the east side, extending from the site access southwards to meet the existing footway across the former industrial estate access.
- Installation of a traffic island with dropped kerbs on Station Road to facilitate crossing to the footway on the west side. Dropped kerbs will similarly be installed on both the west and east side footways opposite the traffic island and also at the point where the west site footway ends.
- A slight cutting back of the kerb line on the west side of the road to ease the curve and improve the sight lines on the approach to the bridge and the new junction.
4.8 A pedestrian access into the site will also be created from the existing footpath along its east side. This will provide a short cut to the station and to Meldreth and Melbourn village centres that will be traffic free for much of the way. The access to Melbourn will also be step-free. The path will offer an attractive alternative to driving.


## Servicing

4.9 All servicing requirements including refuse collection, removal vehicles and access by emergency vehicles will be wholly accommodated within the site.
4.10 It is recommended that when a detailed layout is available swept path analysis be undertaken to demonstrate that a refuse vehicle can turn within the site.

## Parking provision

4.11 South Cambridgeshire District Council (SCDC) policy with regard to vehicle parking provision for new development is set out in Appendix A of the Development Control Policies DPD. The provision for car parking is applied as a maximum standard for South Cambridgeshire and the car parking standards applicable to the proposal site are set out below:

- Average of 1.5 spaces per dwelling across the District (up to a maximum of two per house with three or more bedrooms in poorly accessible areas).
- Provision for visitors, services and salespeople should not fall below 0.25 spaces per dwelling provided with two parking spaces.
4.12 Appendix B sets a minimum standard for cycle parking of one secure space per dwelling in a covered, lockable enclosure, within the curtilage of the dwelling where possible. The minimum standard for cycle parking is one space per dwelling. Although not specifically referred to in the parking standards, the Standards identify that developers should also consider the needs of powered two-wheeled vehicles.
4.13 Cambridgeshire Highways have recommended, in pre-application advice for other developments, that the emerging South Cambridgeshire Local Plan should also be taken into account. This sets an indicative standard (in Figure 12) for car parking provision of two spaces per dwelling, one of which is to be provided within the curtilage, and notes that additional provision may be needed for visitors, service vehicles and salespeople. For cycles Figure 12 sets a minimum provision of one space per bedroom. The Policy also notes:

Car parking provision will take into consideration the site location, type and mix of uses, car ownership levels, availability of local services, facilities and public transport, and highway and user safety issues, as well as ensuring appropriate parking for people with impaired mobility.

Residential garages will only be counted towards car and cycle parking provision where they
meet a minimum size requirement (namely $3.3 \mathrm{~m} \times 6 \mathrm{~m}$ for a car, with an additional 1 m at the end and / or $650-750 \mathrm{~mm}$ at the side of a garage to park cycles).

All parking provision must be provided in a manner that accords with Policy HQ/1 and the developer must provide clear justification for the level and type of parking proposed in the Design and Access Statement and/or Travel Plan.
4.14 The relevant clauses of Policy HQ/1 state that development proposals must

Ensure that car parking is integrated into the development in a convenient, accessible manner and does not dominate the development and its surroundings or cause safety issues;

Provide safe, secure, convenient and accessible provision for cycle parking and storage, facilities for waste management, recycling and collection in a manner that is appropriately integrated within the overall development.
4.15 The emerging local plan also notes:

Under provision of car parking may lead to inappropriate on-street car parking, creating potential highway safety problems and unsightly street environments, whilst over provision may equally result in unsightly, and sometimes unsafe, car dominated developments. A balance needs to be struck to ensure sufficient parking is provided in the right locations whilst not creating excessive provision which will undermine sustainability objectives to reduce travel by car. (paragraph 10.21)

The car parking standards...are indicative, providing a guide to developers as part of a designled approach whereby car parking provision is tailored to reflect the specific development in terms of its location (whether there are local services available which may reduce the need to travel long distances by car), the density of development, the mix of uses proposed, together with consideration of any 'smart' measures being incorporated into the development (such as car clubs) which may reduce the level of need for private car parking. (paragraph 10.23)
4.16 These considerations are similar to those in the National Planning Policy Framework (Section 2), which also notes that local car ownership levels should be taken into account.

As noted in Section 3 and Table 3.5, car availability per household in LSOA South Cambridgeshire 018F is 1.4 , slightly below the District level of 1.6 . Nonetheless, nearly $43 \%$ of households have two or more cars.

Although Meldreth is in a rural location its train service is comparatively good and travel to Cambridge, Royston and stations onward to London, in particular, is well catered for. In view of the ready access to primary and secondary schools on foot or by cycle, the level of provision proposed should be proof against any possibility of an increase in car ownership.
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4.19 The layout of the streets and the provision of forecourts in front of the garages will allow flexibility for visitor parking, whether on or off street.
4.20 Each house will provide at least one secure area for parking two to three cycles in line with emerging standards, with garages contributing towards this requirement in most if not all cases
4.21 In line with the planning policies, one secure cycle parking place will be provided within the curtilage of each dwelling.

## 5 Trip generation and traffic impact

## Baseline traffic data

As the site is currently in use for agricultural purposes the traffic generation from this would be negligible. However, the closure of the industrial estate will offset some of the travel generated by the proposed housing.

On Wednesday $27^{\text {th }}$ June 2018 K M Traffic carried out peak hour manual classified counts of turning movements, and queuing counts, at four junctions:

- Junction 1a: the industrial estate access;
- Junction 2: the priority junction between the two arms of Station Road south of the A10;
- Junction 3: the priority junction between Station Road and the A10; and
- Junction 4: the priority junction between Meldreth Road, Station Road and Fowlmere Road in Shepreth village.

The count at the industrial estate access was to establish the amount and routeing of the industrial estate traffic so that it could be netted off from the development traffic. The proposed site access junction (Junction 1) is adjacent to Junction 1a so that the traffic counts could apply to both junctions.

The busiest periods varied from junction to junction. At the most critical junction, that on Station Road south of the A10 (Junction 2), the busiest hours were 07:45-08:45 and 17:00-18:00 and these were the periods used for detailed analysis of Junctions 1 to 3 . At Junction 4 the AM peak period was somewhat earlier, at 07:15-08:15.

Appendix F contains the raw traffic count data and these are also shown in diagrammatic form in Figure 1 of Appendix G. Figure 2 in the same Appendix shows the percentages of heavy vehicles for each turning movement. Note that the road links between the site access and Shepreth village are greatly simplified as no analysis was required of the intervening junctions.

The data were factored up from 2018 to 2023 levels using the TEMPRO 7.2 program. No committed development was identified at the time of preparing this Assessment. Figure 3 in Appendix $\mathbf{G}$ shows the forecast baseline flows.

## Trip generation

A TRICS assessment of the likely vehicle trip generation was carried out for the development site. It was based on privately owned houses in the Southeast and East Anglia in edge of town and neighbourhood centre locations; the latter sites were all in villages.

Although the proposed development may include some affordable housing, the TRICS assessment
assumes that all the housing will be private. Since vehicle trip generation from private housing is usually higher than from affordable, this makes the findings of the analysis more robust.

Table 5.1 shows the vehicle trip rates per dwelling obtained and the estimated numbers of trips for a development of 200 houses. Appendix $\mathbf{H}$ shows the full TRICS output.

|  | $08: 00-09: 00$ |  |  | $17: 00-18: 00$ |  |  | $07: 00-19: 00$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Trip rates: | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Vehicles | 0.155 | 0.388 | 0.543 | 0.345 | 0.144 | 0.489 | 2.301 | 2.323 | 4.624 |
| of which OGVs | 0.001 | 0 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.017 | 0.014 | 0.031 |
| Trips: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicles | 31 | 78 | 109 | 69 | 29 | 98 | 460 | 465 | 925 |
| of which OGVs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 6 |

Table 5.1: Vehicle trip rates and estimated vehicle trips for a development of 200 private houses (TRICS 7.5.1)

## Trip distribution

5.31 To establish the distribution of peak hour traffic from the development, use was made of Census origindestination data for Middle Layer Super Output Area (MSOA) South Cambridgeshire 018. Focusing on people driving to work, all the work locations were identified (MSOAs within South Cambridgeshire District, local authority districts elsewhere) and the numbers of employed people driving to each one were summed. They were then assigned to routes on the basis of shortest journey time. For journeys entirely within the MSOA destinations and routeings had to be determined on the basis of the location of, and travel time to, the main local workplaces.

Concern had been expressed in some quarters about traffic, generated by the proposed development, passing through Shepreth village. The rationale for this was that, although the shortest route from the site to Cambridge and other places to the northeast was along the A10, drivers might try to avoid having to make a right turn out of Station Road during the morning peak. It had been suggested that they might instead travel via Whitecroft Road and North End to Shepreth. This would enable them to make a left turn onto the A10 from Fowlmere Road.
5.33 It was assumed that a third of outbound drivers, using the A10 to the east, would travel via Shepreth. The rationale for this assumption is discussed in the section on Junction 4. In the inbound direction the drivers were all routed direct via the A10 on the grounds that, as they only needed to make a left turn into Station Road, there would be no point in taking the longer Shepreth route.
5.34 Figure 4 in Appendix G shows the distribution of trips from and to the proposed residential development in percentage form and Figure 5 multiplies the generated trips into and out of the site by the percentage distribution to give the numbers of vehicles using each route.

## Netting off of industrial estate trips

The PICADY component of the Junctions9 program was used to estimate the impact of the proposed development. Table 5.2 summarises the output from the model, which is shown in full in Appendix I.

|  | AM peak (07:45-08:45) | PM peak (17:00-18:00) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Max RFC | Max queue <br> (vehicles) | Max RFC | Max queue <br> (vehicles) |
| Site access - Station Road | 0.18 | 0.2 | 0.06 | 0.1 |
| Station Road south | 0.07 | 0.1 | 0.15 | 0.3 |

Table 5.2: Site access junction with development: summary PICADY results
5.41 The analysis shows that the proposed junction will operate without measurable impact on Station Road traffic.

## Junction 2 assessment: Station Road junction south of the A10

This is a priority junction between three roads, all named Station Road; the major arms are Station Road south (leading to Melbourn) and Station Road north (leading to the A10). The minor arm is Station Road west (leading to the site and to Meldreth). The minor arm has a wide flare where it joins the major, while the major arm has no right turn lane.
5.43 Table 5.3a shows the PICADY results with the 2018 counted data, Table 5.3b the 2023 baseline and Table 5.3c the results with the proposed development traffic.

|  | AM peak (07:45-08:45) | PM peak (17:00-18:00) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Max RFC | Max queue <br> (vehicles) | Max RFC | Max queue <br> (vehicles) |
| Station Road west to north | 0.63 | 1.5 | 0.18 | 0.2 |
| Station Road west to south | 0.86 | 5.0 | 0.49 | 0.9 |
| Station Road north to west or south | 0.16 | 0.2 | 0.27 | 0.4 |

Table 5.3a: Station Road junction south of the A10, counted data: summary PICADY results

|  | AM peak (07:45-08:45) | PM peak (17:00-18:00) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Max RFC | Max queue <br> (vehicles) | Max RFC | Max queue <br> (vehicles) |
| Station Road west to north | 0.95 | 6.5 | 0.20 | 0.3 |
| Station Road west to south | 0.98 | 11.6 | 0.55 | 1.2 |
| Station Road north to west or south | 0.18 | 0.3 | 0.29 | 0.5 |

Table 5.3b: Station Road junction south of the A10, 2023 baseline: summary PICADY results

|  | AM peak (07:45-08:45) | PM peak (17:00-18:00) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Max RFC | Max queue <br> (vehicles) | Max RFC | Max queue <br> (vehicles) |
| Station Road west to north | 1.07 | 11.9 | 0.24 | 0.3 |
| Station Road west to south | 1.07 | 22.4 | 0.59 | 1.4 |
| Station Road north to west or south | 0.20 | 0.3 | 0.38 | 0.7 |

Table 5.3c: Station Road junction south of the A10, 2023 with development: summary PICADY results
5.44 The results show that during the AM peak, even with the 2018 counted data, the RFC for the west to south stream just exceeds the generally accepted threshold of 0.85 above which the junction is likely to become unstable. This appears to be borne out by the count data, which show (Appendix F) a maximum queue of 14 vehicles at this junction at around 08:20-08:40; Google Maps also suggests that there is congestion there at that time.
5.45 In the 2018 baseline model the maximum RFC rises to 0.98 , with the west to north stream also affected, and with the development it rises further to 1.07. In the PM peak the RFC is well below 0.85 in all cases and the count data show that only brief queues, of up to six vehicles, occurred on the Station Road west arm and then only twice between 16:00-19:00.
5.46 The delay at this junction appears to result from the heaviest flow in the AM peak being in the west to south direction, i.e. from Meldreth towards Melbourn. This stream is opposed by three others: from the north arm to the west and south arms and from the south arm to the north. The heavy demand from the west arm leads to queues building up. The numbers of movements into and out of the north arm, to or
from the A10, are considerably less.
5.47 There has been a proposal for a right turn lane on the north arm of the junction but PICADY showed this to have little impact as it only benefits a relatively small stream of traffic and does little to ease the flow out of the west arm.
5.48 The option of replacing the priority junction with a mini-roundabout was therefore considered. A preliminary assessment, using the ARCADY component of Junctions9, has been carried out. The results are summarised in Table 5.3d and Appendix $\mathbf{J}$ shows the full model output.

|  | AM peak (07:45-08:45) | PM peak (17:00-18:00) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Max RFC | Max queue <br> (vehicles) | Max RFC | Max queue <br> (vehicles) |
| Station Road south | 0.31 | 0.4 | 0.48 | 0.9 |
| Station Road west | 0.74 | 2.7 | 0.41 | 0.7 |
| Station Road north | 0.34 | 0.5 | 0.39 | 0.6 |

Table 5.3d: Station Road junction south of the A10, 2023 with development and mini-roundabout: summary ARCADY results [Generic geometry]

|  | AM peak (07:45-08:45) | PM peak (17:00-18:00) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Max RFC | Max queue <br> (vehicles) | Max RFC | Max queue <br> (vehicles) |
| Station Road south | 0.29 | 0.4 | 0.45 | 0.8 |
| Station Road west | 0.82 | 4.1 | 0.45 | 0.8 |
| Station Road north | 0.26 | 0.4 | 0.30 | 0.4 |

Table 5.3d: Station Road junction south of the A10, 2023 with development and mini-roundabout: summary ARCADY results

The results suggest that a mini-roundabout at this location could greatly improve the performance of this junction and reduce queuing on the Station Road west arm in the AM peak. A plan showing a potential mini roundabout layout is contained in Appendix K.

## Junction 3 assessment: Station Road / A10 junction

This is a priority junction between Station Road and the A10, a single carriageway road with a speed limit of 60 mph . The A10 has a long right turn lane that can accommodate approximately ten PCUs. Station Road has a wide flare at the junction that can accommodate approximately three right turning PCUs alongside those turning left.
5.51 The traffic count data for 07:00-10:00 show occasional queues of three to five vehicles on the Station Road arm but all clear within a short time. Between 16:00-19:00 queues are generally shorter and less frequent. Compared with Junction 2 to the south, queuing during the AM period occurs more often but is
less severe and less persistent.

Table 5.4a shows the PICADY results for the junction for the 2023 baseline and Table 5.4b the results with the proposed development.

|  | AM peak (07:45-08:45) |  | PM peak (17:00-18:00) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Max RFC | Max queue <br> (vehicles) | Max RFC | Max queue <br> (vehicles) |
| Station Road - A10 west | 0.35 | 0.5 | 0.25 | 0.3 |
| Station Road - A10 east | 0.26 | 0.3 | 0.17 | 0.2 |
| A10 west - Station Road | 0.27 | 0.4 | 0.33 | 0.5 |

Table 5.4a: Station road / A10 junction, 2023 baseline: summary PICADY results

|  | AM peak (07:45-08:45) | PM peak (17:00-18:00) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Max RFC | Max queue <br> (vehicles) | Max RFC | Max queue <br> (vehicles) |
| Station Road - A10 west | 0.40 | 0.6 | 0.27 | 0.4 |
| Station Road - A10 east | 0.33 | 0.5 | 0.20 | 0.2 |
| A10 west - Station Road | 0.28 | 0.4 | 0.37 | 0.6 |

Table 5.4b: Station road / A10 junction, 2023 with development: summary PICADY results

The results show that the junction will perform satisfactorily even when the development is in place. The Junctions9 manual recommends (Section 3.9.2) a threshold RFC of 0.75 at junctions where the speed limit is over 50 mph . Even so, the RFC values obtained are well below that level.

## Junction 4 assessment: Meldreth Road / Station Road / Fowlmere Road (Shepreth village)

5.54 This is a priority junction in the village centre between Meldreth Road to the southwest, Station Road (Shepreth) to the northwest and Fowlmere Road, curving round to the southeast. These last two form the major road.

The traffic count data show that no queues occurred at this junction during the hours observed.

As noted above, there had been some concern that development traffic headed for destinations to Cambridge and the northeast might seek to avoid the right turn onto the A10 at Junction 3 by diverting via Shepreth and reaching the A10 via Fowlmere Road. It is unlikely that HGVs will divert as there is a 7.5 tonne weight restriction at a bridge just south of Junction 4 in the village.

There is some evidence that diversion takes place. Table 5.5 presents traffic count data for the periods 07:00 - 09:00 and 16:00 - 19:00 at Junction 3 (Station Road / A10). It compares each turning movement out of and into Station Road during the AM period with its corresponding return movement in the PM
period. Vehicles on the A10 that continued through the junction without turning are not shown.

| $07: 00-09: 00$ | Vehicles | $16: 00-19: 00$ | Vehicles | Difference |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Towards Royston | 373 | From Royston | 379 | +6 |
| From Royston | 284 | Towards Royston | 290 | +6 |
| Towards Cambridge | 137 | From Cambridge | 156 | +19 |
| From Cambridge | 113 | Towards Cambridge | 90 | -23 |

Table 5.5: Turning movements into and out of Station Road at the junction with the A10 (vehicles)
5.58 The morning flows to and from the Royston direction are evenly balanced with the opposite flows in the evening, the difference being no more than six movements. In the case of the flows to and from the Cambridge direction, however, the discrepancies are greater. The number of journeys from Cambridge in the evening is rather greater than the number towards Cambridge in the morning. Conversely, the number towards Cambridge in the evening is less than the number from Cambridge in the morning.

Table 5.6 shows a similar analysis of turning movements out of and into Meldreth Road at the junction in Shepreth. Movements between Station Road and Fowlmere Road are not shown.

| $07: 00-09: 00$ | Vehicles | $16: 00-19: 00$ | Vehicles | Difference |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To Station Road | 172 | From Station Road | 199 | +22 |
| From Station Road | 141 | To Station Road | 196 | +55 |
| To Fowlmere Road | 174 | From Fowlmere Road | 106 | -68 |
| From Fowlmere Road | 96 | To Fowlmere Road | 97 | +1 |

Table 5.6: Turning movements into and out of Meldreth Road at the junction in Shepreth (vehicles)
5.61 Although it is harder to account for the variations here, with evening flows on Station Road higher than morning flows in both directions, it is clear that traffic from Meldreth Road to Fowlmere Road in the morning greatly exceeds traffic in the opposite direction in the evening.

While there could be many factors behind these variations, such as the time when return journeys are made, it does appear that some diversion may occur. However, there is no obvious indication of what proportion of traffic might make the diversion through Shepreth.
5.63 For residents in the northern parts of Meldreth a diversion might be attractive. As far as the proposal site is concerned, for much of the day Google Maps gives a time of six minutes for the 5.5 kilometre journey from the site access to Foxton level crossing via the direct route. To avoid the traffic calming on High

Street, the quickest diversion route would be via Whitecroft Road, Fenny Lane and North End, taking eleven minutes ( 6.8 kilometres). Diverting would therefore involve a time penalty and it is not clear whether all drivers would opt for it.

## Junction 4 assessment: sensitivity test

 east and diverting $33 \%$ of it via Shepreth. the junction was at its maximum then. The PM peak period remains at 17:00-18:00.The junction operates satisfactorily without and with the development. heading for the A10, assuming $33 \%$ and $100 \%$ diversions.

|  | AM peak (08:15-09:15) | PM peak (17:00-18:00) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $33 \%$ diversion | 7 | 3 |
| $100 \%$ diversion | 22 | 9 |

Junction 4 was therefore modelled by taking outbound development traffic routed along the A10 to the

Tables 5.7 a and 5.7 b summarise the results for 2023 without and with the development. It should be noted that the AM peak period modelled was 08:15-09:15 rather than 07:45-08:45 as traffic through

|  | AM peak (08:15-09:15) | PM peak (17:00-18:00) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Max RFC | Max queue <br> (vehicles) | Max RFC | Max queue <br> (vehicles) |
| Meldreth Road - Station \& Fowlmere Roads | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.23 | 0.3 |
| Station Road - Meldreth \& Fowlmere Roads | 0.16 | 0.2 | 0.21 | 0.3 |

Table 5.7a: Shepreth junction, 2023 baseline: summary PICADY results (33\% diversion)

|  | AM peak (08:15-09:15) |  | PM peak (17:00-18:00) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Max RFC | Max queue <br> (vehicles) | Max RFC | Max queue <br> (vehicles) |
| Meldreth Road - Station \& Fowlmere Roads | 0.27 | 0.4 | 0.23 | 0.3 |
| Station Road - Meldreth \& Fowlmere Roads | 0.16 | 0.2 | 0.21 | 0.3 |

Table 5.7b: Shepreth junction, 2023 with development: summary PICADY results ( $33 \%$ diversion)

A sensitivity test was carried out, assuming that all development traffic using the A10 east passed through Shepreth. Table 5.8 compares the net increases in eastbound vehicles through Shepreth,

Table 5.8: Eastbound development vehicles passing through Shepreth village (net increase)

These flows are shown diagrammatically in Figure 7 of Appendix G ( $33 \%$ diversion) and in Appendix $\mathbf{L}(100 \%$ diversion). Even with the larger diversion there would be less than one additional vehicle every two minutes.
5.69 Table 5.9 shows the results of running the PICADY model, with the development, assuming a $100 \%$ diversion. The analysis shows that there is still no discernible impact on the operation of the junction.

|  | AM peak (08:15-09:15) | PM peak (17:00-18:00) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Max RFC | Max queue <br> (vehicles) | Max RFC | Max queue <br> (vehicles) |
| Meldreth Road - Station \& Fowlmere Roads | 0.30 | 0.4 | 0.25 | 0.3 |
| Station Road - Meldreth \& Fowlmere Roads | 0.16 | 0.2 | 0.21 | 0.3 |

Table 5.9: Shepreth junction, 2023 with development: summary PICADY results ( $100 \%$ diversion)
5.70 It was noted above that concern about possible diversion through Shepreth arose because of the perceived difficulty of making right turns onto the A10 at Junction 3. As shown above, the most serious queues occur at Junction 2, south of the A10 and it is this junction that appears to be the more problematic. Increasing capacity there, for example by replacing the priority junction with a miniroundabout, appears to be feasible and would reduce delays, making a diversion via Shepreth less attractive.

## Multi-modal trip generation

5.71 TRICS was used to produce estimates of person-trips by sustainable modes as well as by car. Table 5.10 shows the trip rates and Table 5.11 the estimated number of person-trips for a development of 200 houses.

|  | 08:00-09:00 |  |  | 17:00-18:00 |  |  | 07:00-19:00 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Vehicle occupants | 0.199 | 0.676 | 0.875 | 0.509 | 0.212 | 0.721 | 3.287 | 3.393 | 6.680 |
| Vehicles (drivers) | 0.155 | 0.388 | 0.543 | 0.345 | 0.144 | 0.489 | 2.301 | 2.323 | 4.624 |
| Pedestrians | 0.029 | 0.114 | 0.143 | 0.066 | 0.043 | 0.109 | 0.584 | 0.565 | 1.149 |
| Cyclists | 0.005 | 0.009 | 0.014 | 0.015 | 0.01 | 0.025 | 0.062 | 0.070 | 0.132 |
| Public transport | 0 | 0.035 | 0.035 | 0.017 | 0.002 | 0.019 | 0.098 | 0.105 | 0.203 |
| Total people | 0.233 | 0.833 | 1.066 | 0.608 | 0.268 | 0.876 | 4.029 | 4.130 | 8.159 |

Table 5.10: Estimated person trip rates per dwelling (TRICS 7.5.1)

|  | 08:00-09:00 |  |  | 17:00-18:00 |  |  | 07:00-19:00 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Vehicle occupants | 40 | 135 | 175 | 102 | 42 | 144 | 657 | 679 | 1,336 |
| Vehicles (drivers) | 31 | 78 | 109 | 69 | 29 | 98 | 460 | 465 | 925 |
| Vehicle passengers | 9 | 58 | 66 | 33 | 14 | 46 | 197 | 214 | 411 |
| Pedestrians | 6 | 23 | 29 | 13 | 9 | 22 | 117 | 113 | 230 |
| Cyclists | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 14 | 26 |
| Public transport | 0 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 21 | 41 |
| Total people | 47 | 167 | 213 | 122 | 54 | 175 | 806 | 826 | 1,632 |

Table 5.11: Estimated person-trips for a development of 200 houses. s may not sum exactly owing to rounding
5.72 Unlike the Census modal split data in Table 3.4, the TRICS data are not specific to the locality although they may relate more closely to the type of dwelling proposed (private houses). Also, they refer to all trips made during a specific time period whereas the Census data only refer to work journeys, made at any time of day.
5.73 Table 5.11 suggests that through the day a quarter of journeys will be as car passengers while Table 3.4 gives only $4.7 \%$. This may be because private houses are likely to be occupied by families with children who require lifts to school and leisure activities. Table 5.11 may underestimate the share of cycling, particularly children travelling to school, and the share of public transport may also be underestimated in view of the good access to train services. The share of walking is slightly below that in Table 3.4 but the proximity of the local schools and social facilities may make walking attractive and reduce the need to drive children there.
5.74 The site's closeness to the railway station makes it especially suitable for encouraging the use of rail, not only for commuting but for social and leisure trips, particularly to Cambridge and London.

## Summary

The traffic assessment aimed to estimate the number of vehicle- and person-trips generated by the proposed development, netting off trips formerly generated by the industrial estate immediately to the south, which will be closed.

The assessment shows that the site access junction and the junction between Station Road and the A10 will perform satisfactorily. The specification for the former junction therefore meets requirements and the provision of a traffic island on Station Road will facilitate walking to and from the village (although the public footpath along the east side of the site will give an even more direct link). Although concerns have been expressed about the difficulty of making right turns from Station Road onto the A10 towards Cambridge the capacity of the junction again appears satisfactory and no modifications are indicated.
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Trip generation and traffic impact
5.77 On the basis of the traffic and queue counts made for this assessment, the priority junction between the three arms of Station Road, just south of the A10 junction, is already marginally over capacity during the AM peak. Background traffic growth and the impacts of the proposed development will impose further stress. Converting the junction to a mini-roundabout will provide the needed capacity and is therefore proposed.
5.78 The junction between Meldreth Road, Station Road and Fowlmere Road in Shepreth village also has sufficient capacity to handle generated traffic even in the unlikely event of all traffic bound for the A10 eastwards using this route. A more realistic possibility is of about a third of such traffic travelling through Shepreth and this is only expected to occur in the eastbound direction as no right turn will be needed at the A10 / Station Road junction when travelling west. The improvements to the Station Road junction south of the A10 will also serve to make that route more attractive and discourage diversions through Shepreth.
5.79 Although the TRICS data do not give a good indication of the impact of the very accessible rail station on residents' travel, it may be expected to encourage train travel and many local facilities are within walking or cycling distance.

## 6 Summary and conclusions

6.1 This pre-application report provides an assessment of the likely transport implications arising from the proposed development of approximately 200 dwellings (the exact number and mix to be determined at a later stage) on land east of Station Road in Meldreth, South Cambridgeshire.
6.2 The development principles with respect to transport and access to the highway are guided by the Design Manual for Roads \& Bridges (DMRB), Manual for Streets (MfS) and the Cambridgeshire Design Guide.
6.3 The proposed development site is currently occupied by agricultural land. It is bounded to the west by Station Road, to the south by the A10 road and to the east by a footpath. This footpath will offer not only a very convenient access to the station ( 240 metres walking distance from the site centroid) but an access to the village centres that is virtually traffic-free.
6.4 Station Road is of a good single carriageway standard with footways and street lighting. Apart from the footpath just mentioned a traffic island will be installed at the access junction to the site and the footway on the west side of the road will be widened close to the site.
6.5 Local facilities, which include a Co-operative food store, bus stops, primary and secondary schools, pubs and restaurants, GP and dental surgeries, a library and the village hall, are all within easy walking or cycling distance of the proposed development site.
6.6 Local bus services are limited but there is a half hourly train service linking with Cambridge and London with connections to a wide variety of destinations.
6.7 With regard to road safety no road traffic accidents have occurred close to the site in the period between 2013 and 2017 apart from two at the bend in Station Road south of the site. A cluster of accidents at the junction of Whitecroft Road and Kneesworth Road is 1.3 kilometres from the site. In particular, there are no obvious traffic hazards facing pupils travelling to and from schools in the two villages.
6.8 The Valley Farm industrial estate that occupies the south part of the site will be closed and the substandard vehicle access to it will be stopped up (while retaining access to the private house alongside, which will remain). A new vehicle access to the residential development will be provided to the north that will comply with current standards of engineering design.
6.9 Vehicle and cycle parking will be compliant with South Cambridgeshire District Council or Cambridgeshire County Council standards, whichever is valid at the time of consent.
6.10 The development is estimated to produce 109 traffic movements ( 31 in and 78 out) in the AM peak and 98 movements ( 69 in and 29 out) in the PM peak. This equates to under two vehicle movements per minute at these times. Modelling the junction using the PICADY program confirms that there will be
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adequate capacity.
6.11 The development's impact on traffic will be mitigated by the closure of the industrial estate, leading to the removal of about 33 vehicle movements in the AM peak and 15 in the PM peak.
6.12 The impact of generated traffic has also been tested on two junctions nearby: that between the A10 and Station Road and another immediately to the south where the arm of Station Road that connects with the A10 meets the routes to Meldreth (including the site) and Melbourn. While the A10 junction performs satisfactorily with the additional traffic, the junction to the south is already on the verge of overcapacity in the AM peak, possibly owing to a heavy right turning flow from the Meldreth to the Melbourn arm. Replacing this priority junction with a mini-roundabout gives a notable increase in capacity and appears to be feasible at that location.
6.13 The junction between Meldreth Road, Station Road and Fowlmere Road was also modelled but was found to operate satisfactorily even in the unlikely event that all traffic from the development, headed for the A10 east, was routed that way. While some diversion may occur, improvements to the junction south of the A10 should make this less likely.
6.14 This Transport Assessment demonstrates that a residential development of 200 dwellings can be accommodated on the proposal site without prejudicing the ability of the highway network to transport people and goods around the local and wider area. At the same time the location is accessible to many local facilities and has good rail connections.
6.15 The benefits of this development will be:

- Improved bus frequency. It is anticipated that a development of 200 units would substantially add to the viability of the existing bus services and allow for some enhancement that would be of benefit to existing residents and users of the service;
- Improved pedestrian facilities;
- Improved junction layout in terms of a mini roundabout enhancing safety and capacity;
- Improved safety through closing off industrial access which is sub-standard;
- Reducing the number of HGV's by closing the Industrial area.
6.16 It is therefore considered that the proposed development is in accordance with and conforms to the aims and objectives of Cambridgeshire and South Cambridgeshire planning policies as they apply to transport.
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Appendix: B Bus Route Maps

## Route map for C G Myall \& Son service 15 (inbound)



Route map for Stagecoach in Cambridge service 26 (inbound)




SITE : STATION ROAD

GRID REFERENCE : $\quad 52.088289,0.007713$

LOCATION : South of railway bridge
DIRECTION : SOUTHBOUND

SPEED LIMIT : 30mph

| Hour Beginning | Southbound Speeds | Northbound Speeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monday |  |  |
| $10: 00$ | 30.1 | 30.3 |
| $11: 00$ | 30.9 | 31.5 |
| $14: 00$ | 30.7 | 32.2 |
| $15: 00$ | 31.4 | 31.9 |
| Tuesday |  |  |
| $10: 00$ | 29.2 | 30.8 |
| $11: 00$ | 30.2 | 31.6 |
| $14: 00$ | 30.8 | 31.7 |
| $15: 00$ | 31.4 | 32.3 |
| Wednesday | 29.8 |  |
| $10: 00$ | 30 | 31.5 |
| $11: 00$ | 31.3 | 31.1 |
| $14: 00$ |  | 33.2 |
| $15: 00$ | 30.5 | 31.6 |
| Thursday | 30 | 31.9 |
| $10: 00$ | 30.2 | 31.7 |
| $11: 00$ | 29.7 | 31.4 |
| $14: 00$ |  | 31.5 |
| $15: 00$ | 30.6 |  |
| Friday | 30.1 | 31.9 |
| $10: 00$ | 31.7 | 31.4 |
| $11: 00$ | 31.2 | 33.4 |
| $15: 00$ | 30.54 | 31.5 |
| $\mathbf{3 y y}$ |  | 31.72 |

Appendix: E
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Appendix: F Traffic Count Data

## K\&M TRAFFIC SURVEYS

DATE : WEDNESDAY 27TH JUNE 2018
LOCATION : MELDRETH, HERTS
STATION ROAD / A10 PRIORITY JUNCTION

|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { STATION ROAD } \\ \text { OUT LEFT TO } \\ \text { A10 SOUTH } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 0700-0715 | 21 |  |  |  |  | 21 |
| 0715-0730 | 21 |  |  |  |  | 21 |
| 0730-0745 | 33 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 35 |
| 0745-0800 | 44 | 1 |  |  |  | 45 |
| 0800-0815 | 37 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 40 |
| 0815-0830 | 37 | 1 |  |  |  | 38 |
| 0830-0845 | 37 |  | 1 |  |  | 38 |
| 0845-0900 | 35 | 2 |  |  |  | 37 |
| 0900-0915 | 30 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 34 |
| 0915-0930 | 18 | 2 |  |  |  | 20 |
| 0930-0945 | 19 |  |  |  |  | 19 |
| 0945-1000 | 25 |  |  |  |  | 25 |
| 0700-1000 | 357 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 373 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0700-0800 | 119 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 122 |
| 0715-0815 | 135 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 141 |
| 0730-0830 | 151 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 158 |
| 0745-0845 | 155 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 161 |
| 0800-0900 | 146 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 153 |
| 0815-0915 | 139 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 147 |
| 0830-0930 | 120 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 129 |
| 0845-0945 | 102 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 110 |
| 0900-1000 | 92 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 98 |


| STATION ROAD OUT RIGHT TO A10 NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 14 |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| 14 |  |  | 1 |  | 15 |
| 15 | 2 |  |  |  | 17 |
| 9 | 2 |  |  |  | 11 |
| 6 |  |  | 2 |  | 8 |
| 13 |  | 1 |  |  | 14 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 9 |  | 1 |  |  | 10 |
| 5 | 1 |  |  |  | 6 |
| 7 | 1 |  |  |  | 8 |
| 126 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 137 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 52 |
| 52 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 55 |
| 47 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 52 |
| 44 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 51 |
| 43 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 50 |
| 39 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 44 |
| 39 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 43 |
| 38 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| 32 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 35 |


| STATION ROAD RIGHT TURN IN FROM A10 SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 10 | 2 |  |  |  | 12 |
| 10 | 2 |  |  |  | 12 |
| 18 |  | 1 |  |  | 19 |
| 30 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 32 |
| 28 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 31 |
| 24 | 2 |  |  |  | 26 |
| 29 | 2 |  |  |  | 31 |
| 31 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 36 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  | 24 |
| 21 |  | 1 |  |  | 22 |
| 11 | 3 |  |  |  | 14 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  | 25 |
| 261 | 17 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 284 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 68 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 75 |
| 86 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 94 |
| 100 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 108 |
| 111 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 120 |
| 112 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 124 |
| 108 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 117 |
| 105 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 113 |
| 87 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| 81 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 85 |


| STATION ROAD LEFT TURN IN FROM A10 NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 9 |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 12 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 12 |
| 6 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 8 |
| 4 | 2 |  |  |  | 6 |
| 9 |  | 1 |  |  | 10 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  | 15 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 104 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 113 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| 35 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| 30 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| 29 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 31 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 40 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| 42 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |

## K\&M TRAFFIC SURVEYS

DATE : WEDNESDAY 27TH JUNE 2018
LOCATION : MELDRETH, HERTS
STATION ROAD / A10 PRIORITY JUNCTION

|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { STATION ROAD } \\ \text { OUT LEFT TO } \\ \text { A10 SOUTH } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 1600-1615 | 15 | 1 |  |  |  | 16 |
| 1615-1630 | 17 |  | 1 |  |  | 18 |
| 1630-1645 | 24 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 26 |
| 1645-1700 | 30 | 1 |  |  |  | 31 |
| 1700-1715 | 29 |  |  |  |  | 29 |
| 1715-1730 | 23 | 1 |  |  |  | 24 |
| 1730-1745 | 37 |  |  |  |  | 37 |
| 1745-1800 | 30 |  |  |  |  | 30 |
| 1800-1815 | 19 |  |  |  |  | 19 |
| 1815-1830 | 20 |  |  |  |  | 20 |
| 1830-1845 | 25 |  |  |  |  | 25 |
| 1845-1900 | 14 |  |  | 1 |  | 15 |
| 1600-1900 | 283 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 290 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1600-1700 | 86 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 91 |
| 1615-1715 | 100 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 104 |
| 1630-1730 | 106 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 110 |
| 1645-1745 | 119 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 121 |
| 1700-1800 | 119 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 |
| 1715-1815 | 109 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 110 |
| 1730-1830 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 |
| 1745-1845 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 |
| 1800-1900 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 79 |


| STATION ROAD OUT RIGHT TO A10 NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 6 | 1 |  |  |  | 7 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 12 | 1 |  |  |  | 13 |
| 11 | 1 |  |  |  | 12 |
| 9 | 1 |  |  |  | 10 |
| 7 |  | 1 |  |  | 8 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 12 | 1 |  |  |  | 13 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 84 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 90 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 30 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| 33 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 39 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| 30 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| 25 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 24 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| 29 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 32 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |


| STATION ROAD RIGHT TURN IN FROM A10 SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 27 |  |  |  |  | 27 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  | 24 |
| 33 | 1 |  |  |  | 34 |
| 37 |  |  | 1 |  | 38 |
| 41 | 2 |  |  |  | 43 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  | 32 |
| 35 |  |  |  | 1 | 36 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  | 39 |
| 32 | 1 |  |  |  | 33 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  | 26 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  | 26 |
| 22 |  |  |  |  | 22 |
| 374 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 380 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 121 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 123 |
| 135 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 139 |
| 143 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 147 |
| 145 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 149 |
| 147 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 150 |
| 138 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 140 |
| 132 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 134 |
| 123 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 |
| 106 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 |


| STATION ROAD LEFT TURN IN FROM A10 NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 12 |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 22 |  |  |  |  | 22 |
| 17 |  |  |  |  | 17 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 17 |  |  |  |  | 17 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 9 | 1 |  |  |  | 10 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 155 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 156 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 |
| 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| 50 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| 48 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| 42 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| 42 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |

## K\&M TRAFFIC SURVEYS

DATE : WEDNESDAY 27TH JUNE 2018
LOCATION : MELDRETH, HERTS
STATION ROAD / A10 PRIORITY JUNCTION

|  | A10 FROM SOUTH STRAIGHT AHEAD TO A10 NORTH |  |  |  |  |  | A10 FROM NORTH STRAIGHT AHEAD TO A10 SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 0700-0715 | 131 | 5 |  |  |  | 136 | 91 | 12 |  |  | 1 | 104 |
| 0715-0730 | 131 | 5 |  |  |  | 136 | 91 | 11 |  |  |  | 102 |
| 0730-0745 | 140 | 8 |  |  |  | 148 | 109 | 3 |  | 2 |  | 114 |
| 0745-0800 | 115 | 2 |  |  |  | 117 | 116 | 7 |  | 1 |  | 124 |
| 0800-0815 | 141 | 5 |  | 2 |  | 148 | 96 | 13 |  |  |  | 109 |
| 0815-0830 | 95 | 8 |  |  |  | 103 | 88 | 8 | 3 |  |  | 99 |
| 0830-0845 | 117 | 7 |  |  |  | 124 | 76 | 6 | 2 | 1 |  | 85 |
| 0845-0900 | 129 | 9 |  | 1 |  | 139 | 79 | 7 |  | 1 |  | 87 |
| 0900-0915 | 114 | 13 |  | 1 |  | 128 | 78 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 83 |
| 0915-0930 | 88 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 93 | 69 | 11 |  |  |  | 80 |
| 0930-0945 | 87 | 8 | 1 |  |  | 96 | 47 | 8 |  |  |  | 55 |
| 0945-1000 | 100 | 8 | 2 | 3 |  | 113 | 97 | 9 |  |  |  | 106 |
| 0700-1000 | 1388 | 82 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 1481 | 1037 | 99 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 1148 |
| 0700-0800 | 517 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 537 | 407 | 33 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 444 |
| 0715-0815 | 527 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 549 | 412 | 34 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 449 |
| 0730-0830 | 491 | 23 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 516 | 409 | 31 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 446 |
| 0745-0845 | 468 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 492 | 376 | 34 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 417 |
| 0800-0900 | 482 | 29 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 514 | 339 | 34 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 380 |
| 0815-0915 | 455 | 37 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 494 | 321 | 25 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 354 |
| 0830-0930 | 448 | 33 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 484 | 302 | 28 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 335 |
| 0845-0945 | 418 | 34 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 456 | 273 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 305 |
| 0900-1000 | 389 | 33 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 430 | 291 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 324 |

## K\&M TRAFFIC SURVEYS

DATE : WEDNESDAY 27TH JUNE 2018
LOCATION : MELDRETH, HERTS
STATION ROAD / A10 PRIORITY JUNCTION

|  | A10 FROM SOUTH STRAIGHT AHEAD TO A10 NORTH |  |  |  |  |  | A10 FROM NORTH STRAIGHT AHEAD TO A10 SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 1600-1615 | 76 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 80 | 128 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 132 |
| 1615-1630 | 76 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 83 | 129 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 132 |
| 1630-1645 | 72 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 75 | 139 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 143 |
| 1645-1700 | 103 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 108 | 129 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 132 |
| 1700-1715 | 114 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 118 | 119 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 122 |
| 1715-1730 | 88 |  |  | 2 |  | 90 | 117 |  |  |  |  | 117 |
| 1730-1745 | 86 | 2 |  |  |  | 88 | 149 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 152 |
| 1745-1800 | 64 | 3 |  |  |  | 67 | 102 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 105 |
| 1800-1815 | 87 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 91 | 119 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 123 |
| 1815-1830 | 73 | 3 |  | 1 |  | 77 | 89 | 1 |  |  |  | 90 |
| 1830-1845 | 76 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 79 | 85 |  |  |  |  | 85 |
| 1845-1900 | 46 | 1 |  |  |  | 47 | 72 | 1 |  |  |  | 73 |
| 1600-1900 | 961 | 25 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 1003 | 1377 | 17 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 1406 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1600-1700 | 327 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 346 | 525 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 539 |
| 1615-1715 | 365 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 384 | 516 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 529 |
| 1630-1730 | 377 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 391 | 504 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 514 |
| 1645-1745 | 391 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 404 | 514 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 523 |
| 1700-1800 | 352 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 363 | 487 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 496 |
| 1715-1815 | 325 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 336 | 487 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 497 |
| 1730-1830 | 310 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 323 | 459 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 470 |
| 1745-1845 | 300 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 314 | 395 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 403 |
| 1800-1900 | 282 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 294 | 365 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 371 |

## K\&M TRAFFIC SURVEYS

DATE : WEDNESDAY 27TH JUNE 2018

LOCATION : MELDRETH, HERTS
STATION ROAD / STATON ROAD PRIORITY JUNCTION

|  | STATION ROAD (WEST)OUT LEFT TOSTATION ROAD NORTH / A10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 0700-0715 | 20 |  |  |  |  | 20 |
| 0715-0730 | 25 |  |  |  |  | 25 |
| 0730-0745 | 27 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 29 |
| 0745-0800 | 35 |  |  | 1 |  | 36 |
| 0800-0815 | 30 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 35 |
| 0815-0830 | 29 | 3 |  |  |  | 32 |
| 0830-0845 | 25 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 27 |
| 0845-0900 | 36 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 38 |
| 0900-0915 | 24 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 27 |
| 0915-0930 | 15 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 18 |
| 0930-0945 | 12 |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 0945-1000 | 13 |  |  |  |  | 13 |
| 0700-1000 | 291 | 13 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 312 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0700-0800 | 107 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 110 |
| 0715-0815 | 117 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 125 |
| 0730-0830 | 121 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 132 |
| 0745-0845 | 119 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 130 |
| 0800-0900 | 120 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 132 |
| 0815-0915 | 114 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 124 |
| 0830-0930 | 100 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 110 |
| 0845-0945 | 87 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 95 |
| 0900-1000 | 64 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 70 |


| STATION ROAD (WEST) OUT RIGHT TO STATION ROAD SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 24 |  |  |  |  | 24 |
| 30 |  |  |  | 3 | 33 |
| 46 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 49 |
| 76 | 3 |  | 2 | 1 | 82 |
| 81 |  |  |  | 1 | 82 |
| 91 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 93 |
| 73 |  |  | 3 | 1 | 77 |
| 66 |  |  |  | 2 | 68 |
| 45 |  |  |  | 3 | 48 |
| 29 |  |  |  |  | 29 |
| 19 | 1 |  |  | 3 | 23 |
| 27 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 31 |
| 607 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 18 | 639 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 176 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 188 |
| 233 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 246 |
| 294 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 306 |
| 321 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 334 |
| 311 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 320 |
| 275 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 286 |
| 213 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 222 |
| 159 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 168 |
| 120 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 131 |


| STATION ROAD (WEST) RIGHT TURN IN FROM STATION ROAD NORTH / A10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 12 | 2 |  |  |  | 14 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 15 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 17 |
| 16 | 1 |  |  |  | 17 |
| 18 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 22 |
| 15 | 2 |  |  |  | 17 |
| 13 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 16 |
| 19 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 23 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  | 19 |
| 11 |  | 1 |  |  | 12 |
| 10 | 1 |  |  |  | 11 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  | 15 |
| 174 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 194 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| 60 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| 64 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 73 |
| 62 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 72 |
| 65 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 78 |
| 66 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 75 |
| 62 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 70 |
| 59 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 65 |
| 55 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 57 |


| STATION ROAD (WEST) LEFT TURN IN FROM STATION ROAD SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 15 | 1 |  |  |  | 16 |
| 17 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 21 |
| 32 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 35 |
| 25 |  |  |  | 3 | 28 |
| 41 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 43 |
| 47 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 49 |
| 40 | 1 |  |  |  | 41 |
| 36 |  |  |  |  | 36 |
| 16 | 1 |  |  |  | 17 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  | 20 |
| 28 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 31 |
| 23 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 25 |
| 340 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 362 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 89 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 100 |
| 115 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 127 |
| 145 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 155 |
| 153 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 161 |
| 164 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 169 |
| 139 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 143 |
| 112 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 114 |
| 100 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 104 |
| 87 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 93 |

## K\&M TRAFFIC SURVEYS

DATE : WEDNESDAY 27TH JUNE 2018
LOCATION : MELDRETH, HERTS
STATION ROAD / STATON ROAD PRIORITY JUNCTION

|  | STATION ROAD (WEST) OUT LEFT TO <br> STATION ROAD NORTH / A10 |  |  |  |  |  | STATION ROAD (WEST) OUT RIGHT TO STATION ROAD SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  | STATION ROAD (WEST) <br> RIGHT TURN IN FROM <br> STATION ROAD NORTH / A10 |  |  |  |  |  | STATION ROAD (WEST) <br> LEFT TURN IN FROM STATION ROAD SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 1600-1615 | 14 |  |  |  |  | 14 | 42 |  |  |  | 2 | 44 | 14 |  |  |  |  | 14 | 39 |  |  |  | 1 | 40 |
| 1615-1630 | 12 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 14 | 39 |  | 1 |  |  | 42 | 17 |  |  |  |  | 17 | 36 |  | 1 |  |  | 37 |
| 1630-1645 | 17 | 1 |  |  |  | 18 | 46 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 48 | 34 |  |  |  |  | 34 | 64 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 66 |
| 1645-1700 | 14 | 1 |  |  |  | 15 | 24 |  |  |  | 1 | 25 | 31 |  |  | 1 |  | 32 | 41 |  |  |  | 3 | 44 |
| 1700-1715 | 19 |  |  |  |  | 19 | 51 |  |  |  | 1 | 52 | 37 | 1 |  |  |  | 38 | 64 |  |  |  | 1 | 65 |
| 1715-1730 | 15 | 1 |  |  |  | 16 | 52 |  |  |  |  | 52 | 31 |  |  |  |  | 31 | 58 |  |  | 1 |  | 59 |
| 1730-1745 | 20 |  | 1 |  |  | 21 | 46 |  |  |  | 2 | 48 | 29 |  |  |  |  | 29 | 76 |  |  |  | 1 | 77 |
| 1745-1800 | 19 |  |  |  |  | 19 | 43 |  |  | 1 | 3 | 47 | 31 |  |  |  |  | 31 | 67 |  |  |  | 4 | 71 |
| 1800-1815 | 9 |  |  |  |  | 9 | 38 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 41 | 19 | 2 |  |  |  | 21 | 49 |  |  | 1 | 4 | 54 |
| 1815-1830 | 17 |  |  |  |  | 17 | 29 |  |  |  |  | 29 | 24 |  |  |  |  | 24 | 30 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 32 |
| 1830-1845 | 19 | 1 |  |  |  | 20 | 29 |  |  |  | 2 | 31 | 22 |  |  |  |  | 22 | 39 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 42 |
| 1845-1900 | 10 |  |  | 1 |  | 11 | 28 |  |  |  | 6 | 34 | 18 |  |  |  |  | 18 | 35 |  |  |  |  | 35 |
| 1600-1900 | 185 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 193 | 467 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 18 | 493 | 307 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 311 | 598 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 18 | 622 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1600-1700 | 57 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 151 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 159 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 97 | 180 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 187 |
| 1615-1715 | 62 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 160 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 167 | 119 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 121 | 205 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 212 |
| 1630-1730 | 65 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 173 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 177 | 133 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 135 | 227 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 234 |
| 1645-1745 | 68 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 177 | 128 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 130 | 239 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 245 |
| 1700-1800 | 73 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 199 | 128 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 265 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 272 |
| 1715-1815 | 63 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 179 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 188 | 110 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 112 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 261 |
| 1730-1830 | 65 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 165 | 103 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 222 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 234 |
| 1745-1845 | 64 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 148 | 96 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 185 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 199 |
| 1800-1900 | 55 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 57 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 135 | 83 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 153 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 163 |

## K\&M TRAFFIC SURVEYS

DATE : WEDNESDAY 27TH JUNE 2018
LOCATION : MELDRETH, HERTS
STATION ROAD / STATON ROAD PRIORITY JUNCTION

|  | STATION ROAD FROM NORTH / A10 STRAIGHT AHEAD TO STATION ROAD SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  | STATION ROAD FROM SOUTH STRAIGHT AHEAD TO <br> STATION ROAD NORTH / A10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 0700-0715 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 7 | 15 |  |  |  |  | 15 |
| 0715-0730 | 8 | 2 |  |  |  | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 0730-0745 | 13 |  | 1 |  |  | 14 | 15 |  |  |  |  | 15 |
| 0745-0800 | 24 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 27 | 23 | 1 |  |  |  | 24 |
| 0800-0815 | 16 |  | 1 |  |  | 17 | 22 |  |  |  |  | 22 |
| 0815-0830 | 13 | 2 |  |  |  | 15 | 17 |  |  |  |  | 17 |
| 0830-0845 | 25 |  |  |  |  | 25 | 18 |  |  | 1 |  | 19 |
| 0845-0900 | 24 | 1 |  |  |  | 25 | 12 | 1 |  |  |  | 13 |
| 0900-0915 | 20 |  |  |  |  | 20 | 17 | 1 |  |  |  | 18 |
| 0915-0930 | 16 |  |  |  |  | 16 | 12 |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 0930-0945 | 7 | 2 |  |  |  | 9 | 12 | 1 |  |  |  | 13 |
| 0945-1000 | 18 |  |  |  |  | 18 | 19 | 1 |  |  |  | 20 |
| 0700-1000 | 191 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 203 | 192 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 198 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0700-0800 | 52 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 58 | 63 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| 0715-0815 | 61 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 68 | 70 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 |
| 0730-0830 | 66 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 73 | 77 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 |
| 0745-0845 | 78 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 84 | 80 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 82 |
| 0800-0900 | 78 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 69 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 71 |
| 0815-0915 | 82 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 64 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 67 |
| 0830-0930 | 85 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 59 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 62 |
| 0845-0945 | 67 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 53 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| 0900-1000 | 61 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 60 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 |

## K\&M TRAFFIC SURVEYS

DATE : WEDNESDAY 27TH JUNE 2018
LOCATION : MELDRETH, HERTS
STATION ROAD / STATON ROAD PRIORITY JUNCTION

|  | STATION ROAD FROM NORTH / A10 STRAIGHT AHEAD TO STATION ROAD SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  | STATION ROAD FROM SOUTH STRAIGHT AHEAD TO STATION ROAD NORTH / A10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 1600-1615 | 25 |  |  |  |  | 25 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | 5 |
| 1615-1630 | 18 |  |  |  |  | 18 | 11 |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 1630-1645 | 9 | 1 |  |  |  | 10 | 8 |  |  | 1 |  | 9 |
| 1645-1700 | 28 |  |  |  |  | 28 | 28 | 1 |  |  |  | 29 |
| 1700-1715 | 21 | 1 |  |  |  | 22 | 21 | 1 |  |  |  | 22 |
| 1715-1730 | 13 |  |  |  |  | 13 | 17 | 1 |  |  |  | 18 |
| 1730-1745 | 23 |  |  |  | 1 | 24 | 24 |  |  |  |  | 24 |
| 1745-1800 | 20 |  |  |  |  | 20 | 14 |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| 1800-1815 | 22 |  |  |  |  | 22 | 16 |  |  |  |  | 16 |
| 1815-1830 | 12 |  |  |  |  | 12 | 11 |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 1830-1845 | 15 |  |  |  |  | 15 | 18 |  |  |  |  | 18 |
| 1845-1900 | 16 |  |  |  |  | 16 | 10 |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 1600-1900 | 222 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 225 | 182 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 187 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1600-1700 | 80 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 51 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 54 |
| 1615-1715 | 76 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 68 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 71 |
| 1630-1730 | 71 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 74 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 78 |
| 1645-1745 | 85 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 87 | 90 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 |
| 1700-1800 | 77 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 79 | 76 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 |
| 1715-1815 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 79 | 71 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 |
| 1730-1830 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 78 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 |
| 1745-1845 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| 1800-1900 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 |

## K\&M TRAFFIC SURVEYS

DATE : WEDNESDAY 27TH JUNE 2018
LOCATION : MELDRETH, HERTS
STATION ROAD / VALLEY FARM INDUSTRIAL ESTATE

|  | VALLEY FARM INDUSTRIAL ESTATE OUT LEFT TO STATION ROAD SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 0700-0715 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 0715-0730 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0730-0745 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0745-0800 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 0800-0815 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0815-0830 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 0830-0845 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 0845-0900 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 0900-0915 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 0915-0930 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0930-0945 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0945-1000 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0700-1000 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0700-0800 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 0715-0815 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0730-0830 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 0745-0845 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 0800-0900 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 0815-0915 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 0830-0930 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 0845-0945 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 0900-1000 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |


| VALLEY FARM INDUSTRIAL ESTATE OUT RIGHT TO STATION ROAD NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
| 3 | 2 |  |  |  | 5 |
| 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 10 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |


| VALLEY FARM INDUSTRIAL ESTATE RIGHT TURN IN FROM STATION ROAD SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |
| 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 21 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |


| VALLEY FARM INDUSTRIAL ESTATE <br> LEFT TURN IN FROM STATION ROAD NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
| 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |

## K\&M TRAFFIC SURVEYS

DATE : WEDNESDAY 27TH JUNE 2018
LOCATION : MELDRETH, HERTS
STATION ROAD / VALLEY FARM INDUSTRIAL ESTATE

|  | VALLEY FARM INDUSTRIAL ESTATE OUT LEFT TO STATION ROAD SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 1600-1615 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 1615-1630 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 1630-1645 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 1645-1700 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 1700-1715 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 1715-1730 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 1730-1745 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 1745-1800 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 1800-1815 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 1815-1830 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 1830-1845 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 1845-1900 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 1600-1900 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1600-1700 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 1615-1715 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 1630-1730 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 1645-1745 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 1700-1800 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 1715-1815 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 1730-1830 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 1745-1845 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 1800-1900 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |


| VALLEY FARM INDUSTRIAL ESTATE OUT RIGHT TO STATION ROAD NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |


| VALLEY FARM INDUSTRIAL ESTATE RIGHT TURN IN FROM STATION ROAD SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| VALLEY FARM INDUSTRIAL ESTATE <br> LEFT TURN IN FROM STATION ROAD NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

## K\&M TRAFFIC SURVEYS

DATE : WEDNESDAY 27TH JUNE 2018
LOCATION : MELDRETH, HERTS

STATION ROAD / VALLEY FARM INDUSTRIAL ESTATE

|  | STATION ROAD FROM SOUTH STRAIGHT AHEAD TO STATION ROAD NORTH |  |  |  |  |  | STATION ROAD FROM NORTH STRAIGHT AHEAD TO STATION ROAD SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 0700-0715 | 10 | 3 | 1 |  | 3 | 17 | 47 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 50 |
| 0715-0730 | 29 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 32 | 49 |  |  |  | 3 | 52 |
| 0730-0745 | 41 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 46 | 71 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 75 |
| 0745-0800 | 48 | 2 | 2 |  | 4 | 56 | 100 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  | 105 |
| 0800-0815 | 65 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 68 | 120 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 125 |
| 0815-0830 | 52 | 2 |  |  |  | 54 | 103 | 3 |  |  |  | 106 |
| 0830-0845 | 52 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 57 | 82 |  | 1 | 4 | 3 | 90 |
| 0845-0900 | 54 | 2 |  |  |  | 56 | 96 | 4 |  |  |  | 100 |
| 0900-0915 | 36 |  | 1 |  |  | 37 | 60 | 2 | 1 |  | 3 | 66 |
| 0915-0930 | 32 |  |  |  |  | 32 | 42 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 44 |
| 0930-0945 | 44 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 46 | 30 | 1 | 1 |  | 3 | 35 |
| 0945-1000 | 37 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 39 | 42 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 46 |
| 0700-1000 | 500 | 18 | 9 | 2 | 11 | 540 | 842 | 17 | 9 | 10 | 16 | 894 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0700-0800 | 128 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 151 | 267 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 282 |
| 0715-0815 | 183 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 202 | 340 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 357 |
| 0730-0830 | 206 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 224 | 394 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 411 |
| 0745-0845 | 217 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 235 | 405 | 8 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 426 |
| 0800-0900 | 223 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 235 | 401 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 421 |
| 0815-0915 | 194 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 204 | 341 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 362 |
| 0830-0930 | 174 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 182 | 280 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 300 |
| 0845-0945 | 166 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 171 | 228 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 245 |
| 0900-1000 | 149 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 154 | 174 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 191 |

## K\&M TRAFFIC SURVEYS

DATE : WEDNESDAY 27TH JUNE 2018
LOCATION : MELDRETH, HERTS
STATION ROAD / VALLEY FARM INDUSTRIAL ESTATE

|  | STATION ROAD FROM SOUTH STRAIGHT AHEAD TO STATION ROAD NORTH |  |  |  |  |  | STATION ROAD FROM NORTH STRAIGHT AHEAD TO STATION ROAD SOUTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 1600-1615 | 69 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 73 | 62 |  |  |  | 1 | 63 |
| 1615-1630 | 51 |  | 1 |  |  | 52 | 48 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 51 |
| 1630-1645 | 91 |  |  |  | 2 | 93 | 53 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 57 |
| 1645-1700 | 91 |  |  | 1 | 3 | 95 | 42 |  |  |  | 1 | 43 |
| 1700-1715 | 96 |  |  |  | 1 | 97 | 69 | 1 |  |  |  | 70 |
| 1715-1730 | 89 |  |  |  |  | 89 | 63 |  |  |  |  | 63 |
| 1730-1745 | 108 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 111 | 68 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 70 |
| 1745-1800 | 84 |  |  |  | 5 | 89 | 58 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 61 |
| 1800-1815 | 71 | 2 |  | 1 | 5 | 79 | 42 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 45 |
| 1815-1830 | 48 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 50 | 34 |  |  |  |  | 34 |
| 1830-1845 | 61 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 64 | 49 |  |  |  | 1 | 50 |
| 1845-1900 | 59 |  |  |  |  | 59 | 36 | 1 |  | 1 | 4 | 42 |
| 1600-1900 | 918 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 23 | 951 | 624 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 649 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1600-1700 | 302 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 313 | 205 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 214 |
| 1615-1715 | 329 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 337 | 212 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 221 |
| 1630-1730 | 367 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 374 | 227 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 233 |
| 1645-1745 | 384 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 392 | 242 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 246 |
| 1700-1800 | 377 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 386 | 258 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 264 |
| 1715-1815 | 352 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 368 | 231 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 239 |
| 1730-1830 | 311 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 329 | 202 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 210 |
| 1745-1845 | 264 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 282 | 183 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 190 |
| 1800-1900 | 239 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 252 | 161 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 171 |

## K\&M TRAFFIC SURVEYS

DATE : WEDNESDAY 27TH JUNE 2018
LOCATION : SHEPRETH, HERTS
MELDRETH ROAD / FOWLMERE ROAD / STATION ROAD PRIORITY JUNCTION

|  | MELDRETH ROADOUT LEFT TOSTATION ROAD NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 0700-0715 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 0715-0730 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  | 8 |
| 0730-0745 | 20 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 23 |
| 0745-0800 | 22 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 25 |
| 0800-0815 | 24 |  | 1 |  |  | 25 |
| 0815-0830 | 13 |  |  |  |  | 13 |
| 0830-0845 | 13 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 15 |
| 0845-0900 | 14 |  |  | 1 |  | 15 |
| 0900-0915 | 14 |  |  |  | 1 | 15 |
| 0915-0930 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  | 8 |
| 0930-0945 | 11 |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 0945-1000 | 10 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 12 |
| 0700-1000 | 160 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 175 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0700-0800 | 54 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 61 |
| 0715-0815 | 73 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 81 |
| 0730-0830 | 79 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 86 |
| 0745-0845 | 72 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 78 |
| 0800-0900 | 64 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 68 |
| 0815-0915 | 54 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 58 |
| 0830-0930 | 48 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 53 |
| 0845-0945 | 46 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 49 |
| 0900-1000 | 42 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 46 |


| MELDRETH ROAD OUT RIGHT TO FOWLMERE ROAD SE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 13 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 15 |
| 15 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 18 |
| 14 |  |  | 1 |  | 15 |
| 24 |  | 1 |  | 3 | 28 |
| 19 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 24 |
| 11 |  |  |  | 3 | 14 |
| 18 |  |  |  | 3 | 21 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  | 15 |
| 9 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 11 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 168 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 17 | 191 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 76 |
| 72 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 85 |
| 68 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 81 |
| 72 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 87 |
| 63 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 74 |
| 53 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 61 |
| 52 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 57 |
| 42 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 44 |
| 39 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 41 |


| MELDRETH ROAD <br> RIGHT TURN IN FROM STATION ROAD NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 6 |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 8 |
| 9 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 11 |
| 14 | 3 |  | 1 |  | 18 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 11 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 14 |
| 24 |  |  |  | 1 | 25 |
| 12 |  |  | 1 |  | 13 |
| 17 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 19 |
| 8 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 10 |
| 6 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 8 |
| 7 |  |  |  | 1 | 8 |
| 126 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 147 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 43 |
| 35 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 44 |
| 41 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 50 |
| 56 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 64 |
| 54 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 59 |
| 64 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 71 |
| 61 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 67 |
| 43 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 50 |
| 38 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 45 |


| MELDRETH ROAD <br> LEFT TURN IN FROM FOWLMERE ROAD SE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 7 |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 8 |  |  | 1 |  | 9 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 6 |  |  |  | 2 | 8 |
| 6 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 8 |
| 8 | 1 |  |  |  | 9 |
| 5 | 1 |  |  |  | 6 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 12 | 1 |  |  |  | 13 |
| 91 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 99 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 34 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 31 |
| 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 31 |
| 27 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 31 |
| 31 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 36 |
| 25 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 31 |
| 25 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 29 |
| 31 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |

## K\&M TRAFFIC SURVEYS

DATE : WEDNESDAY 27TH JUNE 2018
LOCATION : SHEPRETH, HERTS
MELDRETH ROAD / FOWLMERE ROAD / STATION ROAD PRIORITY JUNCTION

|  | MELDRETH ROAD OUT LEFT TO STATION ROAD NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 1600-1615 | 13 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 15 |
| 1615-1630 | 15 |  |  |  |  | 15 |
| 1630-1645 | 25 |  |  | 1 |  | 26 |
| 1645-1700 | 8 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 1700-1715 | 18 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 21 |
| 1715-1730 | 11 |  |  |  | 1 | 12 |
| 1730-1745 | 31 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 33 |
| 1745-1800 | 16 |  |  | 1 |  | 17 |
| 1800-1815 | 17 |  |  | 1 |  | 18 |
| 1815-1830 | 11 |  |  |  | 4 | 15 |
| 1830-1845 | 14 |  |  | 1 |  | 15 |
| 1845-1900 | 8 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 1600-1900 | 187 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 203 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1600-1700 | 61 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 64 |
| 1615-1715 | 66 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 70 |
| 1630-1730 | 62 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 67 |
| 1645-1745 | 68 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 74 |
| 1700-1800 | 76 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 83 |
| 1715-1815 | 75 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 80 |
| 1730-1830 | 75 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 83 |
| 1745-1845 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 65 |
| 1800-1900 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 56 |



| MELDRETH ROAD <br> RIGHT TURN IN FROM STATION ROAD NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 16 |  |  | 1 |  | 17 |
| 16 |  |  |  |  | 16 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  | 19 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 25 |  |  |  | 1 | 26 |
| 16 |  |  |  |  | 16 |
| 30 |  |  | 1 |  | 31 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  | 20 |
| 14 |  |  |  | 1 | 15 |
| 11 |  |  |  | 1 | 12 |
| 12 |  |  | 1 |  | 13 |
| 6 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| 195 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 203 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 62 |
| 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 71 |
| 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 71 |
| 81 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 83 |
| 91 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 93 |
| 80 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 82 |
| 75 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 78 |
| 57 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 60 |
| 43 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 48 |


| MELDRETH ROAD <br> LEFT TURN IN FROM FOWLMERE ROAD SE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 7 |  |  | 1 |  | 8 |
| 10 |  |  |  | 1 | 11 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 6 |  |  |  | 1 | 7 |
| 9 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 11 |
| 8 |  |  | 1 |  | 9 |
| 14 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 17 |
| 9 |  |  |  | 3 | 12 |
| 8 |  |  |  | 1 | 9 |
| 7 |  |  |  | 1 | 8 |
| 4 |  |  |  | 5 | 9 |
| 11 |  |  |  | 1 | 12 |
| 101 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 34 |
| 33 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 37 |
| 31 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 35 |
| 37 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 44 |
| 40 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 49 |
| 39 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 47 |
| 38 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 46 |
| 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 38 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 38 |

## K\&M TRAFFIC SURVEYS

DATE : WEDNESDAY 27TH JUNE 2018
LOCATION : SHEPRETH, HERTS
MELDRETH ROAD / FOWLMERE ROAD / STATION ROAD PRIORITY JUNCTION

|  | STATION RD NORTH STRAIGHT AHEAD TO FOWLMERE ROAD |  |  |  |  |  | FOWLMERE ROAD STRAIGHT AHEAD TO STATION ROAD NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 0700-0715 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 0715-0730 | 6 |  | 1 |  |  | 7 | 7 |  | 2 |  |  | 9 |
| 0730-0745 | 14 |  | 1 |  |  | 15 | 11 |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 0745-0800 | 10 |  |  |  |  | 10 | 13 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 15 |
| 0800-0815 | 11 |  | 1 |  |  | 12 | 3 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| 0815-0830 | 5 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 8 | 19 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 24 |
| 0830-0845 | 23 |  |  |  |  | 23 | 20 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 22 |
| 0845-0900 | 14 |  | 1 |  |  | 15 | 19 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 23 |
| 0900-0915 | 22 | 1 |  |  |  | 23 | 27 |  |  |  |  | 27 |
| 0915-0930 | 13 |  | 1 |  |  | 14 | 19 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 22 |
| 0930-0945 | 18 |  |  |  |  | 18 | 7 | 3 |  |  |  | 10 |
| 0945-1000 | 16 |  |  |  |  | 16 | 11 |  | 2 |  |  | 13 |
| 0700-1000 | 156 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 165 | 163 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 188 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0700-0800 | 34 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 38 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 42 |
| 0715-0815 | 41 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 34 | 0 | 3 | , | 2 | 40 |
| 0730-0830 | 40 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 46 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 55 |
| 0745-0845 | 49 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 55 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 66 |
| 0800-0900 | 53 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 61 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 74 |
| 0815-0915 | 64 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 85 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 96 |
| 0830-0930 | 72 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 85 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 94 |
| 0845-0945 | 67 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 72 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 82 |
| 0900-1000 | 69 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 64 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 72 |

## K\&M TRAFFIC SURVEYS

DATE : WEDNESDAY 27TH JUNE 2018
LOCATION : SHEPRETH, HERTS
MELDRETH ROAD / FOWLMERE ROAD / STATION ROAD PRIORITY JUNCTION

|  | STATION RD NORTH STRAIGHT AHEAD TO FOWLMERE ROAD |  |  |  |  |  | FOWLMERE ROAD STRAIGHT AHEAD TO STATION ROAD NORTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT | CAR | HGV | BUS | MCY | PCY | TOT |
| 1600-1615 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 7 | 12 | 1 |  |  |  | 13 |
| 1615-1630 | 17 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 19 | 11 |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 1630-1645 | 15 |  |  |  | 1 | 16 | 9 |  |  |  | 1 | 10 |
| 1645-1700 | 10 |  |  |  | 1 | 11 | 14 |  |  |  | 1 | 15 |
| 1700-1715 | 37 |  |  |  | 1 | 38 | 15 |  |  |  | 1 | 16 |
| 1715-1730 | 17 |  |  |  |  | 17 | 21 |  |  |  |  | 21 |
| 1730-1745 | 17 |  |  |  |  | 17 | 17 |  |  |  |  | 17 |
| 1745-1800 | 15 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 17 | 15 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 17 |
| 1800-1815 | 11 |  |  |  | 1 | 12 | 12 | 1 |  | 1 | 3 | 17 |
| 1815-1830 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 11 |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| 1830-1845 | 9 |  |  |  |  | 9 | 9 |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| 1845-1900 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 1600-1900 | 165 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 173 | 153 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 164 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1600-1700 | 49 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 53 | 46 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 49 |
| 1615-1715 | 79 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 84 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 52 |
| 1630-1730 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 82 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 62 |
| 1645-1745 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 83 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 69 |
| 1700-1800 | 86 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 89 | 68 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 71 |
| 1715-1815 | 60 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 63 | 65 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 72 |
| 1730-1830 | 47 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 50 | 55 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 62 |
| 1745-1845 | 39 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 42 | 47 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 54 |
| 1800-1900 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 39 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 44 |

## K\&M TRAFFIC SURVEYS

DATE : WEDNESDAY 27TH JUNE 2018
LOCATION : MELDRETH \& SHEPRETH, HERTS

|  | A10 / STATON RD |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | OUT OF STATION RD |
| 0705 | 0 |
| 0710 | 0 |
| 0715 | 0 |
| 0720 | 0 |
| 0725 | 5 |
| 0730 | 0 |
| 0735 | 5 |
| 0740 | 2 |
| 0745 | 0 |
| 0750 | 2 |
| 0755 | 0 |
| 0800 | 2 |
| 0805 | 0 |
| 0810 | 0 |
| 0815 | 3 |
| 0820 | 0 |
| 0825 | 0 |
| 0830 | 0 |
| 0835 | 0 |
| 0840 | 4 |
| 0845 | 0 |
| 0850 | 5 |
| 0855 | 3 |
| 0900 | 0 |
| 0905 | 0 |
| 0910 | 0 |
| 0915 | 0 |
| 0920 | 0 |
| 0925 | 0 |
| 0930 | 0 |
| 0935 | 0 |
| 0940 | 0 |
| 0945 | 0 |
| 0950 | 0 |
| 0955 | 0 |
| 1000 | 0 |
|  | QUEUES RECORDE\| |
|  | 0 |


|  | STATION RD |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | OUT OF STATION ROAD |
| 0705 | 0 |
| 0710 | 0 |
| 0715 | 0 |
| 0720 | 0 |
| 0725 | 0 |
| 0730 | 0 |
| 0735 | 0 |
| 0740 | 0 |
| 0745 | 0 |
| 0750 | 0 |
| 0755 | 8 |
| 0800 | 0 |
| 0805 | 0 |
| 0810 | 5 |
| 0815 | 0 |
| 0820 | 7 |
| 0825 | 12 |
| 0830 | 2 |
| 0835 | 12 |
| 0840 | 14 |
| 0845 | 0 |
| 0850 | 0 |
| 0855 | 0 |
| 0900 | 0 |
| 0905 | 0 |
| 0910 | 0 |
| 0915 | 0 |
| 0920 | 0 |
| 0925 | 0 |
| 0930 | 0 |
| 0935 | 0 |
| 0940 | 0 |
| 0945 | 0 |
| 0950 | 0 |
| 0955 | 0 |
| 1000 | 0 |


|  | INDUSTRAIL ESTATE |  | SHEPRETH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OUT OF INDUSTRIAL ESTATE |  | OUT OF MELDRETH ROAD |
| 0705 | 0 | 0705 | 0 |
| 0710 | 0 | 0710 | 0 |
| 0715 | 0 | 0715 | 0 |
| 0720 | 0 | 0720 | 0 |
| 0725 | 0 | 0725 | 0 |
| 0730 | 0 | 0730 | 0 |
| 0735 | 0 | 0735 | 0 |
| 0740 | 0 | 0740 | 0 |
| 0745 | 0 | 0745 | 0 |
| 0750 | 0 | 0750 | 0 |
| 0755 | 0 | 0755 | 0 |
| 0800 | 0 | 0800 | 0 |
| 0805 | 0 | 0805 | 0 |
| 0810 | 0 | 0810 | 0 |
| 0815 | 0 | 0815 | 0 |
| 0820 | 0 | 0820 | 0 |
| 0825 | 0 | 0825 | 0 |
| 0830 | 0 | 0830 | 0 |
| 0835 | 0 | 0835 | 0 |
| 0840 | 0 | 0840 | 0 |
| 0845 | 0 | 0845 | 0 |
| 0850 | 0 | 0850 | 0 |
| 0855 | 0 | 0855 | 0 |
| 0900 | 0 | 0900 | 0 |
| 0905 | 0 | 0905 | 0 |
| 0910 | 0 | 0910 | 0 |
| 0915 | 0 | 0915 | 0 |
| 0920 | 0 | 0920 | 0 |
| 0925 | 0 | 0925 | 0 |
| 0930 | 0 | 0930 | 0 |
| 0935 | 0 | 0935 | 0 |
| 0940 | 0 | 0940 | 0 |
| 0945 | 0 | 0945 | 0 |
| 0950 | 0 | 0950 | 0 |
| 0955 | 0 | 0955 | 0 |
| 1000 | 0 | 1000 | 0 |

QUEUES RECORDED IN TOTAL NUMBER OF VEHICLES

## K\&M TRAFFIC SURVEYS

DATE : WEDNESDAY 27TH JUNE 2018
LOCATION : MELDRETH \& SHEPRETH, HERTS

|  | A10 / STATON RD |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | OUT OF STATION RD |
| 1605 | 0 |
| 1610 | 0 |
| 1615 | 0 |
| 1620 | 0 |
| 1625 | 0 |
| 1630 | 0 |
| 1635 | 3 |
| 1640 | 0 |
| 1645 | 0 |
| 1650 | 4 |
| 1655 | 0 |
| 1700 | 0 |
| 1705 | 5 |
| 1710 | 1 |
| 1715 | 0 |
| 1720 | 3 |
| 1725 | 0 |
| 1730 | 0 |
| 1735 | 3 |
| 1740 | 4 |
| 1745 | 0 |
| 1750 | 0 |
| 1755 | 0 |
| 1800 | 0 |
| 1805 | 0 |
| 1810 | 0 |
| 1815 | 0 |
| 1820 | 0 |
| 1825 | 0 |
| 1830 | 3 |
| 1835 | 0 |
| 1840 | 0 |
| 1845 | 0 |
| 1850 | 0 |
| 1855 | 0 |
| 1900 | 0 |
|  | 0 |
|  | 0 |


|  | STATION RD |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | OUT OF STATION ROAD |
| 1605 | 0 |
| 1610 | 0 |
| 1615 | 0 |
| 1620 | 0 |
| 1625 | 0 |
| 1630 | 0 |
| 1635 | 0 |
| 1640 | 0 |
| 1645 | 0 |
| 1650 | 0 |
| 1655 | 0 |
| 1700 | 0 |
| 1705 | 0 |
| 1710 | 5 |
| 1715 | 0 |
| 1720 | 0 |
| 1725 | 0 |
| 1730 | 0 |
| 1735 | 0 |
| 1740 | 0 |
| 1745 | 0 |
| 1750 | 6 |
| 1755 | 0 |
| 1800 | 0 |
| 1805 | 0 |
| 1810 | 0 |
| 1815 | 0 |
| 1820 | 0 |
| 1825 | 0 |
| 1830 | 0 |
| 1835 | 0 |
| 1840 | 0 |
| 1845 | 0 |
| 1850 | 0 |
| 1855 | 0 |
| 1900 | 0 |
| $107 A$ | 0 |


|  | INDUSTRAIL ESTATE |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | OUT OF INDUSTRIAL <br> ESTATE |
| 1605 | 0 |
| 1610 | 0 |
| 1615 | 0 |
| 1620 | 0 |
| 1625 | 0 |
| 1630 | 0 |
| 1635 | 0 |
| 1640 | 0 |
| 1645 | 0 |
| 1650 | 0 |
| 1655 | 0 |
| 1700 | 0 |
| 1705 | 0 |
| 1710 | 0 |
| 1715 | 0 |
| 1720 | 0 |
| 1725 | 0 |
| 1730 | 0 |
| 1735 | 0 |
| 1740 | 0 |
| 1745 | 0 |
| 1750 | 0 |
| 1755 | 0 |
| 1800 | 0 |
| 1805 | 0 |
| 1810 | 0 |
| 1815 | 0 |
| 1820 | 0 |
| 1825 | 0 |
| 1830 | 0 |
| 1835 | 0 |
| 1840 | 0 |
| 1845 | 0 |
| 1850 | 0 |
| 1855 | 0 |
| 1900 | 0 |
|  |  |
|  | 0 |


|  | SHEPRETH |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | OUT OF MELDRETH <br> ROAD |
| 1605 | 0 |
| 1610 | 0 |
| 1615 | 0 |
| 1620 | 0 |
| 1625 | 0 |
| 1630 | 0 |
| 1635 | 0 |
| 1640 | 0 |
| 1645 | 0 |
| 1650 | 0 |
| 1655 | 0 |
| 1700 | 0 |
| 1705 | 0 |
| 1710 | 0 |
| 1715 | 0 |
| 1720 | 0 |
| 1725 | 0 |
| 1730 | 0 |
| 1735 | 0 |
| 1740 | 0 |
| 1745 | 0 |
| 1750 | 0 |
| 1755 | 0 |
| 1800 | 0 |
| 1805 | 0 |
| 1810 | 0 |
| 1815 | 0 |
| 1820 | 0 |
| 1825 | 0 |
| 1830 | 0 |
| 1835 | 0 |
| 1840 | 0 |
| 1845 | 0 |
| 1850 | 0 |
| 1855 | 0 |
| 1900 | 0 |
|  |  |
|  | 0 |

QUEUES RECORDED IN TOTAL NUMBER OF VEHICLES

Appendix: G
Traffic Flow Diagrams

1713 Meldreth


1713 Meldreth


## 1713 Meldreth



1713 Meldreth
Figure 4: Development traffic (\%s)
07:45-08:45



1713 Meldreth
Figure 5: Development traffic (vehicles)
07:45-08:45


1713 Meldreth


1713 Meldreth
Figure 7: Net development traffic (vehicles) 07:45-08:45



1713 Meldreth
Figure 8: 2023 Baseline + devt (vehicles)
07:45-08:45


Whitecroft Road to A1198


Appendix: H
TRICS OUtPUT

## TRIP RATE CALCULATI ON SELECTI ON PARAMETERS:

```
Land Use : 03-RESIDENTIAL
Category : A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED
MULTI-MODAL VEHICLES
```

Selected regions and areas:
02 SOUTH EAST
ES EAST SUSSEX
KC KENT
SC SURREY
WS WEST SUSSEX
04
EAST ANGLI A
NF NORFOLK
SF SUFFOLK
3 days
3 days
1 days
3 days
1 days
2 days

## Secondary Filtering selection:

| Parameter: | Number of dwellings |
| :--- | :--- |
| Actual Range: | 8 to 805 (units: ) |
| Range Selected by User: | 7 to 805 (units: ) |
| Public Transport Provision: |  |
| Selection by: |  |

[^0]Date Range:
01/01/10 to 27/11/17

Selected survey days:

| Monday | 1 days |
| :---: | :---: |
| Wednesday | 3 days |
| Thursday | 4 days |
| Friday | 5 days |
| Selected survey types: |  |
| Manual count | 13 days |
| Directional ATC Count | 0 days |
| Selected Locations: |  |
| Edge of Town | 10 |
| Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre) | 3 |
| Selected Location Sub Categories: |  |
| Residential Zone | 10 |
| Village | 3 |

## Secondary Filtering selection:

Use Class:
C3 13 days
$\frac{\text { Population within } 1 \text { mile: }}{1,000 \text { or Less }} 2$ days
$\begin{array}{ll}1,000 \text { to } 5,000 & 2 \text { days } \\ 1,001 \text { days }\end{array}$
5,001 to 10,000 3 days
10,001 to $15,000 \quad 4$ days
15,001 to 20,000 1 days
$\frac{\text { Population within } 5 \text { miles: }}{5,001 \text { to } 25,000} 1$ days
25,001 to $50,000 \quad 3$ days
50,001 to 75,000 2 days
75,001 to $100,000 \quad 3$ days
100,001 to 125,000 1 days
125,001 to 250,000 3 days
Car ownership within 5 miles:

| 0.6 to 1.0 | 3 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1.1 to 1.5 | 8 days |
| 1.6 to 2.0 | 2 days |

Travel Plan:

| Yes | 3 days |
| :--- | ---: |
| No | 10 days |
| PTAL Rating: |  |
| No PTAL Present | 13 days |

1 ES-03-A-02 PRIVATE HOUSI NG
SOUTH COAST ROAD
PEACEHAVEN
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings: 37 Survey date: FRIDAY 18/11/11
2
ES-03-A-03 MIXED HOUSES \& FLATS
SHEPHAM LANE
POLEGATE
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings: 212
Survey date: MONDAY 11/07/16
3 ES-03-A-04 MI XED HOUSES \& FLATS
NEW LYDD ROAD

## CAMBER

Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings:
134
Survey date: FRIDAY 15/07/16
4 KC-03-A-04
SEMI-DETACHED \& TERRACED
KILN BARN ROAD
DITTON
AYLESFORD
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings: 110 Survey date: FRIDAY 22/09/17
5 KC-03-A-05
DETACHED \& SEMI-DETACHED
ROCHESTER ROAD
BURHAM
NEAR CHATHAM
Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre)
Village
Total Number of dwellings: 8 Survey date: FRIDAY 22/09/17
6 KC-03-A-07 MI XED HOUSES
RECULVER ROAD

HERNE BAY
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings: 288 Survey date: WEDNESDAY 27/09/17
7 NF-03-A-03
DETACHED HOUSES
HALING WAY
THETFORD
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings: 10
Survey date: WEDNESDAY 16/09/15
8 SC-03-A-04 DETACHED \& TERRACED
HIGH ROAD
BYFLEET
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings:
71 Survey date: THURSDAY 23/01/14
9 SF-03-A-05 DETACHED HOUSES
VALE LANE
BURY ST EDMUNDS
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings

## EAST SUSSEX

Survey Type: MANUAL EAST SUSSEX

Survey Type: MANUAL

## EAST SUSSEX

Survey Type: MANUAL

## KENT

Survey Type: MANUAL

## KENT

Survey Type: MANUAL KENT

Survey Type: MANUAL NORFOLK

Survey Type: MANUAL SURREY

Survey Type: MANUAL SUFFOLK

LIST OF SITES relevant to selection parameters (Cont.)


TRIP RATE for Land Use 03 - RESIDENTIAL/A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED
MULTI-MODAL VEHI CLES
Calculation factor: 1 DWELLS
BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

|  | ARRIVALS |  |  | DEPARTURES |  |  | TOTALS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Range | No. Days | Ave. DWELLS | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. DWELLS | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. DWELLS | Trip Rate |
| 00:00-01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00-02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00-03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00-04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00-05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00-06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00-07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00-08:00 | 13 | 149 | 0.079 | 13 | 149 | 0.306 | 13 | 149 | 0.385 |
| 08:00-09:00 | 13 | 149 | 0.155 | 13 | 149 | 0.388 | 13 | 149 | 0.543 |
| 09:00-10:00 | 13 | 149 | 0.145 | 13 | 149 | 0.176 | 13 | 149 | 0.321 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 13 | 149 | 0.126 | 13 | 149 | 0.158 | 13 | 149 | 0.284 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 13 | 149 | 0.136 | 13 | 149 | 0.166 | 13 | 149 | 0.302 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 13 | 149 | 0.144 | 13 | 149 | 0.147 | 13 | 149 | 0.291 |
| 13:00-14:00 | 13 | 149 | 0.166 | 13 | 149 | 0.149 | 13 | 149 | 0.315 |
| 14:00-15:00 | 13 | 149 | 0.167 | 13 | 149 | 0.174 | 13 | 149 | 0.341 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 13 | 149 | 0.263 | 13 | 149 | 0.174 | 13 | 149 | 0.437 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 13 | 149 | 0.266 | 13 | 149 | 0.167 | 13 | 149 | 0.433 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 13 | 149 | 0.345 | 13 | 149 | 0.144 | 13 | 149 | 0.489 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 13 | 149 | 0.309 | 13 | 149 | 0.174 | 13 | 149 | 0.483 |
| 19:00-20:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00-21:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00-22:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00-23:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00-24:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Rates: |  |  | 2.301 |  |  | 2.323 |  |  | 4.624 |

## Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected: Survey date date range: Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):
Number of Saturdays:
01/01/10-27/11/17

Number of Sundays:
Surveys automatically removed from selection:
Surveys manually removed from selection:

TRIP RATE for Land Use 03 - RESIDENTIAL/A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED
MULTI-MODAL OGVS
Calculation factor: 1 DWELLS
BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period


EAS Transport Planning Unit 10 The Maltings Stanstead Abbotts

## Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected: Survey date date range:
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):
8-805 (units:)
01/01/10-27/11/17
Number of Saturdays:
13
Number of Sundays:
Surveys automatically removed from selection:
Surveys manually removed from selection:

TRIP RATE for Land Use 03-RESIDENTIAL/A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED
MULTI-MODAL CYCLISTS
Calculation factor: 1 DWELLS
BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period


## Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected: Survey date date range:
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):
8-805 (units:)
01/01/10-27/11/17
Number of Saturdays:
13
Number of Sundays:
Surveys automatically removed from selection:
Surveys manually removed from selection:

TRIP RATE for Land Use 03 - RESIDENTIAL/A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED
MULTI-MODAL VEHI CLE OCCUPANTS

## Calculation factor: 1 DWELLS

BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period


## Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected: Survey date date range:
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):
8-805 (units:)
01/01/10-27/11/17
Number of Saturdays:
13
Number of Sundays:
Surveys automatically removed from selection:
Surveys manually removed from selection:

TRIP RATE for Land Use 03 - RESIDENTIAL/A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED
MULTI-MODAL PEDESTRIANS
Calculation factor: 1 DWELLS
BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period


EAS Transport Planning Unit 10 The Maltings Stanstead Abbotts

## Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected: Survey date date range:
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):
8-805 (units:)
01/01/10-27/11/17
Number of Saturdays:
13
Number of Sundays:
Surveys automatically removed from selection:
Surveys manually removed from selection:

## TRIP RATE for Land Use 03 - RESIDENTIAL/A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED

MULTI-MODAL PUBLIC TRANSPORT USERS

## Calculation factor: 1 DWELLS

BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period


## Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected: Survey date date range:
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):
8-805 (units:)
01/01/10-27/11/17
Number of Saturdays:
13
Number of Sundays:
Surveys automatically removed from selection:
Surveys manually removed from selection:

TRIP RATE for Land Use 03 - RESIDENTIAL/A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED
MULTI-MODAL TOTAL PEOPLE
Calculation factor: 1 DWELLS
BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period


## Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected: Survey date date range: Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):
Number of Saturdays:
01/01/10-27/11/17

Number of Sundays:
Surveys automatically removed from selection:
Surveys manually removed from selection:

Appendix: I PICADY Output

## Junctions 9

## PICADY 9 - Priority Intersection Module

Version: 9.0.1.4646 []
© Copyright TRL Limited, 2018
For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL:
Tel: +44 (0)1344770758 email: software@trl.co.uk Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk
The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: Meldreth Jn 1 baseline+devt.j9
Path: Z:IEAS\Current Projects\South Cambridgeshire, Meldreth, Various Sites, 1713\Analysis\PICADY
Report generation date: 02/07/2018 11:30:46

```
"2023 Baseline + devt, AM
"2023 Baseline + devt, PM
```


## Summary of junction performance

|  | AM |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |  |  |
|  | 2023 Baseline + devt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stream B-AC | 0.2 | 9.12 | 0.18 | A | 0.1 | 7.53 | 0.06 | A |  |  |
| Stream C-AB | 0.1 | 5.68 | 0.07 | A | 0.3 | 5.10 | 0.15 | A |  |  |

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.

File summary
File Description

| Title | Meldreth Station Road |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location | Junction 1 |
| Site number |  |
| Date | $02 / 07 / 2018$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client |  |
| Jobnumber | 1713 |
| Enumerator | Asus $\backslash E A S$ |
| Description |  |

Units

| Distance units | Speed units | Traffic units input | Traffic units results | Flow units | Average delay units | Total delay units | Rate of delay units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | Veh | Veh | perHour | s | perMin |  |

## Analysis Options

| Calculate Queue Percentiles | Calculate residual capacity | RFC Threshold | Average Delay threshold (s) | Queue threshold (PCU) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.85 | 36.00 | 20.00 |

## Demand Set Summary

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | 2023 Baseline + devt | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 30$ | $09: 00$ | 15 |
| D2 | 2023 Baseline + devt | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |

## Analysis Set Details

| ID | Network flow scaling factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A1 | 100.000 |

## 2023 Baseline + devt, AM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major road direction | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Residential site access | T-Junction | Two-way | 1.09 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

| Arm | Name | Description | Arm type |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | Station Road north |  | Major |
| B | Site access |  | Minor |
| C | Station Road south |  | Major |

Major Arm Geometry

| Arm | Width of carriageway (m) | Has kerbed central reserve | Has right turn bay | Visibility for right turn (m) | Blocks? | Blocking queue (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 7.75 |  |  | 67.0 | $\checkmark$ | 0.00 |

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

## Minor Arm Geometry

| Arm | Minor arm type | Lane width (m) | Visibility to left (m) | Visibility to right (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | One lane | 3.00 | 135 | 68 |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity
Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

| Junction | Stream | Intercept <br> (Veh/hr) | Slope <br> for <br> AB | Slope <br> for <br> AC | Slope <br> for <br> C-A | Slope <br> for <br> C-B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-A | 556 | 0.094 | 0.236 | 0.149 | 0.338 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-C | 666 | 0.094 | 0.239 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | C-B | 613 | 0.219 | 0.219 | - | - |

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.
Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.
Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | 2023 Baseline + devt | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 30$ | $09: 00$ |  |


| Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: |
| HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Use O-D data | Average Demand (Veh/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | $\checkmark$ | 466 | 100.000 |
| B |  | $\checkmark$ | 77 | 100.000 |
| C |  | $\checkmark$ | 276 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 6 | 460 |
|  | B | 23 | 0 | 54 |
|  | C | 251 | 25 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 0 | 3 |
|  | B | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | C | 8 | 0 | 0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 0.18 | 9.12 | 0.2 | A |
| C-AB | 0.07 | 5.68 | 0.1 | A |
| C-A |  |  |  |  |
| AB |  |  |  |  |
| AC |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

07:30-07:45

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 58 | 528 | 0.110 | 57 | 0.1 | 7.647 |  |
| C-AB | 26 | 662 | 0.040 | 26 | 0.1 | 5.660 |  |
| C-A | 181 |  |  | 181 |  |  |  |
| AB | 5 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| AC | 346 |  | 346 |  |  |  |  |

07:45-08:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 69 | 508 | 0.136 | 69 | 0.2 | 8.206 | A |
| C-AB | 34 | 674 | 0.050 | 34 | 0.1 | 5.618 | A |
| C-A | 214 |  |  | 214 |  |  |  |
| AB | 5 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| AC | 414 |  |  | 414 |  |  |  |

08:00-08:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 85 | 480 | 0.177 | 85 | 0.2 | 9.111 | A |
| C-AB | 46 | 691 | 0.067 | 46 | 0.1 | 5.569 | A |
| C-A | 258 |  |  | 258 |  |  |  |
| AB | 7 |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| AC | 506 |  | 506 |  |  |  |  |

08:15-08:30

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 85 | 480 | 0.177 | 85 | 0.2 | 9.119 | A |
| C-AB | 46 | 691 | 0.067 | 46 | 0.1 | 5.585 | A |
| C-A | 258 |  |  | 258 |  |  |  |
| AB | 7 |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| AC | 506 |  |  | 506 |  |  |  |

08:30-08:45

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 69 | 508 | 0.136 | 69 | 0.2 | 8.220 | A |
| C-AB | 34 | 674 | 0.050 | 34 | 0.1 | 5.650 | A |
| C-A | 214 |  |  | 214 |  |  |  |
| AB | 5 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| AC | 414 |  |  | 414 |  |  |  |

08:45-09:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(V e h / h r)$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 58 | 528 | 0.110 | 58 | 0.1 | 7.667 |  |
| C-AB | 26 | 662 | 0.040 | 27 | 0.1 | 5.681 | A |
| C-A | 181 |  |  | 181 |  |  |  |
| AB | 5 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| AC | 346 |  | 346 |  |  |  |  |

## 2023 Baseline + devt, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major road direction | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Residential site access | T-Junction | Two-way | 0.95 | A |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D2 | 2023 Baseline + devt | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |


| Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: |
| HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Use O-D data | Average Demand (Veh/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | $\checkmark$ | 298 | 100.000 |
| B |  | $\checkmark$ | 29 | 100.000 |
| C |  | $\checkmark$ | 466 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 14 | 284 |
|  | B | 9 | 0 | 20 |
|  | C | 411 | 55 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |  |
|  | A | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
|  | B | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | C | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 0.06 | 7.53 | 0.1 | A |
| C-AB | 0.15 | 5.10 | 0.3 | A |
| C-A |  |  |  |  |
| AB |  |  |  |  |
| AC |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 22 | 549 | 0.040 | 22 | 0.0 | 6.830 | A |
| C-AB | 69 | 776 | 0.089 | 68 | 0.2 | 5.088 | A |
| C-A | 282 |  |  | 282 |  |  |  |
| AB | 11 |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |
| AC | 214 |  | 214 |  |  |  |  |

17:00-17:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 26 | 532 | 0.049 | 26 | 0.1 | 7.107 | A |
| C-AB | 91 | 810 | 0.113 | 91 | 0.2 | 5.014 | A |
| C-A | 328 |  |  | 328 |  |  |  |
| AB | 13 |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |
| AC | 255 |  | 255 |  |  |  |  |

17:15-17:30

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 32 | 510 | 0.063 | 32 | 0.1 | 7.533 |  |
| C-AB | 129 | 857 | 0.150 | 128 | 0.3 | 4.947 | A |
| C-A | 384 |  |  | 384 |  |  |  |
| AB | 15 |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| AC | 313 |  |  | 313 |  |  |  |

17:30-17:45

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 32 | 510 | 0.063 | 32 | 0.1 | 7.534 | A |
| C-AB | 129 | 857 | 0.151 | 129 | 0.3 | 4.951 | A |
| C-A | 384 |  |  | 384 |  |  |  |
| AB | 15 |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |
| AC | 313 |  |  | 313 |  |  |  |

17:45-18:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 26 | 532 | 0.049 | 26 | 0.1 | 7.110 | A |
| C-AB | 92 | 810 | 0.113 | 92 | 0.2 | 5.025 | A |
| C-A | 327 |  |  | 327 |  |  |  |
| AB | 13 |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |
| AC | 255 |  | 255 |  |  |  |  |

18:00-18:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 22 | 549 | 0.040 | 22 | 0.0 | 6.837 | A |
| C-AB | 69 | 776 | 0.089 | 69 | 0.2 | 5.103 | A |
| C-A | 282 |  |  | 282 |  |  |  |
| AB | 11 |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |
| AC | 214 |  |  | 214 |  |  |  |

## Junctions 9

## PICADY 9 - Priority Intersection Module

Version: 9.0.1.4646 []
© Copyright TRL Limited, 2018

> For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL:
> Tel: $+44(0) 1344770758$ email: software@trl.co.uk $\quad$ Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: Meldreth Jn 2 baseline.j9
Path: Z:IEAS\Current Projects\South Cambridgeshire, Meldreth, Various Sites, 1713\Analysis\PICADY
Report generation date: 02/07/2018 10:31:56

## "2023 Baseline, AM <br> "2023 Baseline, PM

## Summary of junction performance

|  | AM |  |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2023 Baseline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stream B-C | 6.5 | 156.47 | 0.95 | F | 0.3 | 10.31 | 0.20 | B |  |  |  |  |
| Stream B-A | 11.6 | 109.77 | 0.98 | F | 1.2 | 18.73 | 0.55 | C |  |  |  |  |
| Stream C-AB | 0.3 | 7.47 | 0.18 | A | 0.5 | 8.28 | 0.29 | A |  |  |  |  |

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.

## File summary

File Description

| Title | Meldreth Station Road |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location | Junction 2 |
| Site number |  |
| Date | $02 / 07 / 2018$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client |  |
| Jobnumber | 1713 |
| Enumerator | Asus $\backslash E A S$ |
| Description |  |

## Units

| Distance units | Speed units | Traffic units input | Traffic units results | Flow units | Average delay units | Total delay units | Rate of delay units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | Veh | Veh | perHour | s | perMin |  |

## Analysis Options

| Calculate Queue Percentiles | Calculate residual capacity | RFC Threshold | Average Delay threshold (s) | Queue threshold (PCU) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.85 | 36.00 | 20.00 |

## Demand Set Summary

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | 2023 Baseline | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 30$ | $09: 00$ | 15 |
| D2 | 2023 Baseline | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |

## Analysis Set Details

| ID | Network flow scaling factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A1 | 100.000 |

## 2023 Baseline, AM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major road direction | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Station Road south of A10 | T-Junction | Two-way | 66.73 | F |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

| Arm | Name | Description | Arm type |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| A | Station Road south |  | Major |
| B | Station Road west |  | Minor |
| C | Station Road north |  | Major |

Major Arm Geometry

| Arm | Width of carriageway (m) | Has kerbed central reserve | Has right turn bay | Visibility for right turn (m) | Blocks? | Blocking queue (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 7.50 |  |  | 143.0 | $\checkmark$ | 0.00 |

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.
Minor Arm Geometry

| Arm | Minor arm <br> type | Width at give- <br> way $(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{5 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{1 0 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{1 5 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{2 0 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Estimate flare <br> length | Flare length <br> $\mathbf{( P C U})$ | Visibility to <br> left $(\mathbf{m})$ | Visibility to <br> right $(\mathbf{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{B}$ | One lane plus <br> flare | 10.00 | 7.00 | 4.00 | 2.70 | 2.70 | $\checkmark$ | 1.00 | 55 | 20 |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

| Junction | Stream | Intercept <br> (Veh/hr) | Slope <br> for <br> AB | Slope <br> for <br> AC | Slope <br> for <br> C-A | Slope <br> for <br> C-B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | B-A | 549 | 0.093 | 0.236 | 0.149 | 0.338 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | B-C | 670 | 0.096 | 0.243 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | C-B | 657 | 0.238 | 0.238 | - | - |

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.
Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.
Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | 2023 Baseline | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 30$ | $09: 00$ | 15 |


| Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: |
| HV Percentages | 2.00 |

## Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Use O-D data | Average Demand (Veh/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | $\checkmark$ | 258 | 100.000 |
| B |  | $\checkmark$ | 499 | 100.000 |
| C |  | $\checkmark$ | 169 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 169 | 89 |
|  | B | 358 | 0 | 141 |
|  | C | 91 | 78 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 2 | 1 |
|  | B | 1 | 0 | 7 |
|  | C | 6 | 14 | 0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 0.95 | 156.47 | 6.5 | F |
| B-A | 0.98 | 109.77 | 11.6 | F |
| C-AB | 0.18 | 7.47 | 0.3 | A |
| C-A |  |  |  |  |
| AB |  |  |  |  |
| AC |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

07:30-07:45

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 106 | 432 | 0.246 | 105 | 0.3 | 10.965 |  |
| B-A | 270 | 471 | 0.572 | 264 | 1.3 | B |  |
| C-AB | 67 | 582 | 0.114 | 66 | 0.1 | 6.971 |  |
| C-A | 61 |  |  | 61 |  |  |  |
| AB | 127 |  |  | 67 |  |  |  |
| AC | 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

07:45-08:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 127 | 339 | 0.374 | 126 | 0.6 | 16.775 |  |
| B-A | 322 | 449 | 0.717 | 318 | 2.3 | 26.592 | C |
| C-AB | 82 | 583 | 0.140 | 81 | 0.2 | 7.179 | A |
| C-A | 70 |  |  | 70 |  |  |  |
| AB | 152 |  |  | 152 |  |  |  |
| AC | 80 |  | 80 |  |  |  |  |

08:00-08:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 155 | 166 | 0.935 | 139 | 4.7 | 101.323 | F |
| B-A | 394 | 408 | 0.966 | 370 | 8.2 | 70.432 | F |
| C-AB | 104 | 586 | 0.177 | 103 | 0.2 | 7.473 | A |
| C-A | 82 |  |  | 82 |  |  |  |
| AB | 186 |  |  | 186 |  |  |  |
| AC | 98 |  | 98 |  |  |  |  |

08:15-08:30

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 155 | 164 | 0.947 | 148 | 6.5 | 156.475 | F |
| B-A | 394 | 402 | 0.980 | 381 | 11.6 | 109.766 | F |
| C-AB | 104 | 586 | 0.177 | 104 | 0.3 | 7.474 | A |
| C-A | 82 |  |  | 82 |  |  |  |
| AB | 186 |  |  | 186 |  |  |  |
| AC | 98 |  | 98 |  |  |  |  |

08:30-08:45

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 127 | 267 | 0.474 | 149 | 1.0 | 35.287 | E |
| B-A | 322 | 434 | 0.742 | 355 | 3.3 | 55.614 | F |
| C-AB | 82 | 584 | 0.140 | 82 | 0.2 | 7.175 | A |
| C-A | 70 |  |  | 70 |  |  |  |
| AB | 152 |  |  | 152 |  |  |  |
| AC | 80 |  | 80 |  |  |  |  |

08:45-09:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $($ Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 106 | 415 | 0.255 | 109 | 0.3 | 11.819 | B |
| B-A | 270 | 470 | 0.574 | 277 | 1.4 | 19.376 | C |
| C-AB | 67 | 582 | 0.115 | 67 | 0.1 | 6.984 | A |
| C-A | 61 |  |  | 61 |  |  |  |
| AB | 127 |  |  | 127 |  |  |  |
| AC | 67 |  | 67 |  |  |  |  |

## 2023 Baseline, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major road direction | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Station Road south of A10 | T-Junction | Two-way | 6.84 | A |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D2 | 2023 Baseline | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |


| Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: |
| HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Use O-D data | Average Demand (Veh/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | $\checkmark$ | 374 | 100.000 |
| B |  | $\checkmark$ | 291 | 100.000 |
| C |  | $\checkmark$ | 225 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 289 | 85 |
|  | B | 210 | 0 | 81 |
|  | C | 85 | 140 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |  |
|  | A | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |
|  | B | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |
|  | C | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 0.20 | 10.31 | 0.3 | B |
| B-A | 0.55 | 18.73 | 1.2 | C |
| C-AB | 0.29 | 8.28 | 0.5 | A |
| C-A |  |  |  |  |
| AB |  |  |  |  |
| AC |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 61 | 542 | 0.113 | 60 | 0.1 | 7.473 |  |
| B-A | 158 | 466 | 0.339 | 156 | 0.5 | 11.531 | A |
| C-AB | 117 | 627 | 0.187 | 116 | 0.3 | 7.044 |  |
| C-A | 52 |  |  | 52 |  |  |  |
| AB | 218 |  |  | 648 |  |  |  |
| AC | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

17:00-17:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $($ Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 73 | 505 | 0.144 | 73 | 0.2 | 8.322 |  |
| B-A | 189 | 449 | 0.421 | 188 | 0.7 | 13.759 | A |
| C-AB | 144 | 623 | 0.231 | 143 | 0.3 | 7.509 | A |
| C-A | 59 |  |  | 59 |  |  |  |
| AB | 260 |  |  | 260 |  |  |  |
| AC | 76 |  | 76 |  |  |  |  |

17:15-17:30

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 89 | 441 | 0.202 | 89 | 0.3 | 10.227 | B |
| B-A | 231 | 423 | 0.546 | 229 | 1.2 | 18.387 | C |
| C-AB | 182 | 617 | 0.295 | 181 | 0.5 | 8.249 | A |
| C-A | 66 |  |  | 66 |  |  |  |
| AB | 318 |  |  | 318 |  |  |  |
| AC | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  |  |

17:30-17:45

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 89 | 438 | 0.204 | 89 | 0.3 | 10.314 | B |
| B-A | 231 | 423 | 0.546 | 231 | 1.2 | 18.725 | C |
| C-AB | 182 | 617 | 0.295 | 182 | 0.5 | 8.276 | A |
| C-A | 66 |  |  | 66 |  |  |  |
| AB | 318 |  |  | 318 |  |  |  |
| AC | 94 |  | 94 |  |  |  |  |

17:45-18:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 73 | 503 | 0.145 | 73 | 0.2 | 8.388 |  |
| B-A | 189 | 448 | 0.421 | 191 | 0.7 | 14.054 |  |
| C-AB | 144 | 623 | 0.231 | 144 | 0.3 | 7.535 | B |
| C-A | 59 |  |  | 59 |  |  |  |
| AB | 260 |  |  | 76 |  |  |  |
| AC | 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

18:00-18:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 61 | 539 | 0.113 | 61 | 0.1 | 7.527 |  |
| B-A | 158 | 466 | 0.339 | 159 | 0.5 | 11.767 |  |
| C-AB | 118 | 627 | 0.188 | 118 | 0.3 | 7.084 |  |
| C-A | 52 |  |  | 52 |  |  |  |
| AB | 218 |  |  | 64 |  |  |  |
| AC | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Junctions 9

## PICADY 9 - Priority Intersection Module

Version: 9.0.1.4646 []
© Copyright TRL Limited, 2018

> For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL:
> Tel: +44 (0)1344 770758 email: software@trl.co.uk $\quad$ Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: Meldreth Jn 2 baseline+devt.j9
Path: Z:\EAS $\backslash$ Current Projects\South Cambridgeshire, Meldreth, Various Sites, 1713\Analysis\PICADY
Report generation date: 02/07/2018 11:35:46

```
"2023 Baseline + devt, AM
"2023 Baseline + devt, PM
```

Summary of junction performance

|  | AM |  |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |  |  |  |
|  | 2023 Baseline + devt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stream B-C | 11.9 | 234.05 | 1.07 | F | 0.3 | 11.34 | 0.24 | B |  |  |  |
| Stream B-A | 22.4 | 192.48 | 1.07 | F | 1.4 | 21.42 | 0.59 | C |  |  |  |
| Stream C-AB | 0.3 | 7.75 | 0.20 | A | 0.7 | 9.39 | 0.38 | A |  |  |  |

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.

## File summary

File Description

| Title | Meldreth Station Road |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location | Junction 2 |
| Site number |  |
| Date | $02 / 07 / 2018$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client |  |
| Jobnumber | 1713 |
| Enumerator | Asus $\backslash E A S$ |
| Description |  |

## Units

| Distance units | Speed units | Traffic units input | Traffic units results | Flow units | Average delay units | Total delay units | Rate of delay units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | Veh | Veh | perHour | s | -Min | perMin |

## Analysis Options

| Calculate Queue Percentiles | Calculate residual capacity | RFC Threshold | Average Delay threshold (s) | Queue threshold (PCU) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.85 | 36.00 | 20.00 |

## Demand Set Summary

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | 2023 Baseline + devt | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 30$ | $09: 00$ | 15 |
| D2 | 2023 Baseline + devt | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |

## Analysis Set Details

| ID | Network flow scaling factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A1 | 100.000 |

## 2023 Baseline + devt, AM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major road direction | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Station Road south of A10 | T-Junction | Two-way | 113.68 | F |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

| Arm | Name | Description | Arm type |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| A | Station Road south |  | Major |
| B | Station Road west |  | Minor |
| C | Station Road north |  | Major |

Major Arm Geometry

| Arm | Width of carriageway (m) | Has kerbed central reserve | Has right turn bay | Visibility for right turn (m) | Blocks? | Blocking queue (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 7.50 |  |  | 143.0 | $\checkmark$ | 0.00 |

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.
Minor Arm Geometry

| Arm | Minor arm <br> type | Width at give- <br> way $(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{5 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{1 0 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{1 5 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{2 0 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Estimate flare <br> length | Flare length <br> $\mathbf{( P C U})$ | Visibility to <br> left $(\mathbf{m})$ | Visibility to <br> right $(\mathbf{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{B}$ | One lane plus <br> flare | 10.00 | 7.00 | 4.00 | 2.70 | 2.70 | $\checkmark$ | 1.00 | 55 | 20 |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

| Junction | Stream | Intercept <br> (Veh/hr) | Slope <br> for <br> AB | Slope <br> for <br> AC | Slope <br> for <br> C-A | Slope <br> for <br> C-B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | B-A | 546 | 0.093 | 0.235 | 0.148 | 0.336 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | B-C | 678 | 0.097 | 0.246 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | C-B | 657 | 0.238 | 0.238 | - | - |

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.
Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.
Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | 2023 Baseline + devt | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 30$ | $09: 00$ | 15 |


| Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: |
| HV Percentages | 2.00 |

## Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Use O-D data | Average Demand (Veh/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | $\checkmark$ | 263 | 100.000 |
| B |  | $\checkmark$ | 547 | 100.000 |
| C |  | $\checkmark$ | 181 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 174 | 89 |
|  | B | 373 | 0 | 174 |
|  | C | 91 | 90 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 2 | 1 |
|  | B | 1 | 0 | 7 |
|  | C | 6 | 14 | 0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 1.07 | 234.05 | 11.9 | F |
| B-A | 1.07 | 192.48 | 22.4 | F |
| C-AB | 0.20 | 7.75 | 0.3 | A |
| C-A |  |  |  |  |
| AB |  |  |  |  |
| AC |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

07:30-07:45

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 131 | 417 | 0.314 | 129 | 0.4 | 12.414 |  |
| B-A | 281 | 459 | 0.612 | 275 | 1.5 | 19.018 |  |
| C-AB | 77 | 581 | 0.132 | 76 | 0.2 | 7.121 |  |
| C-A | 59 |  |  | 59 |  |  |  |
| AB | 131 |  | 131 | 6 |  |  |  |
| AC | 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

07:45-08:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 156 | 309 | 0.507 | 154 | 1.0 | 23.010 |  |
| B-A | 335 | 429 | 0.781 | 329 | 3.1 | 33.908 | C |
| C-AB | 94 | 583 | 0.162 | 94 | 0.2 | 7.378 | A |
| C-A | 68 |  |  | 68 |  |  |  |
| AB | 156 |  |  | 156 |  |  |  |
| AC | 80 |  | 80 |  |  |  |  |

08:00-08:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $\mathbf{( V e h / h r )}$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 192 | 179 | 1.069 | 162 | 8.3 | 136.505 | F |
| B-A | 411 | 388 | 1.059 | 368 | 13.7 | 104.740 | F |
| C-AB | 120 | 585 | 0.205 | 119 | 0.3 | 7.748 | A |
| C-A | 80 |  |  | 80 |  |  |  |
| AB | 192 |  |  | 192 |  |  |  |
| AC | 98 |  | 98 |  |  |  |  |

08:15-08:30

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 192 | 184 | 1.039 | 177 | 11.9 | 234.049 | F |
| B-A | 411 | 382 | 1.075 | 376 | 22.4 | 192.481 | F |
| C-AB | 120 | 585 | 0.205 | 120 | 0.3 | 7.748 | A |
| C-A | 79 |  |  | 79 |  |  |  |
| AB | 192 |  |  | 192 |  |  |  |
| AC | 98 |  | 98 |  |  |  |  |

08:30-08:45

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $($ Veh $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 156 | 191 | 0.820 | 177 | 6.7 | 197.230 | F |
| B-A | 335 | 398 | 0.843 | 381 | 11.1 | 165.213 | F |
| C-AB | 94 | 583 | 0.162 | 95 | 0.2 | 7.372 | A |
| C-A | 68 |  |  | 68 |  |  |  |
| AB | 156 |  |  | 156 |  |  |  |
| AC | 80 |  | 80 |  |  |  |  |

08:45-09:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $($ Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 131 | 353 | 0.371 | 155 | 0.6 | 20.417 |  |
| B-A | 281 | 444 | 0.632 | 318 | 1.8 | 35.375 | E |
| C-AB | 77 | 581 | 0.132 | 77 | 0.2 | 7.141 | A |
| C-A | 59 |  |  | 59 |  |  |  |
| AB | 131 |  |  | 131 |  |  |  |
| AC | 67 |  | 67 |  |  |  |  |

## 2023 Baseline + devt, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major road direction | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Station Road south of A10 | T-Junction | Two-way | 7.89 | A |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D2 | 2023 Baseline + devt | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |


| Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: |
| HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Use O-D data | Average Demand (Veh/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | $\checkmark$ | 388 | 100.000 |
| B |  | $\checkmark$ | 307 | 100.000 |
| C |  | $\checkmark$ | 262 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 303 | 85 |
|  | B | 215 | 0 | 92 |
|  | C | 85 | 177 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |  |
|  | A | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |
|  | B | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |
|  | C | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 0.24 | 11.34 | 0.3 | B |
| B-A | 0.59 | 21.42 | 1.4 | C |
| C-AB | 0.38 | 9.39 | 0.7 | A |
| C-A |  |  |  |  |
| AB |  |  |  |  |
| AC |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 69 | 539 | 0.129 | 69 | 0.1 | 7.648 |  |
| B-A | 162 | 454 | 0.356 | 160 | 0.5 | 12.141 |  |
| C-AB | 148 | 624 | 0.238 | 147 | 0.3 | 7.528 |  |
| C-A | 49 |  |  | 49 |  |  |  |
| AB | 228 |  | 228 |  |  |  |  |
| AC | 64 |  | 64 |  |  |  |  |

17:00-17:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 83 | 497 | 0.166 | 83 | 0.2 | 8.670 | A |
| B-A | 193 | 434 | 0.445 | 192 | 0.8 | 14.839 | B |
| C-AB | 182 | 620 | 0.293 | 181 | 0.5 | 8.206 | A |
| C-A | 54 |  |  | 54 |  |  |  |
| AB | 272 |  |  | 272 |  |  |  |
| AC | 76 |  | 76 |  |  |  |  |

17:15-17:30

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 101 | 422 | 0.240 | 101 | 0.3 | 11.192 |  |
| B-A | 237 | 405 | 0.585 | 234 | 1.3 | 20.878 | B |
| C-AB | 230 | 614 | 0.375 | 229 | 0.7 | 9.354 | A |
| C-A | 58 |  |  | 58 |  |  |  |
| AB | 334 |  |  | 334 |  |  |  |
| AC | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  |  |

17:30-17:45

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $($ Veh $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 101 | 419 | 0.242 | 101 | 0.3 | 11.343 | B |
| B-A | 237 | 404 | 0.586 | 237 | 1.4 | 21.422 | C |
| C-AB | 230 | 614 | 0.375 | 230 | 0.7 | 9.395 | A |
| C-A | 58 |  |  | 58 |  |  |  |
| AB | 334 |  |  | 334 |  |  |  |
| AC | 94 |  | 94 |  |  |  |  |

17:45-18:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 83 | 494 | 0.168 | 83 | 0.2 | 8.778 | A |
| B-A | 193 | 433 | 0.446 | 195 | 0.8 | 15.264 | C |
| C-AB | 182 | 620 | 0.293 | 183 | 0.5 | 8.253 | A |
| C-A | 54 |  | 54 |  |  |  |  |
| AB | 272 |  |  | 272 |  |  |  |
| AC | 76 |  | 76 |  |  |  |  |

18:00-18:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $($ Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 69 | 536 | 0.129 | 69 | 0.1 | 7.722 | A |
| B-A | 162 | 454 | 0.357 | 163 | 0.6 | 12.433 | B |
| C-AB | 149 | 624 | 0.238 | 149 | 0.3 | 7.586 | A |
| C-A | 49 |  |  | 49 |  |  |  |
| AB | 228 |  |  | 228 |  |  |  |
| AC | 64 |  | 64 |  |  |  |  |

## Junctions 9

## PICADY 9 - Priority Intersection Module

Version: 9.0.1.4646 []
© Copyright TRL Limited, 2018
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Filename: Meldreth Jn 2 counted.j9
Path: Z:\EAS $\backslash$ Current Projects\South Cambridgeshire, Meldreth, Various Sites, 1713\Analysis\PICADY
Report generation date: 02/07/2018 11:54:24

## „2018 Counted, AM <br> »2018 Counted, PM

## Summary of junction performance

|  | AM |  |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |  |  |
|  | 2018 Counted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Stream B-C | 1.5 | 41.12 | 0.63 | E | 0.2 | 9.29 | 0.18 | A |  |
| Stream B-A | 5.0 | 52.89 | 0.86 | F | 0.9 | 16.21 | 0.49 | C |  |  |
| Stream C-AB | 0.2 | 7.34 | 0.16 | A | 0.4 | 7.93 | 0.27 | A |  |  |

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.

## File summary

File Description

| Title | Meldreth Station Road |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location | Junction 2 |
| Site number |  |
| Date | $02 / 07 / 2018$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client |  |
| Jobnumber | 1713 |
| Enumerator | Asus\EAS |
| Description |  |

## Units

| Distance units | Speed units | Traffic units input | Traffic units results | Flow units | Average delay units | Total delay units | Rate of delay units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | Veh | Veh | perHour | s | -Min | perMin |

## Analysis Options

| Calculate Queue Percentiles | Calculate residual capacity | RFC Threshold | Average Delay threshold (s) | Queue threshold (PCU) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.85 | 36.00 | 20.00 |

## Demand Set Summary

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | 2018 Counted | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 30$ | $09: 00$ | 15 |
| D2 | 2018 Counted | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |

## Analysis Set Details

| ID | Network flow scaling factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A1 | 100.000 |

## 2018 Counted, AM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major road direction | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Station Road south of A10 | T-Junction | Two-way | 27.15 | D |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

| Arm | Name | Description | Arm type |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| A | Station Road south |  | Major |
| B | Station Road west |  | Minor |
| C | Station Road north |  | Major |

Major Arm Geometry

| Arm | Width of carriageway (m) | Has kerbed central reserve | Has right turn bay | Visibility for right turn (m) | Blocks? | Blocking queue (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 7.50 |  |  | 143.0 | $\checkmark$ | 0.00 |

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.
Minor Arm Geometry

| Arm | Minor arm <br> type | Width at give- <br> way $(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{5 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{1 0 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{1 5 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{2 0 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Estimate flare <br> length | Flare length <br> $\mathbf{( P C U})$ | Visibility to <br> left $(\mathbf{m})$ | Visibility to <br> right $(\mathbf{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{B}$ | One lane plus <br> flare | 10.00 | 7.00 | 4.00 | 2.70 | 2.70 | $\checkmark$ | 1.00 | 55 | 20 |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

| Junction | Stream | Intercept <br> (Veh/hr) | Slope <br> for <br> AB | Slope <br> for <br> AC | Slope <br> for <br> C-A | Slope <br> for <br> C-B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | B-A | 549 | 0.093 | 0.236 | 0.149 | 0.338 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | B-C | 670 | 0.096 | 0.243 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | C-B | 657 | 0.238 | 0.238 | - | - |

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.
Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.
Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | 2018 Counted | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 30$ | $09: 00$ | 15 |


| Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: |
| HV Percentages | 2.00 |

## Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Use O-D data | Average Demand (Veh/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | $\checkmark$ | 238 | 100.000 |
| B |  | $\checkmark$ | 460 | 100.000 |
| C |  | $\checkmark$ | 156 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 156 | 82 |
|  | B | 330 | 0 | 130 |
|  | C | 84 | 72 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 2 | 1 |
|  | B | 1 | 0 | 7 |
|  | C | 6 | 14 | 0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 0.63 | 41.12 | 1.5 | E |
| B-A | 0.86 | 52.89 | 5.0 | F |
| C-AB | 0.16 | 7.34 | 0.2 | A |
| C-A |  |  |  |  |
| AB |  |  |  |  |
| AC |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

07:30-07:45

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 98 | 460 | 0.213 | 97 | 0.3 | 9.894 |  |
| B-A | 248 | 479 | 0.519 | 244 | 1.0 | 15.101 |  |
| C-AB | 61 | 581 | 0.105 | 60 | 0.1 | 6.904 |  |
| C-A | 57 |  |  | 57 |  |  |  |
| AB | 117 |  |  | 117 |  |  |  |
| AC | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

07:45-08:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 117 | 385 | 0.303 | 116 | 0.4 | 13.357 | B |
| B-A | 297 | 460 | 0.645 | 294 | 1.7 | 21.326 | C |
| C-AB | 74 | 583 | 0.128 | 74 | 0.2 | 7.087 | A |
| C-A | 66 |  |  | 66 |  |  |  |
| AB | 140 |  |  | 140 |  |  |  |
| AC | 74 |  |  | 74 |  |  |  |

08:00-08:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 143 | 249 | 0.576 | 140 | 1.3 | 32.162 |  |
| B-A | 363 | 427 | 0.850 | 353 | 4.3 | 43.216 | D |
| C-AB | 94 | 585 | 0.161 | 94 | 0.2 | 7.343 | A |
| C-A | 77 |  |  | 77 |  |  |  |
| AB | 172 |  |  | 172 |  |  |  |
| AC | 90 |  | 90 |  |  |  |  |

08:15-08:30

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 143 | 228 | 0.628 | 142 | 1.5 | 41.118 | E |
| B-A | 363 | 424 | 0.856 | 361 | 5.0 | 52.891 | F |
| C-AB | 94 | 585 | 0.161 | 94 | 0.2 | 7.344 | A |
| C-A | 77 |  |  | 77 |  |  |  |
| AB | 172 |  |  | 172 |  |  |  |
| AC | 90 |  | 90 |  |  |  |  |

08:30-08:45

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 117 | 362 | 0.322 | 121 | 0.5 | 15.165 |  |
| B-A | 297 | 457 | 0.649 | 309 | 2.0 | 25.860 | C |
| C-AB | 74 | 583 | 0.128 | 75 | 0.2 | 7.080 | A |
| C-A | 66 |  |  | 66 |  |  |  |
| AB | 140 |  |  | 140 |  |  |  |
| AC | 74 |  | 74 |  |  |  |  |

08:45-09:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $($ Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 98 | 451 | 0.217 | 99 | 0.3 | 10.246 |  |
| B-A | 248 | 478 | 0.520 | 252 | 1.1 | 16.149 | B |
| C-AB | 61 | 581 | 0.105 | 61 | 0.1 | 6.917 | A |
| C-A | 57 |  |  | 57 |  |  |  |
| AB | 117 |  |  | 117 |  |  |  |
| AC | 62 |  | 62 |  |  |  |  |

## 2018 Counted, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major road direction | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Station Road south of A10 | T-Junction | Two-way | 6.08 | A |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D2 | 2018 Counted | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |


| Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: |
| HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Use O-D data | Average Demand (Veh/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | $\checkmark$ | 344 | 100.000 |
| B |  | $\checkmark$ | 268 | 100.000 |
| C |  | $\checkmark$ | 207 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 266 | 78 |
|  | B | 193 | 0 | 75 |
|  | C | 78 | 129 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |  |
|  | A | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |
|  | B | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |
|  | C | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 0.18 | 9.29 | 0.2 | A |
| B-A | 0.49 | 16.21 | 0.9 | C |
| C-AB | 0.27 | 7.93 | 0.4 | A |
| C-A |  |  |  |  |
| AB |  |  |  |  |
| AC |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 56 | 554 | 0.102 | 56 | 0.1 | 7.223 |  |
| B-A | 145 | 473 | 0.307 | 144 | 0.4 | 10.863 |  |
| C-AB | 107 | 628 | 0.171 | 106 | 0.2 | 6.889 |  |
| C-A | 49 |  |  | 49 |  |  |  |
| AB | 200 |  |  | 59 |  |  |  |
| AC | 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

17:00-17:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $($ Veh $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 67 | 524 | 0.129 | 67 | 0.1 | 7.883 | A |
| B-A | 174 | 457 | 0.379 | 173 | 0.6 | 12.624 | B |
| C-AB | 131 | 624 | 0.209 | 130 | 0.3 | 7.288 | A |
| C-A | 55 |  |  | 55 |  |  |  |
| AB | 239 |  |  | 239 |  |  |  |
| AC | 70 |  |  | 70 |  |  |  |

17:15-17:30

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 83 | 472 | 0.175 | 82 | 0.2 | 9.239 |  |
| B-A | 212 | 435 | 0.489 | 211 | 0.9 | 16.014 | A |
| C-AB | 165 | 619 | 0.266 | 165 | 0.4 | 7.913 | A |
| C-A | 63 |  |  | 63 |  |  |  |
| AB | 293 |  |  | 293 |  |  |  |
| AC | 86 |  |  | 86 |  |  |  |

17:30-17:45

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $($ Veh $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 83 | 470 | 0.176 | 83 | 0.2 | 9.285 | A |
| B-A | 212 | 434 | 0.489 | 212 | 0.9 | 16.207 | C |
| C-AB | 165 | 619 | 0.267 | 165 | 0.4 | 7.929 | A |
| C-A | 63 |  |  | 63 |  |  |  |
| AB | 293 |  |  | 293 |  |  |  |
| AC | 86 |  | 86 |  |  |  |  |

17:45-18:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 67 | 522 | 0.129 | 68 | 0.1 | 7.927 | A |
| B-A | 174 | 457 | 0.380 | 175 | 0.6 | 12.810 | B |
| C-AB | 131 | 625 | 0.209 | 131 | 0.3 | 7.307 | A |
| C-A | 55 |  |  | 55 |  |  |  |
| AB | 239 |  |  | 239 |  |  |  |
| AC | 70 |  | 70 |  |  |  |  |

18:00-18:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 56 | 552 | 0.102 | 57 | 0.1 | 7.263 | A |
| B-A | 145 | 473 | 0.307 | 146 | 0.5 | 11.038 | B |
| C-AB | 107 | 628 | 0.171 | 108 | 0.2 | 6.917 | A |
| C-A | 49 |  |  | 49 |  |  |  |
| AB | 200 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |
| AC | 59 |  |  | 59 |  |  |  |
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## »2023 Baseline, AM <br> »2023 Baseline, PM

## Summary of junction performance

|  | AM |  |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2023 Baseline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stream B-C | 0.5 | 10.07 | 0.35 | B | 0.3 | 8.52 | 0.25 | A |  |  |  |  |
| Stream B-A | 0.3 | 20.39 | 0.26 | C | 0.2 | 18.37 | 0.17 | C |  |  |  |  |
| Stream C-AB | 0.4 | 9.31 | 0.27 | A | 0.5 | 9.92 | 0.33 | A |  |  |  |  |

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.

## File summary

File Description

| Title | Meldreth Station Road |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location | Junction 3 |
| Site number |  |
| Date | $02 / 07 / 2018$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client |  |
| Jobnumber | 1713 |
| Enumerator | Asus\EAS |
| Description |  |

## Units

| Distance units | Speed units | Traffic units input | Traffic units results | Flow units | Average delay units | Total delay units | Rate of delay units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | Veh | Veh | perHour | s | -Min | perMin |

## Analysis Options

| Calculate Queue Percentiles | Calculate residual capacity | RFC Threshold | Average Delay threshold (s) | Queue threshold (PCU) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.85 | 36.00 | 20.00 |

## Demand Set Summary

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | 2023 Baseline | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 30$ | $09: 00$ | 15 |
| D2 | 2023 Baseline | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |

## Analysis Set Details

| ID | Network flow scaling factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A1 | 100.000 |

## 2023 Baseline, AM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major road direction | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Station Road jn with A10 | T-Junction | Two-way | 2.93 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

| Arm | Name | Description | Arm type |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | A10 northeast |  | Major |
| B | Station Road south |  | Minor |
| C | A10 southwest |  | Major |

Major Arm Geometry

| Arm | Width of carriageway <br> (m) | Has kerbed central <br> reserve | Has right turn <br> bay | Width for right turn <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Visibility for right turn <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Blocks? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | 7.00 |  | $\checkmark$ | 2.70 | Blocking queue <br> (PCU) |  |

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

## Minor Arm Geometry

| Arm | Minor arm <br> type | Width at give- <br> way (m) | Width at <br> $\mathbf{5 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{1 0 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{1 5 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{2 0 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Estimate flare <br> length | Flare length <br> $\mathbf{( P C U )}$ | Visibility to <br> left ( $\mathbf{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{B}$ | One lane plus <br> flare | 10.00 | 9.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 4.50 | Visibility to <br> right ( $\mathbf{m})$ |  |  |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

| Junction | Stream | Intercept <br> (Veh/hr) | Slope <br> for <br> AB | Slope <br> for <br> AC | Slope <br> for <br> C-A | Slope <br> for <br> C-B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | B-A | 532 | 0.093 | 0.234 | 0.147 | 0.335 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | B-C | 775 | 0.114 | 0.287 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | C-B | 727 | 0.270 | 0.270 | - | - |

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.
Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.
Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

## Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | 2023 Baseline | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 30$ | $09: 00$ | 15 |


| Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: |
| HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Use O-D data | Average Demand (Veh/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | $\checkmark$ | 493 | 100.000 |
| B |  | $\checkmark$ | 230 | 100.000 |
| C |  | $\checkmark$ | 665 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

| To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 39 | 454 |
|  | B | 55 | 0 | 175 |
|  | C | 535 | 130 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 19 | 9 |
|  | B | 8 | 0 | 4 |
|  | C | 5 | 7 | 0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 0.35 | 10.07 | 0.5 | B |
| B-A | 0.26 | 20.39 | 0.3 | C |
| C-AB | 0.27 | 9.31 | 0.4 | A |
| C-A |  |  |  |  |
| AB |  |  |  |  |
| AC |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

07:30-07:45

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 132 | 618 | 0.213 | 131 | 0.3 | 7.371 | A |
| B-A | 41 | 319 | 0.130 | 41 | 0.1 | 12.934 | B |
| C-AB | 98 | 577 | 0.170 | 97 | 0.2 | 7.487 | A |
| C-A | 403 |  |  | 403 |  |  |  |
| AB | 29 |  |  | 29 |  |  |  |
| AC | 342 |  |  | 342 |  |  |  |

07:45-08:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 157 | 591 | 0.266 | 157 | 0.4 | 8.295 | A |
| B-A | 49 | 284 | 0.174 | 49 | 0.2 | 15.287 | C |
| C-AB | 117 | 557 | 0.210 | 117 | 0.3 | 8.168 | A |
| C-A | 481 |  |  | 481 |  |  |  |
| AB | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |  |  |
| AC | 408 |  |  | 408 |  |  |  |

08:00-08:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 193 | 551 | 0.350 | 192 | 0.5 | 10.020 | B |
| B-A | 61 | 237 | 0.255 | 60 | 0.3 | 20.272 | C |
| C-AB | 143 | 530 | 0.270 | 143 | 0.4 | 9.294 | A |
| C-A | 589 |  |  | 589 |  |  |  |
| AB | 43 |  |  | 43 |  |  |  |
| AC | 500 |  |  | 500 |  |  |  |

08:15-08:30

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 193 | 550 | 0.350 | 193 | 0.5 | 10.069 | B |
| B-A | 61 | 237 | 0.255 | 61 | 0.3 | 20.392 | C |
| C-AB | 143 | 530 | 0.270 | 143 | 0.4 | 9.314 | A |
| C-A | 589 |  |  | 589 |  |  |  |
| AB | 43 |  |  | 43 |  |  |  |
| AC | 500 |  |  | 500 |  |  |  |

08:30-08:45

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 157 | 590 | 0.267 | 158 | 0.4 | 8.347 | A |
| B-A | 49 | 284 | 0.174 | 50 | 0.2 | 15.389 | C |
| C-AB | 117 | 557 | 0.210 | 117 | 0.3 | 8.192 | A |
| C-A | 481 |  |  | 481 |  |  |  |
| AB | 35 |  |  | 35 |  |  |  |
| AC | 408 |  | 408 |  |  |  |  |

08:45-09:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $($ Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 132 | 617 | 0.213 | 132 | 0.3 | 7.429 | A |
| B-A | 41 | 318 | 0.130 | 42 | 0.2 | 13.019 | B |
| C-AB | 98 | 577 | 0.170 | 98 | 0.2 | 7.522 | A |
| C-A | 403 |  |  | 403 |  |  |  |
| AB | 29 |  |  | 29 |  |  |  |
| AC | 342 |  | 342 |  |  |  |  |

## 2023 Baseline, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major road direction | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Station Road jn with A10 | T-Junction | Two-way | 2.57 | A |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D2 | 2023 Baseline | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |


| Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: |
| HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Use O-D data | Average Demand (Veh/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | $\checkmark$ | 604 | 100.000 |
| B |  | $\checkmark$ | 166 | 100.000 |
| C |  | $\checkmark$ | 558 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 63 | 541 |
|  | B | 36 | 0 | 130 |
|  | C | 396 | 162 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |  |
|  | A | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
|  | B | 9 | 0 | 1 |  |
|  | C | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 0.25 | 8.52 | 0.3 | A |
| B-A | 0.17 | 18.37 | 0.2 | C |
| C-AB | 0.33 | 9.92 | 0.5 | A |
| C-A |  |  |  |  |
| AB |  |  |  |  |
| AC |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 98 | 634 | 0.154 | 97 | 0.2 | 6.696 |  |
| B-A | 27 | 315 | 0.086 | 27 | 0.1 | 12.470 |  |
| C-AB | 122 | 598 | 0.204 | 121 | 0.3 | A |  |
| C-A | 298 |  |  | 298 |  |  |  |
| AB | 47 |  | 47 |  |  |  |  |
| AC | 407 |  | 407 |  |  |  |  |

17:00-17:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $($ Veh $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 117 | 606 | 0.193 | 117 | 0.2 | 7.354 |  |
| B-A | 32 | 282 | 0.115 | 32 | 0.1 | 14.421 | A |
| C-AB | 146 | 574 | 0.254 | 145 | 0.3 | 8.386 | A |
| C-A | 356 |  |  | 356 |  |  |  |
| AB | 57 |  |  | 57 |  |  |  |
| AC | 486 |  |  | 486 |  |  |  |

17:15-17:30

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 143 | 566 | 0.253 | 143 | 0.3 | 8.501 |  |
| B-A | 40 | 236 | 0.168 | 39 | 0.2 | 18.302 | A |
| C-AB | 178 | 541 | 0.330 | 178 | 0.5 | 9.894 |  |
| C-A | 436 |  |  | 436 |  |  |  |
| AB | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  |  |
| AC | 596 |  |  | 596 |  |  |  |

17:30-17:45

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $($ Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 143 | 566 | 0.253 | 143 | 0.3 | 8.522 | A |
| B-A | 40 | 236 | 0.168 | 40 | 0.2 | 18.365 | C |
| C-AB | 178 | 541 | 0.330 | 178 | 0.5 | 9.925 | A |
| C-A | 436 |  |  | 436 |  |  |  |
| AB | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  |  |
| AC | 596 |  | 596 |  |  |  |  |

17:45-18:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 117 | 605 | 0.193 | 117 | 0.2 | 7.378 | A |
| B-A | 32 | 282 | 0.115 | 33 | 0.1 | 14.476 | B |
| C-AB | 146 | 574 | 0.254 | 146 | 0.3 | 8.429 | A |
| C-A | 356 |  |  | 356 |  |  |  |
| AB | 57 |  | 57 |  |  |  |  |
| AC | 486 |  |  | 486 |  |  |  |

18:00-18:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 98 | 634 | 0.154 | 98 | 0.2 | 6.728 | A |
| B-A | 27 | 315 | 0.086 | 27 | 0.1 | 12.525 | B |
| C-AB | 122 | 598 | 0.204 | 122 | 0.3 | 7.577 | A |
| C-A | 298 |  |  | 298 |  |  |  |
| AB | 47 |  |  | 47 |  |  |  |
| AC | 407 |  | 407 |  |  |  |  |
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> For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL:
> Tel: +44 (0)1344 770758 email: software@trl.co.uk $\quad$ Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: Meldreth Jn 3 baseline+devt.j9
Path: Z:\EAS $\backslash$ Current Projects\South Cambridgeshire, Meldreth, Various Sites, 1713\Analysis\PICADY
Report generation date: 02/07/2018 11:40:57

```
"2023 Baseline + devt, AM
"2023 Baseline + devt, PM
```

Summary of junction performance

|  | AM |  |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |  |  |  |
|  | 2023 Baseline + devt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stream B-C | 0.6 | 11.18 | 0.40 | B | 0.4 | 8.86 | 0.27 | A |  |  |  |
| Stream B-A | 0.5 | 22.63 | 0.33 | C | 0.2 | 19.55 | 0.20 | C |  |  |  |
| Stream C-AB | 0.4 | 9.50 | 0.28 | A | 0.6 | 10.62 | 0.37 | B |  |  |  |

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.

## File summary

File Description

| Title | Meldreth Station Road |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location | Junction 3 |
| Site number |  |
| Date | $02 / 07 / 2018$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client |  |
| Jobnumber | 1713 |
| Enumerator | Asus $\backslash$ EAS |
| Description |  |

## Units

| Distance units | Speed units | Traffic units input | Traffic units results | Flow units | Average delay units | Total delay units | Rate of delay units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | Veh | Veh | perHour | s | -Min | perMin |

## Analysis Options

| Calculate Queue Percentiles | Calculate residual capacity | RFC Threshold | Average Delay threshold (s) | Queue threshold (PCU) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.85 | 36.00 | 20.00 |

## Demand Set Summary

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | 2023 Baseline + devt | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 30$ | $09: 00$ | 15 |
| D2 | 2023 Baseline + devt | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |

## Analysis Set Details

| ID | Network flow scaling factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A1 | 100.000 |

## 2023 Baseline + devt, AM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major road direction | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Station Road jn with A10 | T-Junction | Two-way | 3.47 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

| Arm | Name | Description | Arm type |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | A10 northeast |  | Major |
| B | Station Road south |  | Minor |
| C | A10 southwest |  | Major |

Major Arm Geometry

| Arm | Width of carriageway <br> (m) | Has kerbed central <br> reserve | Has right turn <br> bay | Width for right turn <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Visibility for right turn <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Blocks? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | 7.00 |  | $\checkmark$ | 2.70 | Blocking queue <br> (PCU) |  |

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

## Minor Arm Geometry

| Arm | Minor arm <br> type | Width at give- <br> way (m) | Width at <br> $\mathbf{5 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{1 0 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{1 5 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{2 0 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Estimate flare <br> length | Flare length <br> $\mathbf{( P C U )}$ | Visibility to <br> left ( $\mathbf{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{B}$ | One lane plus <br> flare | 10.00 | 9.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 4.50 | Visibility to <br> right ( $\mathbf{m})$ |  |  |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

| Junction | Stream | Intercept <br> (Veh/hr) | Slope <br> for <br> AB | Slope <br> for <br> AC | Slope <br> for <br> C-A | Slope <br> for <br> C-B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | B-A | 537 | 0.094 | 0.236 | 0.149 | 0.338 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | B-C | 769 | 0.113 | 0.285 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | C-B | 727 | 0.270 | 0.270 | - | - |

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.
Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.
Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

## Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | 2023 Baseline + devt | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 30$ | $09: 00$ | 15 |


| Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: |
| HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Use O-D data | Average Demand (Veh/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | $\checkmark$ | 499 | 100.000 |
| B |  | $\checkmark$ | 262 | 100.000 |
| C |  | $\checkmark$ | 670 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 45 | 454 |
|  | B | 70 | 0 | 192 |
|  | C | 535 | 135 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 19 | 9 |
|  | B | 8 | 0 | 4 |
|  | C | 5 | 7 | 0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 0.40 | 11.18 | 0.6 | B |
| B-A | 0.33 | 22.63 | 0.5 | C |
| C-AB | 0.28 | 9.50 | 0.4 | A |
| C-A |  |  |  |  |
| AB |  |  |  |  |
| AC |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

07:30-07:45

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 145 | 607 | 0.238 | 143 | 0.3 | 7.744 |  |
| B-A | 53 | 320 | 0.165 | 52 | 0.2 | 13.403 | A |
| C-AB | 102 | 576 | 0.177 | 101 | 0.2 | 7.565 | B |
| C-A | 403 |  |  | 403 |  |  |  |
| AB | 34 |  |  | 34 |  |  |  |
| AC | 342 |  |  | 342 |  |  |  |

07:45-08:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 173 | 578 | 0.299 | 172 | 0.4 | 8.864 | A |
| B-A | 63 | 285 | 0.221 | 63 | 0.3 | 16.173 | C |
| C-AB | 121 | 556 | 0.218 | 121 | 0.3 | 8.281 | A |
| C-A | 481 |  |  | 481 |  |  |  |
| AB | 40 |  |  | 40 |  |  |  |
| AC | 408 |  |  | 408 |  |  |  |

08:00-08:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $\mathbf{( V e h / h r )}$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 211 | 534 | 0.396 | 211 | 0.6 | 11.097 | B |
| B-A | 77 | 236 | 0.326 | 76 | 0.5 | 22.423 | C |
| C-AB | 149 | 528 | 0.282 | 148 | 0.4 | 9.476 | A |
| C-A | 589 |  |  | 589 |  |  |  |
| AB | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  |  |
| AC | 500 |  | 500 |  |  |  |  |

08:15-08:30

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 211 | 533 | 0.396 | 211 | 0.6 | 11.180 | B |
| B-A | 77 | 236 | 0.327 | 77 | 0.5 | 22.629 | C |
| C-AB | 149 | 528 | 0.282 | 149 | 0.4 | 9.498 | A |
| C-A | 589 |  |  | 589 |  |  |  |
| AB | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |
| AC | 500 |  |  | 500 |  |  |  |

08:30-08:45

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $($ Veh $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 173 | 577 | 0.299 | 173 | 0.4 | 8.944 | A |
| B-A | 63 | 285 | 0.221 | 64 | 0.3 | 16.338 | C |
| C-AB | 121 | 556 | 0.218 | 122 | 0.3 | 8.309 | A |
| C-A | 481 |  |  | 481 |  |  |  |
| AB | 40 |  |  | 40 |  |  |  |
| AC | 408 |  | 408 |  |  |  |  |

08:45-09:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 145 | 606 | 0.239 | 145 | 0.3 | 7.815 | A |
| B-A | 53 | 320 | 0.165 | 53 | 0.2 | 13.528 | B |
| C-AB | 102 | 576 | 0.177 | 102 | 0.2 | 7.603 | A |
| C-A | 403 |  |  | 403 |  |  |  |
| AB | 34 |  |  | 34 |  |  |  |
| AC | 342 |  | 342 |  |  |  |  |

## 2023 Baseline + devt, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major road direction | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Station Road jn with A10 | T-Junction | Two-way | 2.86 | A |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D2 | 2023 Baseline + devt | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |


| Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: |
| HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Use O-D data | Average Demand (Veh/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | $\checkmark$ | 625 | 100.000 |
| B |  | $\checkmark$ | 177 | 100.000 |
| C |  | $\checkmark$ | 574 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 84 | 541 |
|  | B | 41 | 0 | 136 |
|  | C | 396 | 178 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |  |
|  | A | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
|  | B | 9 | 0 | 1 |  |
|  | C | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 0.27 | 8.86 | 0.4 | A |
| B-A | 0.20 | 19.55 | 0.2 | C |
| C-AB | 0.37 | 10.62 | 0.6 | B |
| C-A |  |  |  |  |
| AB |  |  |  |  |
| AC |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 102 | 628 | 0.163 | 102 | 0.2 | 6.834 |  |
| B-A | 31 | 312 | 0.099 | 30 | 0.1 | 12.785 | A |
| C-AB | 134 | 593 | 0.226 | 133 | 0.3 | 7.796 |  |
| C-A | 298 |  |  | 298 |  |  |  |
| AB | 63 |  |  | 63 |  |  |  |
| AC | 407 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

17:00-17:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $($ Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 122 | 598 | 0.204 | 122 | 0.3 | 7.553 | A |
| B-A | 37 | 277 | 0.133 | 37 | 0.2 | 14.967 | B |
| C-AB | 160 | 569 | 0.281 | 160 | 0.4 | 8.786 | A |
| C-A | 356 |  |  | 356 |  |  |  |
| AB | 76 |  |  | 76 |  |  |  |
| AC | 486 |  |  | 486 |  |  |  |

17:15-17:30

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 150 | 557 | 0.269 | 149 | 0.4 | 8.828 |  |
| B-A | 45 | 229 | 0.197 | 45 | 0.2 | 19.460 | A |
| C-AB | 196 | 535 | 0.366 | 195 | 0.6 | 10.575 |  |
| C-A | 436 |  |  | 436 |  |  | B |
| AB | 92 |  |  | 92 |  |  |  |
| AC | 596 |  |  | 596 |  |  |  |

17:30-17:45

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $($ Veh $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 150 | 556 | 0.269 | 150 | 0.4 | 8.855 | A |
| B-A | 45 | 229 | 0.197 | 45 | 0.2 | 19.548 | C |
| C-AB | 196 | 535 | 0.366 | 196 | 0.6 | 10.619 | B |
| C-A | 436 |  |  | 436 |  |  |  |
| AB | 92 |  |  | 92 |  |  |  |
| AC | 596 |  | 596 |  |  |  |  |

17:45-18:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 122 | 598 | 0.205 | 123 | 0.3 | 7.582 |  |
| B-A | 37 | 277 | 0.133 | 37 | 0.2 | 15.043 |  |
| C-AB | 160 | 569 | 0.281 | 161 | 0.4 | 8.836 |  |
| C-A | 356 |  |  | 356 |  |  |  |
| AB | 76 |  |  | 46 |  |  |  |
| AC | 486 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

18:00-18:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $($ Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 102 | 627 | 0.163 | 103 | 0.2 | 6.871 | A |
| B-A | 31 | 311 | 0.099 | 31 | 0.1 | 12.856 | B |
| C-AB | 134 | 593 | 0.226 | 134 | 0.3 | 7.849 | A |
| C-A | 298 |  |  | 298 |  |  |  |
| AB | 63 |  |  | 63 |  |  |  |
| AC | 407 |  | 407 |  |  |  |  |

## Junctions 9

## PICADY 9 - Priority Intersection Module

Version: 9.0.1.4646 []
© Copyright TRL Limited, 2018

## For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL. <br> Tel: +44 (0)1344770758 email: software@trl.co.uk Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: Shepreth Jn 4 100\% baseline+devt.j9
Path: Z:IEAS\Current Projects\South Cambridgeshire, Meldreth, Various Sites, 1713\Analysis\PICADY
Report generation date: 02/07/2018 14:58:04

## "2023 Baseline + devt 100\% routeing, AM <br> "2023 Baseline + devt 100\% routeing, PM

## Summary of junction performance

|  | AM |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | Los | Queue (Ven) | Delay (s) | RFC | LoS |  |
|  | 2023 Baseline + devt 100\% routeing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Stream B-AC | 0.4 | 9.90 | 0.30 | A | 0.3 | 8.34 | 0.25 | A |
| Stream C-AB | 0.2 | 7.11 | 0.16 | A | 0.3 | 7.06 | 0.21 | A |  |

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle,

File summary
File Description

| Title | Meldreth Station Road |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location | Junction 4, Shepreth with 100\% routeing |
| Site number |  |
| Date | $02 / 07 / 2018$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client |  |
| Jobnumber | 1713 |
| Enumerator | Asus $\backslash$ EAS |
| Description |  |

Units

| Distance units | Speed units | Traffic units input | Traffic units results | Flow units | Average delay units | Total delay units | Rate of delay units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | Veh | Veh | perHour | s | perMin |  |

## Analysis Options

| Calculate Queue Percentiles | Calculate residual capacity | RFC Threshold | Average Delay threshold (s) | Queue threshold (PCU) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.85 | 36.00 | 20.00 |

## Demand Set Summary

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | 2023 Baseline + devt 100\% routeing | AM | ONE HOUR | $08: 00$ | $09: 30$ | 15 |
| D2 | 2023 Baseline + devt 100\% routeing | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |

## Analysis Set Details

| ID | Network flow scaling factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A1 | 100.000 |

## 2023 Baseline + devt 100\% routeing, AM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major road direction | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Shepreth village centre | T-Junction | Two-way | 4.56 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

| Arm | Name | Description | Arm type |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | Fowlmere Road |  | Major |
| B | Meldreth Road |  | Minor |
| C | Station Road Shepreth |  | Major |

Major Arm Geometry

| Arm | Width of carriageway (m) | Has kerbed central reserve | Has right turn bay | Visibility for right turn (m) | Blocks? | Blocking queue (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 6.70 |  |  | 50.0 | $\checkmark$ | 0.00 |

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

## Minor Arm Geometry

| Arm | Minor arm type | Lane width (m) | Visibility to left (m) | Visibility to right (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | One lane | 3.50 | 30 | 55 |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

| Junction | Stream | Intercept <br> (Veh/hr) | Slope <br> for <br> AB | Slope <br> for <br> AC | Slope <br> for <br> C-A | Slope <br> for <br> C-B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | B-A | 540 | 0.095 | 0.241 | 0.152 | 0.344 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | B-C | 692 | 0.103 | 0.260 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | C-B | 603 | 0.226 | 0.226 | - | - |

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.
Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.
Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | 2023 Baseline + devt 100\% routeing | AM | ONE HOUR | 08:00 | 09:30 | 15 |


| Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: |
| HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Use O-D data | Average Demand (Veh/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | $\checkmark$ | 138 | 100.000 |
| B |  | $\checkmark$ | 142 | 100.000 |
| C |  | $\checkmark$ | 148 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 36 | 102 |
|  | B | 78 | 0 | 64 |
|  | C | 75 | 73 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 6 | 10 |
|  | B | 2 | 0 | 4 |
|  | C | 7 | 4 | 0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 0.30 | 9.90 | 0.4 | A |
| C-AB | 0.16 | 7.11 | 0.2 | A |
| C-A |  |  |  |  |
| AB |  |  |  |  |
| AC |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

08:00-08:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 107 | 540 | 0.198 | 106 | 0.2 | 8.271 |  |
| C-AB | 61 | 593 | 0.102 | 60 | 0.1 | 6.753 |  |
| C-A | 51 |  |  | 51 |  |  |  |
| AB | 27 |  | 27 |  |  |  |  |
| AC | 77 |  | 77 |  |  |  |  |

08:15-08:30

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 128 | 532 | 0.240 | 127 | 0.3 | 8.896 | A |
| C-AB | 74 | 596 | 0.124 | 74 | 0.2 | 6.898 | A |
| C-A | 59 |  |  | 59 |  |  |  |
| AB | 32 |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |
| AC | 92 |  | 92 |  |  |  |  |

## 08:30-08:45

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 156 | 520 | 0.301 | 156 | 0.4 | 9.877 | A |
| C-AB | 93 | 600 | 0.156 | 93 | 0.2 | 7.106 | A |
| C-A | 70 |  |  | 70 |  |  |  |
| AB | 40 |  |  | 40 |  |  |  |
| AC | 112 |  |  | 112 |  |  |  |

08:45-09:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 156 | 520 | 0.301 | 156 | 0.4 | 9.901 | A |
| C-AB | 93 | 600 | 0.156 | 93 | 0.2 | 7.115 | A |
| C-A | 70 |  |  | 70 |  |  |  |
| AB | 40 |  |  | 40 |  |  |  |
| AC | 112 |  |  | 112 |  |  |  |

09:00-09:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 128 | 532 | 0.240 | 128 | 0.3 | 8.931 | A |
| C-AB | 74 | 596 | 0.124 | 74 | 0.2 | 6.913 | A |
| C-A | 59 |  |  | 59 |  |  |  |
| AB | 32 |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |
| AC | 92 |  |  | 92 |  |  |  |

09:15-09:30

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 107 | 540 | 0.198 | 107 | 0.2 | 8.322 |  |
| C-AB | 61 | 593 | 0.103 | 61 | 0.1 | 6.773 | A |
| C-A | 51 |  |  | 51 |  |  |  |
| AB | 27 |  |  | 27 |  |  |  |
| AC | 77 |  |  | 77 |  |  |  |

## 2023 Baseline + devt 100\% routeing, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major road direction | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Shepreth village centre | T-Junction | Two-way | 4.23 | A |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D2 | 2023 Baseline + devt 100\% routeing | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ |  |


| Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: |
| HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Use O-D data | Average Demand (Veh/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | $\checkmark$ | 124 | 100.000 |
| B |  | $\checkmark$ | 128 | 100.000 |
| C |  | $\checkmark$ | 197 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 48 | 76 |
|  | B | 40 | 0 | 88 |
|  | C | 96 | 101 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |  |
|  | A | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |
|  | B | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |
|  | C | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 0.25 | 8.34 | 0.3 | A |
| C-AB | 0.21 | 7.06 | 0.3 | A |
| C-A |  |  |  |  |
| AB |  |  |  |  |
| AC |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 96 | 589 | 0.164 | 96 | 0.2 | 7.282 | A |
| C-AB | 86 | 630 | 0.136 | 85 | 0.2 | 6.601 | A |
| C-A | 62 |  |  | 62 |  |  |  |
| AB | 36 |  |  | 36 |  |  |  |
| AC | 57 |  | 57 |  |  |  |  |

17:00-17:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 115 | 582 | 0.198 | 115 | 0.2 | 7.700 | A |
| C-AB | 105 | 636 | 0.165 | 105 | 0.2 | 6.785 | A |
| C-A | 72 |  |  | 72 |  |  |  |
| AB | 43 |  | 43 |  |  |  |  |
| AC | 68 |  | 68 |  |  |  |  |

17:15-17:30

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 141 | 572 | 0.246 | 141 | 0.3 | 8.331 | A |
| C-AB | 133 | 643 | 0.207 | 133 | 0.3 | 7.052 | A |
| C-A | 84 |  |  | 84 |  |  |  |
| AB | 53 |  | 53 |  |  |  |  |
| AC | 84 |  |  | 84 |  |  |  |

17:30-17:45

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 141 | 572 | 0.246 | 141 | 0.3 | 8.343 |  |
| C-AB | 133 | 643 | 0.207 | 133 | 0.3 | 7.061 | A |
| C-A | 84 |  |  | 84 |  |  |  |
| AB | 53 |  |  | 53 |  |  |  |
| AC | 84 |  | 84 |  |  |  |  |

17:45-18:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 115 | 582 | 0.198 | 115 | 0.2 | 7.719 | A |
| C-AB | 105 | 636 | 0.166 | 106 | 0.2 | 6.800 | A |
| C-A | 72 |  |  | 72 |  |  |  |
| AB | 43 |  |  | 43 |  |  |  |
| AC | 68 |  | 68 |  |  |  |  |

18:00-18:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 96 | 589 | 0.164 | 97 | 0.2 | 7.313 | A |
| C-AB | 86 | 630 | 0.136 | 86 | 0.2 | 6.625 | A |
| C-A | 62 |  |  | 62 |  |  |  |
| AB | 36 |  |  | 36 |  |  |  |
| AC | 57 |  | 57 |  |  |  |  |

## Junctions 9

## PICADY 9 - Priority Intersection Module

Version: 9.0.1.4646 []
© Copyright TRL Limited, 2018

> For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL:
> Tel: $+44(0) 1344770758$ email: software@trl.co.uk Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: Shepreth Jn 4 baseline.j9
Path: Z:\EAS\Current Projects\South Cambridgeshire, Meldreth, Various Sites, 1713\Analysis\PICADY
Report generation date: 02/07/2018 11:18:32

## „2023 Baseline, AM <br> "2023 Baseline, PM

## Summary of junction performance

|  | AM |  |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |  |  |
|  | 2023 Baseline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stream B-AC | 0.3 | 9.07 | 0.25 | A | 0.3 | 7.99 | 0.23 | A |  |  |
| Stream C-AB | 0.2 | 7.13 | 0.16 | A | 0.3 | 7.04 | 0.21 | A |  |  |

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.

File summary
File Description

| Title | Meldreth Station Road |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location | Junction 4, Shepreth |
| Site number |  |
| Date | $02 / 07 / 2018$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client |  |
| Jobnumber | 1713 |
| Enumerator | Asus $\backslash E A S$ |
| Description |  |

Units

| Distance units | Speed units | Traffic units input | Traffic units results | Flow units | Average delay units | Total delay units | Rate of delay units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | Veh | Veh | perHour | s | perMin |  |

## Analysis Options

| Calculate Queue Percentiles | Calculate residual capacity | RFC Threshold | Average Delay threshold (s) | Queue threshold (PCU) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.85 | 36.00 | 20.00 |

## Demand Set Summary

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | 2023 Baseline | AM | ONE HOUR | $08: 00$ | $09: 30$ | 15 |
| D2 | 2023 Baseline | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |

## Analysis Set Details

| ID | Network flow scaling factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A1 | 100.000 |

## 2023 Baseline, AM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major road direction | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Shepreth village centre | T-Junction | Two-way | 4.06 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

| Arm | Name | Description | Arm type |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | Fowlmere Road |  | Major |
| B | Meldreth Road |  | Minor |
| C | Station Road Shepreth |  | Major |

Major Arm Geometry

| Arm | Width of carriageway (m) | Has kerbed central reserve | Has right turn bay | Visibility for right turn (m) | Blocks? | Blocking queue (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 6.70 |  |  | 50.0 | $\checkmark$ | 0.00 |

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

## Minor Arm Geometry

| Arm | Minor arm type | Lane width (m) | Visibility to left (m) | Visibility to right (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | One lane | 3.50 | 30 | 55 |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

| Junction | Stream | Intercept <br> (Veh/hr) | Slope <br> for <br> AB | Slope <br> for <br> AC | Slope <br> for <br> C-A | Slope <br> for <br> C-B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | B-A | 540 | 0.095 | 0.241 | 0.152 | 0.344 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | B-C | 692 | 0.103 | 0.260 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | C-B | 603 | 0.226 | 0.226 | - | - |

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.
Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.
Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | 2023 Baseline | AM | ONE HOUR | $08: 00$ | $09: 30$ | 15 |


| Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: |
| HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Use O-D data | Average Demand (Veh/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | $\checkmark$ | 138 | 100.000 |
| B |  | $\checkmark$ | 121 | 100.000 |
| C |  | $\checkmark$ | 149 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 36 | 102 |
|  | B | 59 | 0 | 62 |
|  | C | 75 | 74 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 6 | 10 |
|  | B | 2 | 0 | 4 |
|  | C | 7 | 4 | 0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 0.25 | 9.07 | 0.3 | A |
| C-AB | 0.16 | 7.13 | 0.2 | A |
| C-A |  |  |  |  |
| AB |  |  |  |  |
| AC |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

08:00-08:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 91 | 550 | 0.166 | 90 | 0.2 | 7.821 |  |
| C-AB | 62 | 593 | 0.104 | 61 | 0.1 | 6.763 |  |
| C-A | 51 |  |  | 51 |  |  |  |
| AB | 27 |  | 27 |  |  |  |  |
| AC | 77 |  | 77 |  |  |  |  |

08:15-08:30

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 109 | 542 | 0.201 | 109 | 0.2 | 8.310 | A |
| C-AB | 75 | 596 | 0.126 | 75 | 0.2 | 6.912 | A |
| C-A | 59 |  |  | 59 |  |  |  |
| AB | 32 |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |
| AC | 92 |  | 92 |  |  |  |  |

## 08:30-08:45

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 133 | 530 | 0.251 | 133 | 0.3 | 9.056 |  |
| C-AB | 95 | 600 | 0.158 | 94 | 0.2 | 7.124 | A |
| C-A | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | A |
| AB | 40 |  |  | 40 |  |  |  |
| AC | 112 |  |  | 112 |  |  |  |

08:45-09:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 133 | 530 | 0.251 | 133 | 0.3 | 9.071 | A |
| C-AB | 95 | 600 | 0.158 | 95 | 0.2 | 7.133 | A |
| C-A | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  |  |
| AB | 40 |  | 40 |  |  |  |  |
| AC | 112 |  |  | 112 |  |  |  |

09:00-09:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 109 | 541 | 0.201 | 109 | 0.3 | 8.334 | A |
| C-AB | 75 | 596 | 0.126 | 75 | 0.2 | 6.924 | A |
| C-A | 59 |  |  | 59 |  |  |  |
| AB | 32 |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |
| AC | 92 |  |  | 92 |  |  |  |

09:15-09:30

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(V e h / h r)$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 91 | 550 | 0.166 | 91 | 0.2 | 7.859 |  |
| C-AB | 62 | 593 | 0.104 | 62 | 0.1 | 6.782 | A |
| C-A | 51 |  |  | 51 |  |  |  |
| AB | 27 |  |  | 27 |  |  |  |
| AC | 77 |  |  | 77 |  |  |  |

## 2023 Baseline, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major road direction | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Shepreth village centre | T-Junction | Two-way | 4.03 | A |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D2 | 2023 Baseline | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |


| Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: |
| HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Use O-D data | Average Demand (Veh/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | $\checkmark$ | 124 | 100.000 |
| B |  | $\checkmark$ | 119 | 100.000 |
| C |  | $\checkmark$ | 196 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 48 | 76 |
|  | B | 32 | 0 | 87 |
|  | C | 96 | 100 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |  |
|  | A | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |
|  | B | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |
|  | C | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 0.23 | 7.99 | 0.3 | A |
| C-AB | 0.21 | 7.04 | 0.3 | A |
| C-A |  |  |  |  |
| AB |  |  |  |  |
| AC |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 90 | 597 | 0.150 | 89 | 0.2 | 7.070 | A |
| C-AB | 85 | 630 | 0.135 | 84 | 0.2 | 6.591 | A |
| C-A | 63 |  |  | 63 |  |  |  |
| AB | 36 |  |  | 36 |  |  |  |
| AC | 57 |  | 57 |  |  |  |  |

17:00-17:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 107 | 591 | 0.181 | 107 | 0.2 | 7.436 | A |
| C-AB | 104 | 636 | 0.164 | 104 | 0.2 | 6.771 | A |
| C-A | 72 |  |  | 72 |  |  |  |
| AB | 43 |  | 43 |  |  |  |  |
| AC | 68 |  | 68 |  |  |  |  |

17:15-17:30

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 131 | 582 | 0.225 | 131 | 0.3 | 7.979 | A |
| C-AB | 132 | 643 | 0.205 | 132 | 0.3 | 7.034 | A |
| C-A | 84 |  |  | 84 |  |  |  |
| AB | 53 |  | 53 |  |  |  |  |
| AC | 84 |  |  | 84 |  |  |  |

17:30-17:45

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $($ Veh $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 131 | 582 | 0.225 | 131 | 0.3 | 7.989 | A |
| C-AB | 132 | 643 | 0.205 | 132 | 0.3 | 7.042 | A |
| C-A | 84 |  |  | 84 |  |  |  |
| AB | 53 |  |  | 53 |  |  |  |
| AC | 84 |  |  | 84 |  |  |  |

17:45-18:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 107 | 591 | 0.181 | 107 | 0.2 | 7.451 | A |
| C-AB | 104 | 636 | 0.164 | 104 | 0.2 | 6.784 | A |
| C-A | 72 |  |  | 72 |  |  |  |
| AB | 43 |  |  | 43 |  |  |  |
| AC | 68 |  | 68 |  |  |  |  |

18:00-18:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 90 | 597 | 0.150 | 90 | 0.2 | 7.093 | A |
| C-AB | 85 | 630 | 0.135 | 85 | 0.2 | 6.614 | A |
| C-A | 62 |  |  | 62 |  |  |  |
| AB | 36 |  |  | 36 |  |  |  |
| AC | 57 |  | 57 |  |  |  |  |

## Junctions 9

## PICADY 9 - Priority Intersection Module

Version: 9.0.1.4646 []
© Copyright TRL Limited, 2018

> For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL:
> Tel: $+44(0) 1344770758$ email: software@trl.co.uk Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: Shepreth Jn 4 baseline+devt.j9
Path: Z:IEAS\Current Projects\South Cambridgeshire, Meldreth, Various Sites, 1713\Analysis\PICADY
Report generation date: 02/07/2018 11:45:27

```
"2023 Baseline + devt, AM
"2023 Baseline + devt, PM
```


## Summary of junction performance

|  | AM |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |  |  |  |
|  | 2023 Baseline + devt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stream B-AC | 0.4 | 9.30 | 0.27 | A | 0.3 | 8.09 | 0.23 | A |  |  |  |
| Stream C-AB | 0.2 | 7.11 | 0.16 | A | 0.3 | 7.06 | 0.21 | A |  |  |  |

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.

File summary
File Description

| Title | Meldreth Station Road |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location | Junction 4, Shepreth |
| Site number |  |
| Date | $02 / 07 / 2018$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client |  |
| Jobnumber | 1713 |
| Enumerator | Asus\EAS |
| Description |  |

Units

| Distance units | Speed units | Traffic units input | Traffic units results | Flow units | Average delay units | Total delay units | Rate of delay units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | Veh | Veh | perHour | s | perMin |  |

## Analysis Options

| Calculate Queue Percentiles | Calculate residual capacity | RFC Threshold | Average Delay threshold (s) | Queue threshold (PCU) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.85 | 36.00 | 20.00 |

## Demand Set Summary

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | 2023 Baseline + devt | AM | ONE HOUR | $08: 00$ | $09: 30$ | 15 |
| D2 | 2023 Baseline + devt | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |

## Analysis Set Details

| ID | Network flow scaling factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A1 | 100.000 |

## 2023 Baseline + devt, AM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major road direction | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Shepreth village centre | T-Junction | Two-way | 4.20 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

| Arm | Name | Description | Arm type |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | Fowlmere Road |  | Major |
| B | Meldreth Road |  | Minor |
| C | Station Road Shepreth |  | Major |

Major Arm Geometry

| Arm | Width of carriageway (m) | Has kerbed central reserve | Has right turn bay | Visibility for right turn (m) | Blocks? | Blocking queue (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 6.70 |  |  | 50.0 | $\checkmark$ | 0.00 |

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

## Minor Arm Geometry

| Arm | Minor arm type | Lane width (m) | Visibility to left (m) | Visibility to right (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | One lane | 3.50 | 30 | 55 |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

| Junction | Stream | Intercept <br> (Veh/hr) | Slope <br> for <br> AB | Slope <br> for <br> AC | Slope <br> for <br> C-A | Slope <br> for <br> C-B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | B-A | 540 | 0.095 | 0.241 | 0.152 | 0.344 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | B-C | 692 | 0.103 | 0.260 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | C-B | 603 | 0.226 | 0.226 | - | - |

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.
Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.
Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | 2023 Baseline + devt | AM | ONE HOUR | $08: 00$ | $09: 30$ | 15 |


| Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: |
| HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Use O-D data | Average Demand (Veh/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | $\checkmark$ | 138 | 100.000 |
| B |  | $\checkmark$ | 128 | 100.000 |
| C |  | $\checkmark$ | 148 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 36 | 102 |
|  | B | 64 | 0 | 64 |
|  | C | 75 | 73 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 6 | 10 |
|  | B | 2 | 0 | 4 |
|  | C | 7 | 4 | 0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 0.27 | 9.30 | 0.4 | A |
| C-AB | 0.16 | 7.11 | 0.2 | A |
| C-A |  |  |  |  |
| AB |  |  |  |  |
| AC |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

08:00-08:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 96 | 548 | 0.176 | 96 | 0.2 | 7.942 |  |
| C-AB | 61 | 593 | 0.102 | 60 | 0.1 | 6.753 |  |
| C-A | 51 |  |  | 51 |  |  |  |
| AB | 27 |  | 27 |  |  |  |  |
| AC | 77 |  | 77 |  |  |  |  |

08:15-08:30

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 115 | 540 | 0.213 | 115 | 0.3 | 8.469 | A |
| C-AB | 74 | 596 | 0.124 | 74 | 0.2 | 6.898 | A |
| C-A | 59 |  |  | 59 |  |  |  |
| AB | 32 |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |
| AC | 92 |  | 92 |  |  |  |  |

## 08:30-08:45

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 141 | 528 | 0.267 | 141 | 0.4 | 9.278 |  |
| C-AB | 93 | 600 | 0.156 | 93 | 0.2 | 7.106 | A |
| C-A | 70 |  |  | 70 |  |  | A |
| AB | 40 |  |  | 40 |  |  |  |
| AC | 112 |  |  | 112 |  |  |  |

08:45-09:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 141 | 528 | 0.267 | 141 | 0.4 | 9.295 | A |
| C-AB | 93 | 600 | 0.156 | 93 | 0.2 | 7.115 | A |
| C-A | 70 |  |  | 70 |  |  |  |
| AB | 40 |  | 40 |  |  |  |  |
| AC | 112 |  |  | 112 |  |  |  |

09:00-09:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathrm{hr})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 115 | 540 | 0.213 | 115 | 0.3 | 8.495 | A |
| C-AB | 74 | 596 | 0.124 | 74 | 0.2 | 6.913 | A |
| C-A | 59 |  |  | 59 |  |  |  |
| AB | 32 |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |
| AC | 92 |  | 92 |  |  |  |  |

09:15-09:30

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 96 | 548 | 0.176 | 97 | 0.2 | 7.981 |  |
| C-AB | 61 | 593 | 0.103 | 61 | 0.1 | 6.773 | A |
| C-A | 51 |  |  | 51 |  |  |  |
| AB | 27 |  |  | 27 |  |  |  |
| AC | 77 |  |  | 77 |  |  |  |

## 2023 Baseline + devt, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major road direction | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Shepreth village centre | T-Junction | Two-way | 4.10 | A |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D2 | 2023 Baseline + devt | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |


| Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: |
| HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Use O-D data | Average Demand (Veh/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | $\checkmark$ | 124 | 100.000 |
| B |  | $\checkmark$ | 122 | 100.000 |
| C |  | $\checkmark$ | 197 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 48 | 76 |
|  | B | 34 | 0 | 88 |
|  | C | 96 | 101 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |  |
|  | A | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |
|  | B | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |
|  | C | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 0.23 | 8.09 | 0.3 | A |
| C-AB | 0.21 | 7.06 | 0.3 | A |
| C-A |  |  |  |  |
| AB |  |  |  |  |
| AC |  |  |  |  |

## Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 92 | 596 | 0.154 | 91 | 0.2 | 7.126 |  |
| C-AB | 86 | 630 | 0.136 | 85 | 0.2 | 6.601 | A |
| C-A | 62 |  |  | 62 |  |  |  |
| AB | 36 |  |  | 36 |  |  |  |
| AC | 57 |  | 57 |  |  |  |  |

17:00-17:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 110 | 589 | 0.186 | 109 | 0.2 | 7.505 | A |
| C-AB | 105 | 636 | 0.165 | 105 | 0.2 | 6.785 | A |
| C-A | 72 |  |  | 72 |  |  |  |
| AB | 43 |  | 43 |  |  |  |  |
| AC | 68 |  | 68 |  |  |  |  |

17:15-17:30

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 134 | 579 | 0.232 | 134 | 0.3 | 8.078 | A |
| C-AB | 133 | 643 | 0.207 | 133 | 0.3 | 7.052 | A |
| C-A | 84 |  |  | 84 |  |  |  |
| AB | 53 |  | 53 |  |  |  |  |
| AC | 84 |  |  | 84 |  |  |  |

17:30-17:45

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 134 | 579 | 0.232 | 134 | 0.3 | 8.088 | A |
| C-AB | 133 | 643 | 0.207 | 133 | 0.3 | 7.061 | A |
| C-A | 84 |  |  | 84 |  |  |  |
| AB | 53 |  |  | 53 |  |  |  |
| AC | 84 |  |  | 84 |  |  |  |

17:45-18:00

| Stream | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 110 | 589 | 0.186 | 110 | 0.2 | 7.525 | A |
| C-AB | 105 | 636 | 0.166 | 106 | 0.2 | 6.800 | A |
| C-A | 72 |  |  | 72 |  |  |  |
| AB | 43 |  |  | 43 |  |  |  |
| AC | 68 |  | 68 |  |  |  |  |

18:00-18:15

| Stream | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 92 | 595 | 0.154 | 92 | 0.2 | 7.153 | A |
| C-AB | 86 | 630 | 0.136 | 86 | 0.2 | 6.625 | A |
| C-A | 62 |  |  | 62 |  |  |  |
| AB | 36 |  |  | 36 |  |  |  |
| AC | 57 |  | 57 |  |  |  |  |

## Junctions 9



Filename: Meldreth Jn 2 mini-r baseline+devt.j9
Path: Z:\EAS\Current Projects\South Cambridgeshire, Meldreth, Various Sites, 1713\Analysis\ARCADY
Report generation date: 02/07/2018 15:42:15

```
»2023 Baseline + devt, AM
"2023 Baseline + devt, PM
```

Summary of junction performance

|  | PM |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |
|  | 2023 Baseline + devt |  |  |  |
| Arm A | 0.9 | 7.67 | 0.48 | A |
| Arm B | 0.7 | 7.26 | 0.41 | A |
| Arm C | 0.6 | 8.02 | 0.39 | A |

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.

## File summary

File Description

| Title | Station Road Meldreth |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location | Junction 2 |
| Site number |  |
| Date | $02 / 07 / 2018$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client |  |
| Jobnumber | 1713 |
| Enumerator | Asus\EAS |
| Description |  |

## Units

| Distance units | Speed units | Traffic units input | Traffic units results | Flow units | Average delay units | Total delay units | Rate of delay units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | Veh | Veh | perHour | s | -Min | perMin |

## Analysis Options

| Mini-roundabout model | Calculate Queue Percentiles | Calculate residual capacity | RFC Threshold | Average Delay threshold (s) | Queue threshold (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JUNCTIONS 9 |  |  | 0.85 | 36.00 | 20.00 |

## Demand Set Summary

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | 2023 Baseline + devt | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 30$ | $09: 00$ | 15 |
| D2 | 2023 Baseline + devt | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |

## Analysis Set Details

| ID | Network flow scaling factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A1 | 100.000 |

## 2023 Baseline + devt, AM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Station Road S of A10 | Mini-roundabout | 12.41 | B |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting | Road surface | In London |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown | Normal/unknown |  |

## Arms

## Arms

| Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Station Road south |  |
| B | Station Road west |  |
| C | Station Road north |  |

Mini Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | Approach road half-width (m) | Minimum approach road half-width (m) | Entry width (m) | Effective flare length (m) | Distance to next arm (m) | Entry corner kerb line distance (m) | Gradient over 50m (\%) | Kerbed central island |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 0.0 | 9.50 | 7.00 | 0.0 |  |
| B | 3.50 | 3.00 | 3.70 | 5.0 | 9.00 | 6.00 | 0.0 |  |
| C | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.0 | 11.50 | 9.00 | 0.0 |  |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity
Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Final slope | Final intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0.628 | 1026 |
| B | 0.609 | 900 |
| C | 0.591 | 885 |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments

## Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | 2023 Baseline + devt | AM | ONE HOUR | $07: 30$ | $09: 00$ | 15 |


| Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: |
| HV Percentages | 2.00 |

## Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Use O-D data | Average Demand (Veh/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | $\checkmark$ | 263 | 100.000 |
| B |  | $\checkmark$ | 547 | 100.000 |
| C |  | $\checkmark$ | 181 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 174 | 89 |
|  | B | 373 | 0 | 174 |
|  | C | 91 | 90 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 2 | 1 |
|  | B | 1 | 0 | 7 |
|  | C | 6 | 14 | 0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0.31 | 5.53 | 0.4 | A |
| B | 0.74 | 16.74 | 2.7 | C |
| C | 0.34 | 9.41 | 0.5 | A |

## Main Results for each time segment

07:30-07:45

| Arm | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Circulating flow (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 198 | 67 | 963 | 0.206 | 197 | 0.3 | 4.694 | A |
| B | 412 | 67 | 835 | 0.493 | 408 | 1.0 | 8.361 | A |
| C | 136 | 278 | 653 | 0.209 | 135 | 0.3 | 6.932 | A |

07:45-08:00

| Arm | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Circulating flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 236 | 81 | 953 | 0.248 | 236 | 0.3 |  |  |
| B | 492 | 80 | 827 | 0.595 | 490 | 1.4 |  |  |
| C | 163 | 334 | 623 | 0.261 | 10.618 |  |  |  |

08:00-08:15

| Arm | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Circulating flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 290 | 99 | 941 | 0.308 | 289 | 0.4 | 5.521 |  |
| B | 602 | 98 | 816 | 0.738 | 597 | 2.6 | 16.091 |  |
| C | 199 | 407 | 583 | 0.342 | 199 | 0.5 | 9.342 |  |

08:15-08:30

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r )}$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 290 | 99 | 941 | 0.308 | 290 | 0.4 | 5.529 | A |
| B | 602 | 98 | 816 | 0.738 | 602 | 2.7 | 16.739 | C |
| C | 199 | 410 | 582 | 0.343 | 199 | 0.5 | 9.413 | A |

08:30-08:45

| Arm | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Circulating flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 236 | 81 | 953 | 0.248 | 237 | 0.3 | 5.030 |  |
| B | 492 | 80 | 827 | 0.595 | 497 | 1.5 | 11.059 |  |
| C | 163 | 339 | 621 | 0.262 | 163 | 0.4 | 7.884 |  |

08:45-09:00

| Arm | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Circulating flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 198 | 68 | 962 | 0.206 | 198 | 0.3 | 4.714 |  |
| B | 412 | 67 | 835 | 0.493 | 414 | 1.0 | 8.599 |  |
| C | 136 | 282 | 651 | 0.209 | 137 | 0.3 | 6.999 | A |

## 2023 Baseline + devt, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Station Road S of A10 | Mini-roundabout | 7.63 | A |

Junction Network Options

| Driving side | Lighting | Road surface | In London |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown | Normal/unknown |  |

## Traffic Demand

## Demand Set Details

| ID | Scenario name | Time Period name | Traffic profile type | Start time (HH:mm) | Finish time (HH:mm) | Time segment length (min) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D2 | 2023 Baseline + devt | PM | ONE HOUR | $16: 45$ | $18: 15$ | 15 |


| Vehicle mix source | PCU Factor for a HV (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: |
| HV Percentages | 2.00 |

Demand overview (Traffic)

| Arm | Linked arm | Use O-D data | Average Demand (Veh/hr) | Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | $\checkmark$ | 388 | 100.000 |
| B |  | $\checkmark$ | 307 | 100.000 |
| C |  | $\checkmark$ | 262 | 100.000 |

## Origin-Destination Data

Demand (Veh/hr)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0 | 303 | 85 |
|  | B | 215 | 0 | 92 |
|  | C | 85 | 177 | 0 |

## Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

|  | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |  |
|  | A | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |
|  | B | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |
|  | C | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0.48 | 7.67 | 0.9 | A |
| B | 0.41 | 7.26 | 0.7 | A |
| C | 0.39 | 8.02 | 0.6 | A |

## Main Results for each time segment

16:45-17:00

| Arm | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Circulating flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 292 | 132 | 936 | 0.312 | 290 | 0.4 |  |  |
| B | 231 | 64 | 853 | 0.271 | 230 | 0.562 |  |  |
| C | 197 | 161 | 782 | 0.252 | 196 | 0.3 |  |  |

17:00-17:15

| Arm | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Circulating flow <br> $(V \mathrm{Vh} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 349 | 159 | 919 | 0.380 | 348 | 0.6 | 6.300 |  |
| B | 276 | 76 | 845 | 0.327 | 276 | 0.5 | 6.319 |  |
| C | 236 | 193 | 763 | 0.309 | 235 | 0.4 | 6.812 |  |

17:15-17:30

| Arm | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Circulating flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 427 | 194 | 897 | 0.476 | 426 | 0.9 | 7.632 |  |
| B | 338 | 93 | 834 | 0.405 | 337 | 0.7 | 7.233 |  |
| C | 288 | 236 | 738 | 0.391 | 288 | 0.6 | 7.985 |  |

17:30-17:45

| Arm | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Circulating flow <br> (Veh/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> (Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 427 | 195 | 896 | 0.477 | 427 | 0.9 | 7.673 |  |
| B | 338 | 94 | 834 | 0.405 | 338 | 0.7 |  |  |
| C | 288 | 237 | 737 | 0.391 | 288 | 0.6 | 8.017 |  |

## 17:45-18:00

| Arm | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Circulating flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $($ Veh/hr) | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 349 | 160 | 919 | 0.380 | 350 | 0.6 | 6.343 |  |
| B | 276 | 77 | 844 | 0.327 | 277 | 0.5 | 6.351 | A |
| C | 236 | 194 | 763 | 0.309 | 236 | 0.5 | 6.849 | A |

## 18:00-18:15

| Arm | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Circulating flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | Throughput <br> $($ Veh $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | End queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 292 | 134 | 935 | 0.312 | 293 | 0.5 | 5.612 |  |
| B | 231 | 64 | 852 | 0.271 | 232 | 0.4 | 5.806 | A |
| C | 197 | 162 | 781 | 0.253 | 198 | 0.3 | 6.177 | A |



Appendix: L
Traffic Flow, 100\% Diversion


# Station Road, Meldreth <br> Pre-App Advice - SCDC 1635 <br> TRANSPORTATION COMMENTS 

## PREPARED BY: Transport Assessment Team

## AUTHOR: Hannah Seymour-Shove

CHECKED BY: Tam Parry
DATE: 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ November 2018

## Background

The document reviewed is the Pre-Application Transport Assessment dated September 2018 produced by EAS for the proposed erection of 200 dwellings on the land off Station Road, Meldreth.

In preparing a TA the applicant is referred to the County Council's Transport Assessment Guidance June 2017 of which a copy can be found in the link below:
https://www.cambridgeshire.gov.uk/business/planning-and-development/developing-newcommunities/

As such a TA should consider the following in its content.

- Travel Plan
- The planning and transport policy context of the development
- Reference to the potential for use of other transport modes to the development site, including bus, cycle and walking. To include reference to the location of the nearest bus stops in relation to the development
- Identification of the traffic related study area including any key junctions that may be affected by the development
- Baseline traffic surveys at these key junctions and consideration of any committed developments in the area that may add to local traffic flows
- Previous 60 months accident records as obtained from Cambridgeshire County Council for the study area
- Trip generation assessment from surveys taken from any other nearby similar sites and or TRICS as a comparison
- Trip distribution on the network according to a clear methodology
- Future year assessment of the key junctions with the development
- Assessment of any mitigation for vehicle impacts, and difficulties of access by walking, cycling and public transport to the site

The remainder of this note sets out the comments of Cambridgeshire County Council (CCC) on the Pre-Application Transport Assessment:

## 1. Existing Conditions

Local Road Network
Consideration should be given to any deficiencies in the local highway network within any TA submitted.

## Pedestrian and Cycle Travel

It is noted Public Footpath No. 9 abuts the development site. The TA should outline whether such PROW will be widened as part of the proposals. Furthermore, the development should connect to this footpath.

The County Council are aware that improvements are scheduled for Footpath No. 9 as part of application (ref: S/2791/14/OL). Further improvements to Footpath No. 9 should be considered as part of any application submitted. Public Rights of Way details should be conversed with James Stringer J

## Bus and Rail Travel

An analysis of the nearest bus stops accessible to the site including the current infrastructure available at the bus stops and any existing constraints in terms of walking to these stops is required within any TA submitted. An audit of the existing local bus services at these stops; inclusive of destinations served and frequency should also be provided as part of the assessment. Bus timetables should be appended to the TA.

The TA should provide an audit of Meldreth Railway Station, in addition to summarising the existing services and destinations served at the stations, and identifying any existing constraints regarding access to the station from the development site. Rail timetables should be appended to the TA.

## Road Safety

Contact should be made with CCC via e to obtain the latest accident data available for the study area. This will include the junctions modelled, and the area between Meldreth and Shepreth. Full CCC outputs should be provided. It should be noted the use of Crashmap would not be acceptable as it does not provide the latest available data.

Accident analysis needs to identify any trends with regards to accidents that have occurred involving vulnerable road users, or at specific locations, and determine the extent to which the development will affect the identified pattern and rate of accidents. Of particular relevance are the collisions on the A10 in the vicinity of its junction with Station Road.

## 2. Proposed Development

## Access and Parking

A single point of access in the form of a priority junction with Station Road is proposed to serve the development site. It is noted a pedestrian access into the site will be delivered linking the development to the existing PROW east of the site. Contact should be made with Highways Development Management via to agree the internal layout and site access details.

An ATC survey should be undertaken at the proposed site access to provide speed data and justification for the peak periods used within the assessment. The ATC survey undertaken south of the railway bridge is not agreed. This survey as it was undertaken between 10:00 and 15:00 from $25^{\text {th }}$ June to $1^{\text {st }}$ July 2018. This does not cover peak periods. It is advised a 12 hour ATC survey is undertaken. All surveys should be undertaken at a neutral time (see Webtag Unit M1.2) avoiding school, college and university holiday periods.

The Transport Assessment submitted should set out the number of car and cycle spaces that are proposed. It should be clearly stated within the Transport Assessment that both car and cycle provision will accord to the parking standards outlined in the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan (2018).

## Baseline Traffic Data

Junction capacity assessments and traffic count surveys were undertaken at the following junctions:

- Industrial Site Access/Station Road junction
- Station Road junction south of A10
- Station Road/A10 junction
- Meldreth Road/Station Road/Fowlmere Road (Shepreth)

The traffic surveys are noted to comprise manual classified counts of turning movements and queuing counts undertaken on Wednesday $27^{\text {th }}$ June 2018.

In addition to the above, the County Council consider the following additional junction is also incorporated within the capacity assessments and Manual Classified Count traffic surveys undertaken:

- Station Road/High Street/Mortlock crossroad (Melbourn)

All surveys included within the assessment should be undertaken at a neutral time during peak hours whilst avoiding school, college and university holiday periods (see Webtag Unit M1.2). Full survey outputs should be appended to the TA when submitted. It should also be noted that the County Council reserve the right for further traffic surveys and analysis if it is shown to be needed.

## Trip Generation

The TRICS database has been used to determine trip generation for the development. The proposed development is anticipated to generate 109 two-way vehicle movements in the AM peak and 98 two-way vehicle movements in the PM peak. The trip rates provided appear to be low for the development proposed. It is advised the applicant refers to the trip rates submitted as part of application (ref: S/2791/14/OL) which provide a higher trip rate. Full TRICS outputs should be appended to the TA.

Multi-modal trip generation should be provided within the TA and compared to the TRICS analysis. This should be estimated by using total person trip rates with the mode shares in conjunction with 2011 Census 'Method of Travel to Work' data for the Meldreth Ward.

The proposal comprises the closure of the existing industrial estate. The net trip generation for the development site has been calculated by deducting the existing industrial trips from the proposed development trips. It is noted trip generation figures for the existing industrial estate have been obtained from the traffic surveys undertaken in June 2018.

## Trip Distribution and Assignment

Trip distribution for the development on the local highway network has been calculated using 2011 Census 'Travel to Work' data for the MSOA South Cambridgeshire 018. 30.2\% of development traffic departing the site in both peak periods is anticipated to travel to the north heading towards Whitecroft Road, whilst $69.8 \%$ of development traffic is anticipated to travel to the south towards the Station Road priority junction south of the A10.

Furthermore, $80.4 \%$ of arrivals to the site in both peak periods will enter from the south, whilst $19.6 \%$ will arrive from the north. Please clarify from the census data the method used to determine the distribution, and outline in the TA the destination and route choice assumptions that have been made.

Trip distribution percentages for the development proposals should be justified as CCC consider a greater proportion of development traffic will use the A10/Station Road junction to travel northeast towards Cambridge and other key locations to the north.

It is noted that the departure traffic distribution has been determined on the basis that whilst the shortest route from the site to Cambridge and other destinations to the northeast is along the A10, drivers might avoid making the right turn out of Station Road onto the A10 during the morning peak and instead access the A10 via Fowlmere Road in Shepreth. The TA should assume that all northeast-bound traffic will use the Station Road junction to access the A10. There should also be a sensitivity scenario included within any TA submitted testing the hypothesis that a third of outbound drivers using the A10 to the east would travel via Shepreth. The sensitivity scenario would also test the capacity of the A10/Fowlmere Road junction in Shepreth.

The vehicle trip generation anticipated to travel through Melbourn, inclusive for access to the A505, should be detailed within any TA submitted. A junction capacity assessment at the Station Road/High Street/Mortlock crossroad junction may also be required.

## Committed Development

It is noted no committed development was identified at the time of preparing the PreApplication Transport Assessment. Committed developments should include New Road Melbourn, ref: S/2791/14/OL and S/2141/17/OL which may go to appeal, and S/2941/18 should this be approved. Contact should be made with South Cambridgeshire District Council to see if any committed development has since been included in the area when the TA is updated.

## Assessment Years

CCC requires the following scenarios be modelled for the weekday AM and PM peak assessment periods:

- 2018 Base Year
- 2023 Future Year = 2023 Base + TEMPRO GROWTH + Committed Development
- 2023 Future Year With Development $=2023$ Base + TEMPRO GROWTH + Committed Development + Proposed Development

The use of TEMPRO is an acceptable method of calculating future growth.

## Junction Capacity Assessments

The use of Junctions 9 and LinSig software to perform the capacity assessments is agreed. Any modelling work submitted as part of the planning application must include full junction modelling outputs appended to the TA. Furthermore, CCC require a scale drawing to be provided showing the geometric measurements for each of the junction assessments in order for the models to be checked.

The mitigation proposals comprising a mini roundabout in replacement of the priority junction on Station Road south of the A10 is noted. Layout details of the mini roundabout
should be agreed with Highways Development Management and provided within the Transport Assessment.

A capacity assessment should also be undertaken for the Station Road/High Street/ Mortlock crossroad junction in Melbourn, unless it is demonstrated to not be required.

## Proposed mitigation and accessibility

The Transport Assessment should identify a suitable package of measures to mitigate the impact of the development on the surrounding highway network. This should include any improvements necessary for pedestrians and cyclists to access local facilities along with any bus stop improvements in order to promote travel by sustainable modes. It should also identify any improvements necessary to the local highway network in order to mitigate the development.

It should be noted that, under most circumstances, works in the public highway will be undertaken by the applicant through S278 agreements for the site.

## Travel Plan

A Travel Plan should be submitted as part of the application. This should include details of targets, measures and its management with the aim to encourage sustainable travel. The detailed Travel Plan will be secured as a pre-occupation condition.

## Note

The officer comments in this note are provided on an informal and without prejudice basis, based on current information. The County Council's officer comments and requirements may change and this will be confirmed in response to any subsequent planning application, or other, consultation.


[^0]:    Include all surveys

