

From: [Samuel Bampton](#)
To: [LDF](#)
Subject: RE: Call for Sites Submission - 110 Cinques Road, Gamlingay, Sandy
Date: 24 October 2019 18:00:47
Attachments: [image004.jpg](#)
[image001.jpg](#)
[We sent you safe versions of your files.msg](#)

Mimecast Attachment Protection has deemed this file to be safe, but always exercise caution when opening files.

Thank you for your email. Please see response below in blue.

Should you require any more information please don't hesitate to contact me.

Kind regards

Samuel Bampton

PS logo



Unit 3 Brices Yard, Butts Green, Langley Upper Green, Saffron Walden, Essex. CB11 4RT

Tel: 01799 551 261 ext [REDACTED] Mobile: [REDACTED] E-mail: [REDACTED] Website: www.pelham-structures.co.uk

From: LDF [REDACTED]
Sent: 16 September 2019 16:59
To: Samuel Bampton [REDACTED]
Subject: Call for Sites Submission - 110 Cinques Road, Gamlingay, Sandy

Dear Sir,

Thank you for your submission in response to the Call for Sites request we issued earlier this year.

After a period of unavoidable delay, we are now registering, checking and validating each of these sites.

As soon as this process is complete, each validated site will be uploaded onto both the Cambridge City Council and South Cambridgeshire District Council websites, for your reference.

There are, however, some items missing from the HELAA response form sent to us in relation to your submission for **land at 110 Cinques Road, Gamlingay, Sandy**.

Accordingly, please now supply the following information and return it to us, within the next 10 working days.

- Evidence of landowner support for the submission, in the form of, for example, a signed letter from the landowner [Please see attached letter from the land owner](#).
- Details of the site – to include information detailing the historic planning applications and application numbers related to the site. [There have been no recent relevant planning applications on the site](#).
- A discussion of benefits to the local area that the proposed development can provide.
- Details on the following site features and constraints, **including a description of the extent of the constraint and whether it can be addressed or mitigated to enable the site to be suitable for development**. Any available evidence to support this should be attached to your response:
 - Infrastructure – Does the site have access to key utilities? [The site has existing](#)

connections to utilities that can accommodate development Will provision need to be made or capacity created or reinforced to enable development (this includes mains water supply, mains sewerage, electricity supply, gas supply and broadband internet)? Is the site crossed or adjacent to a key utility such as a pipeline or by pylons? The scale of development proposed for the site can utilise existing services with minimal improvements required.

- **Details of site viability** – do you consider that the site is currently viable for its proposed development, taking into account any and all current planning policy considerations and known development costs associated with the site? The site is relatively unencumbered being mainly grassland and is in a an area of good values. Therefore, it is considered that development would be viable. Pelham Structures are a local developer who would look to deliver the scheme.

Many thanks for your patience.

Kind regards

Planning Policy Strategy and Economy Team



t: 01954 713183 || e: [REDACTED]

<https://www.scambsgov.uk/planning/>

<https://www.cambridge.gov.uk/planning>

Greater Cambridge Shared Planning: a strategic partnership between Cambridge City and South Cambridgeshire District Councils

Disclaimer

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived