# Greater Cambridge Local Plan – The First Proposals

# Form to assist in drafting responses to the consultation

This form is provided to help you develop your comments in response to the detailed policies in the First Proposals.

When you are ready to submit, please input your comments into our online consultation system – this ensures that the right comments are assigned to the right policy, and that we can track and respond to them appropriately. Please do not return this form to us by email or post, as our team will have to manually enter your responses into the online system and this has scope for error or misinterpretation of your comments.

If you have difficulty commenting online, please contact us at localplan@greatercambridgeplanning.org or 01954 713694. We are holding a webinar on the comment process on 4 November 2021 which you may attend or watch back – visit [www.greatercambridgeplanning.org/localplan](http://www.greatercambridgeplanning.org/localplan) for joining details.

### What to comment on:

* Please let us know what you support in the proposals, as well as what you do not support – it is important to know what you support, and why, so that we know what parts of the proposals are felt to be broadly sound.
* You do not need to comment on each section and policy – only comment on aspects of the plan which you feel strongly about.
* Please keep your comments concise and specific. We receive thousands of comments and it helps us to identify the most important points you raise if they are clearly worded.
* Please do not include personally or commercially sensitive information in your comments. We will redact any such information, as well as any offensive material, prior to publishing comments.
* You can upload attachments, but please avoid uploading lengthy documents or general reports or articles. We cannot take into account any material which is not specific to Greater Cambridge or the Local Plan.

## Vision and development strategy

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Section / Policy** | **Your comments** |
| Vision and aims |  |
| How much development, and where – general comments |  |
| S/JH: New jobs and homes |  |
| S/DS: Development strategy |  |
| S/SH: Settlement hierarchy |  |
| S/SB: Settlement boundaries |  |

## Cambridge urban area

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Policy** | **Your comments** |
| Cambridge urban area - general comments |  |
| S/NEC: North East Cambridge |  |
| S/AMC: Areas of Major Change |  |
| S/OA: Opportunity Areas in Cambridge |  |
| S/LAC: Land allocations in Cambridge |  |

## Edge of Cambridge

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Policy** | **Your comments** |
| Edge of Cambridge - general comments |  |
| S/CE: Cambridge East |  |
| S/NWC: North West Cambridge |  |
| S/CBC: Cambridge Biomedical Campus |  |
| S/WC: West Cambridge |  |
| S/EOC: Other existing allocations on the edge of Cambridge |  |

## New settlements

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Policy** | **Your comments** |
| New settlements - general comments |  |
| S/CB: Cambourne |  |
| S/NS: Existing new settlements |  |

## Rural southern cluster

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Policy** | **Your comments** |
| Rural southern cluster - general comments |  |
| S/GC: Genome Campus, Hinxton |  |
| S/BRC: Babraham Research Campus |  |
| S/RSC: Village allocations in the rural southern cluster |  |
| S/SCP: Policy areas in the rural southern cluster |  |

## Rest of the rural area

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Policy** | **Your comments** |
| Rest of the rural area - general comments |  |
| S/RRA: Allocations in the rest of the rural area | S/RRA site SAS.Lolworth Parish Meeting understands why such a development is being proposed **but is strongly opposed to the loss of any green belt land.** Thus we believe any development should be restricted to the area south of Cambridge Services previously used as a compound for the A14 roadworks, with the southern boundary being the hedge/ditch line as it currently exists. We are opposed to any development on the land owned by the University of Cambridge. We are also aware there is a proposal by Jaynic to include the field east of the Services as part of their promotion agreement. Should further land be required, we believe there is further brownfield land adjacent to the Services roundabout which would be better suited to this type of development and which would preserve existing farm land. We therefore would not support any development that included this land.We are pleased to note the proposed preservation of the rural countryside character and believe development on only brownfield land would contribute significantly to this proposal. Noise within the village of Lolworth is significantly increased as a result of the recent A14 development and any further increase should be minimised. A substantial new tree belt would be a welcome mitigation. We would also urge that measures are taken to ensure that the mistakes made by those who planted vegetation as part of the A14 development scheme (as a result of planting at the wrong time of year, almost all the trees planted died within a few months) are not repeated. Access to Yarmouth Farm, which lies between Lolworth and Boxworth is limited to two options. Machinery either has to be brought through the village of Lolworth via Robins Lane which in places is insufficiently wide for two cars to pass let alone heavy agricultural machinery. Alternatively, and the route currently favoured by the agricultural contractors, is from the Boxworth Road. Should the development proceed as currently proposed, this access will be denied. A new access could be constructed closer to Boxworth but this would be close to 100 metres from a sharp bend in the road with the associated safety issues. Whatever the solution, it is imperative that access both to the proposed development and to Yarmouth Farm  is provided from the Boxworth Road; Robins Lane is totally unsuitable for large vehicles. |
| S/RRP: Policy areas in the rest of the rural area |  |

## Climate change

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Policy** | **Your comments** |
| Climate change - general comments |  |
| CC/NZ: Net zero carbon new buildings |  |
| CC/WE: Water efficiency in new developments |  |
| CC/DC: Designing for a changing climate |  |
| CC/FM: Flooding and integrated water management |  |
| CC/RE: Renewable energy projects and infrastructure |  |
| CC/CE: Reducing waste and supporting the circular economy |  |
| CC/CS: Supporting land based carbon sequestration |  |

## Biodiversity and green spaces

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Policy** | **Your comments** |
| Biodiversity and green spaces - general comments |  |
| BG/BG: Biodiversity and geodiversity |  |
| BG/GI: Green infrastructure  |  |
| BG/TC: Improving Tree canopy cover and the tree population |  |
| BG/RC: River corridors |  |
| BG/PO: Protecting open spaces |  |
| BG/EO: Providing and enhancing open spaces |  |

## Wellbeing and inclusion

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Policy** | **Your comments** |
| Wellbeing and inclusion - general comments |  |
| WS/HD: Creating healthy new developments |  |
| WS/CF: Community, sports, and leisure facilities |  |
| WS/MU: Meanwhile uses during long term redevelopments |  |
| WS/IO: Creating inclusive employment and business opportunities through new developments |  |
| WS/HS: Pollution, health and safety |  |

## Great places policies

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Policy** | **Your comments** |
| Great places – general comments |  |
| GP/PP: People and place responsive design |  |
| GP/LC: Protection and enhancement of landscape character |  |
| GP/GB: Protection and enhancement of the Cambridge Green Belt |  |
| GP/QD: Achieving high quality development |  |
| GP/QP: Establishing high quality landscape and public realm |  |
| GP/HA: Conservation and enhancement of heritage assets |  |
| GP/CC: Adapting heritage assets to climate change |  |
| GP/PH8: Protection of Public Houses |  |

## Jobs policies

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Policy** | **Your comments** |
| Jobs – general comments |  |
| J/NE: New employment development proposals |  |
| J/RE: Supporting the rural Economy |  |
| J/AL: Protecting the best agricultural land |  |
| J/PB: Protecting existing business space |  |
| J/RW: Enabling remote working |  |
| J/AW: Affordable workspace and creative industries |  |
| J/EP: Supporting a range of facilities in employment parks |  |
| J/RC: Retail and centres |  |
| J/VA: Visitor accommodation, attractions and facilities |  |
| J/FD: Faculty development and specialist / language schools |  |

## Homes policies

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Policy** | **Your comments** |
| Homes – general comments |  |
| H/AH: Affordable housing |  |
| H/ES: Exception sites for affordable housing |  |
| H/HM: Housing mix |  |
| H/HD: Housing density |  |
| H/GL: Garden land and subdivision of existing plots |  |
| H/SS: Residential space standards and accessible homes |  |
| H/SH: Specialist housing and homes for older people |  |
| H/CB: Self- and custom-build homes |  |
| H/BR: Build to rent homes |  |
| H/MO: Houses in multiple occupation (HMOs) |  |
| H/SA: Student accommodation |  |
| H/DC: Dwellings in the countryside |  |
| H/RM: Residential moorings |  |
| H/RC: Residential caravans |  |
| H/GT: Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Show People sites |  |
| H/CH: Community led housing |  |

## Infrastructure policies

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Policy** | **Your comments** |
| Infrastructure – general comments |  |
| I/ST: Sustainable transport and connectivity |  |
| I/EV: Parking and electric vehicles |  |
| I/FD: Freight and delivery consolidation |  |
| I/SI: Safeguarding important infrastructure |  |
| I/AD: Aviation development |  |
| I/EI: Energy infrastructure masterplanning |  |
| I/ID: Infrastructure and delivery |  |
| I/DI: Digital infrastructure |  |

## Supporting documents on which we are consulting

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Policy** | **Your comments** |
| Sustainability Appraisal (incorporating the requirements of the Strategic Environmental Assessment) |  |
| Habitats Regulations Assessment |  |

If you wish to comment on other evidence base documents, please assign your comments to the policy which the evidence document supports. For example, if you wish to comment on rejected sites within the Housing and Employment Land Availability Assessment, please comment against the allocations policy for the area in which the site is located (for example Cambridge urban area or rural southern cluster). If you wish to comment on the Green Belt study, please comment against the Green Belt policy.